

**Assignment Title: Developing
Emotional Intelligence Skills Report**

**Module name: Developing
Emotional Intelligence Skills**

Module code: LCLM5006

Student name: Fatema Akther

Student id: 2111177

Lecturer: Kazeem Oseni

Table of contents

Table of contents.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Task 1: Emotional Intelligence in the Workplace.....	3
Self-Awareness and Employee Performance.....	4
Self-Management, Mindfulness and Well-Being.....	5
Social Awareness, Communication and Collaboration.....	5
Conflict Management.....	6
Leadership and Decision-Making.....	6
Critical Evaluation.....	7
Task 2: Managing a Multigenerational Workforce.....	7
Generational Diversity.....	7
Generation X.....	8
Generation Y/Millennials.....	8
Generation Z.....	9
Differences in Communication and Motivation.....	9
Emotional Intelligence and Inclusive Leadership.....	9
Mindfulness and Multigenerational Inclusion.....	10
Recruitment and Retention Implications.....	10
Recommendations for Senior Management.....	11
Conclusion.....	11
Reference.....	13

Emotional Intelligence and Managing a Multigenerational Workforce

Introduction

Modern businesses operate in increasingly complicated contexts that are marked by workforce diversity, organisational upheaval, technology change, hybrid working, and higher demands for employee well being. While technical proficiency is still crucial, employees' ability to comprehend and control their emotions, interact with others, and handle interpersonal difficulties still plays a significant role in the success of the organization.

A crucial practical backdrop is provided by the latest organisational climate survey mentioned in the scenario. The quality of relationships at work may be impacted by emotional and attentional skills, as evidenced by improvements in morale, communication, and conflict resolution that follow the use of mindfulness and emotional intelligence principles. These advancements are especially pertinent to strategic management since businesses must progressively address both performance and human factors in order to foster employee growth and leadership capacity.

The ability to recognise, comprehend, and control emotional information is a general definition of emotional intelligence. There isn't a single, widely recognised EI construct, though. While Goleman and Bar On take more comprehensive competency based methods that incorporate behavioural and social traits, Mayer and Salovey view EI as a collection of skills. This divergence is crucial because differing definitions result in different measuring techniques and findings about the organisational usefulness of EI.

Because mindfulness promotes deliberate attention to the current moment without passing judgement, it is equally pertinent. According to Kabat Zinn, mindfulness is awareness that results from purposeful, present moment, and nonjudgmental attention. This can assist workers in recognising emotional reactions, pausing before acting, and responding more thoughtfully in organisational settings.

This report therefore critically evaluates how EI and mindfulness can contribute to organisational effectiveness, before examining their relevance to a multigenerational workforce comprising Generation X, Generation Y and Generation Z.

Task 1: Emotional Intelligence in the Workplace

A number of significant models have emerged from the development of EI theory. According to Mayer and Salovey's Ability Model, emotional intelligence (EI) is a type of intelligence that

involves processing emotional data. Perceiving emotions, controlling emotions, understanding emotions, and employing emotions to help think are its four branches.

Accurately identifying one's own and other people's emotions is the first branch. For instance, at a meeting at work, an employee might notice that a coworker is frustrated based on their brief answers, even if the coworker hasn't said so directly. In the second branch, thinking is supported by emotional information. Priorities, dangers, and interpersonal responses can all be inferred from emotional cues. The fourth branch deals with properly controlling emotions, while the third branch focuses on comprehending emotional causes, transitions, and combinations.

This model's strength is that it conceptualises emotional intelligence (EI) as an ability rather than just a set of desirable personality traits. However, because emotional situations are frequently ambiguous and culturally influenced, ability based EI can be challenging to measure.

Goleman's concept adopts a more competency-focused methodology. His concept links professional performance to self awareness, self management, social awareness, and relationship management. Recognising one's emotions and their impacts is part of self-awareness, managing disruptive impulses and adjusting to situations is part of self management, empathy and organisational dynamics are part of social awareness, and influence, collaboration, communication, and conflict resolution are all part of relationship management.

Another comprehensive viewpoint is offered by Bar-On's Emotional-Social Intelligence paradigm. It includes adaptation, stress management, and overall mood in addition to intrapersonal and interpersonal skills. The Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ-i), a self-report tool for assessing emotionally and socially intelligent behaviour, was created by Bar-On.

Although these models are similar, they shouldn't be used interchangeably. While Goleman and Bar-On include more general behavioural capabilities, Mayer and Salovey concentrate mostly on emotional information-processing skills. When organisations create programs for development or assessment, this distinction is important. A manager with a high self-report score might think of themselves as emotionally competent even though they don't always show it while under pressure.

Self-Awareness and Employee Performance

Effective workplace behaviour is based on self-awareness. Workers who are aware of their emotional states are better able to comprehend how emotions impact judgement, focus, and communication. A person who observes irritation throughout a challenging assignment, for instance, can recognise the feeling before it affects their ability to communicate with coworkers.

Learning is also aided by self awareness. An emotionally intelligent worker can identify an emotional response and assess if the input is helpful rather than taking criticism personally. This may help with ongoing development.

But excessive self-focus is not the same as self-awareness. Employees must transition from awareness to positive action in order for an organization to be productive. As a result, self-awareness is most useful when paired with social awareness and self management.

There is a strong correlation between job performance and emotional intelligence. In addition to discovering incremental value beyond cognitive capacity and personality factors, O'Boyle's meta analysis discovered adjusted correlations between several EI research streams and work performance ranging from roughly .24 to .30. This implies that while EI is important for performance, it shouldn't be used in place of technical proficiency, intelligence, or diligence.

Self-Management, Mindfulness and Well-Being

In today's settings, where employees may encounter deadlines, workload pressure, and interpersonal conflicts, self-management is especially crucial. Instead of acting on impulse, those with emotional regulation are able to stop and select a suitable reaction.

This process can be strengthened by mindfulness. Mindfulness establishes a psychological buffer between an emotional trigger and a behavioural reaction by promoting present-moment awareness. When faced with a problem, an employee may recognise their anger, accept it, and wait to react until they are able to speak in a more positive way.

This claim is qualifiedly supported by evidence from the workplace. Workplace mindfulness training had positive impacts on stress, anxiety, psychological discomfort, well-being, and sleep, according to a comprehensive review and meta analysis of 23 randomised controlled studies. This implies that employee well being and emotional control may benefit from mindfulness.

However, organisations should refrain from offering mindfulness as a remedy for systemic issues. Asking workers to practise mindfulness may inadvertently shift accountability from the company to individuals if stress is caused by heavy workloads, insufficient staffing, or bad management.

Social Awareness, Communication and Collaboration

Understanding other people's feelings and viewpoints is a component of social awareness. Because coworkers may interpret circumstances differently based on their positions, experiences, and expectations, empathy is especially crucial in diverse teams.

By assisting staff members in changing both what they say and how they say it, EI can enhance communication. When giving critical feedback, for example, a manager can acknowledge that the employee might be dissatisfied and steer the conversation away from personal criticism and toward development.

Additionally, hearing is enhanced by emotional awareness. When someone else is speaking, employees who keep an eye on their own reactions are less likely to interrupt or develop a defensive response. This encourages more productive conversation.

However, organisational accountability and empathy need to be balanced. Avoiding challenging conversations might result from being overly sensitive to the feelings of others. Thus, identifying emotions without letting them dictate choices is essential to effective emotional intelligence.

Conflict Management

Because employees have varying objectives, interests, experiences, and interpretations, conflict is unavoidable in organisations. Whether a disagreement is constructive or detrimental can be influenced by EI.

Employees that are self aware are able to recognise their own triggers. Social awareness enables individuals to see things from other people's perspectives. While relationship management abilities facilitate compromise and problem-solving, self-management keeps emotions from getting out of control.

For instance, two workers might not agree on who is to blame for a project's delay. Blame, defensiveness, and escalation are examples of low-EI reactions. Acknowledging displeasure, providing clarification, hearing each person's point of view, and working together to determine corrective action are all components of an emotionally intelligent approach.

This strategy can be strengthened by mindfulness, which promotes pausing before reacting. A pause of this kind might lessen spontaneous emotions and enable workers to discern between the genuine problem that has to be resolved and the emotional experience of conflict.

Therefore, EI is especially important for psychologically safe workplaces. According to Edmondson's research, psychological safety is defined as a team's collective conviction that interpersonal risk-taking is safe. He discovered that psychological safety was linked to learning behaviour, which in turn improved team performance.

By encouraging other perspectives, showing that disagreement does not always result in punishment, and responding constructively to errors, leaders with high emotional intelligence (EI) can promote psychological safety. Crucially, psychological safety does not imply a lack of responsibility. It implies that workers can voice concerns, own up to errors, and question presumptions without needless interpersonal anxiety.

Leadership and Decision-Making

Both task and interpersonal competence are necessary for leadership. Because self-awareness, self management, social awareness, and relationship management strongly align with leadership behaviours, Goleman's theory is very helpful in this situation.

An emotionally intelligent leader is more likely to identify when stress is affecting their judgement, comprehend employees' emotional requirements, and effectively convey

organisational decisions. Additionally, these leaders can foster an environment where workers feel heard.

Because emotions include information, emotional intelligence (EI) can aid in decision making. In particular, Mayer and Salovey's Ability Model acknowledges the importance of emotions to support cognitive processes. For instance, fear might be an indication of danger, whereas enthusiasm might be a sign of dedication. However, rather than taking the place of analytical reasoning, emotional information should be combined with objective facts.

This is a crucial and significant distinction. A leader who merely "trusts their feelings" could perpetuate prejudices, but a leader who acknowledges emotional information and then verifies it with facts can reach more impartial conclusions.

By enhancing attentional control and lowering automatic reflexes, mindfulness may help promote leadership. Although the quality and design of the research varied, a recent systematic review indicated that mindfulness training was linked to stress reduction, self-regulation, decreased burnout, and good leadership related outcomes.

Critical Evaluation

Despite EI's significant practical appeal, there are a few drawbacks that should be taken into account. First, conflicting definitions lead to construct validity issues. While ability-based measures evaluate distinct capacities, self report and mixed EI measures may overlap with personality traits. Thus, the question of whether all EI measures reflect the same underlying notion has been raised by research.

Second, having a high EI does not guarantee ethics. A person who is emotionally intelligent may be able to manipulate others. As a result, organisational principles, honesty, and responsible leadership should be linked to EI development.

Third, employees shouldn't be expected to put up with dysfunctional organisational situations through EI. Unreasonable workloads, poor communication, or insufficient resources cannot be permanently compensated for by emotional resilience.

The conclusion for SMT is that EI should be viewed as a capability that enhances excellent organisational design rather than taking its place.

Task 2: Managing a Multigenerational Workforce

Generational Diversity

Employees from a variety of age ranges are becoming more prevalent in organisations. This can lead to disparities in communication preferences, job goals, and technological

experiences, but it also presents opportunities for information transfer, diverse viewpoints, and complimentary talents.

People born roughly between the middle of the 1960s and the beginning of the 1980s are typically referred to as members of Generation X. Millennials, often known as Generation Y, are people who were born between the early 1980s and the mid 1990s. In general, people born between the late 1990s and the early 2010s are referred to as Generation Z.

These limits are not universal, but rather approximations. More significantly, managers should refrain from viewing generational classifications as rigid psychological types. Methodological flaws in attempts to relate workplace traits only to birth cohorts have been frequently found in research on generational diversity. Few systematic and significant variations between generations across a wide range of labour outcomes were discovered in a recent meta analysis.

As a result, while the categories are helpful for taking into account a wide range of social and technological experiences, they shouldn't be used to categorise specific personnel.

Generation X

Generation X came of age during times of profound social, technological, and economic transformation. The group is sometimes described in conversations at work as appreciating independence, autonomy, useful results, and work life balance.

The shift from mostly analogue working methods to digital technologies has been experienced by many employees of Generation X. As a result, they might have a significant blend of technology flexibility and well established organisational knowledge.

However, it would be incorrect to assume that Generation X is hostile to modern technology. Age is not the only factor that determines an individual's level of digital competency, other factors include career, education, experience, and personal traits.

By providing autonomy while upholding clear goals, making sure seasoned workers are included in technology change, and utilising their organisational expertise as a resource for mentoring, managers can promote inclusion.

Generation Y/Millennials

Millennials joined the workforce at a time when social media and digital technologies were growing quickly, as well as during the global financial crisis. This group is frequently linked in workplace literature to aspirations for growth, purposeful work, adaptability, feedback, and teamwork.

Evidence, however, indicates that these traits should be interpreted with caution. In addition to highlighting conflicting data, a systematic study of generational diversity includes communication and technology, work motivators, work values, attitudes, career habits, and leadership preferences as important research subjects.

Therefore, managers shouldn't assume that Millennials desire the same work arrangements. Instead, companies should empower individuals to express their preferred working habits while offering clear career tracks, opportunities for growth, and frequent two way feedback.

Generation Z

Of the three groups under consideration, Generation Z is the newest and has entered the workforce in a setting marked by the extensive use of cellphones, digital communication, social media, artificial intelligence, and hybrid working.

In addition to development opportunities, training, and professional advancement, research on the expectations of Generation Z in the workplace highlights the importance of job stability, interpersonal interactions, and compensation. This calls into question oversimplified claims that Generation Z is only driven by technology or adaptability.

Their expertise with technology might help them collaborate digitally and adjust to new technologies. However, proficiency in the job does not always follow from technological confidence. Organisations still need to offer opportunities for learning organisational procedures, interpersonal growth, and role specific training.

Differences in Communication and Motivation

Multigenerational teams can experience communication difficulties when employees interpret different communication styles as evidence of poor commitment or competence. For example, one employee may prefer a concise digital message while another may prefer a detailed conversation. Neither preference is inherently superior.

Managers should therefore establish communication norms rather than assuming that one generation's style is correct. Teams can agree when to use email, messaging, video meetings and face-to-face discussions, ensuring that communication remains accessible to all employees.

In the same vein, motivation ought to be personalised. Promotion, autonomy, stability, and meaningful work may be more important to one person than to another. Instead than determining answers, generational research should inform questions.

The study field is still in its infancy, according to a systematic assessment of intergenerational teams, which emphasises the need for businesses to refrain from drawing hasty judgements about how generational variations impact team performance.

Emotional Intelligence and Inclusive Leadership

Because it enables managers to understand people rather than rely on preconceptions, emotional intelligence (EI) is especially useful for managing generational difference.

Managers who are self-aware are better able to identify their own generational presumptions. They can comprehend many viewpoints thanks to social awareness, and relationship management facilitates productive dialogue.

For instance, a boss might initially consider an employee's inclination toward instant messaging to be unduly casual. An emotionally aware manager can set proper professional limits and enquire about the employee's most efficient communication style rather than passing judgement.

Both consistency and empathy are necessary for inclusive leadership. While managers continue to be considerate of various demands, employees should be given equal opportunity.

Mindfulness and Multigenerational Inclusion

Managers can identify automatic presumptions regarding communication and age by practicing mindfulness. A manager who practices mindfulness is more likely to recognise an instantaneous judgement and enquire as to whether it is supported by facts or preconceptions.

Listening skills can also be enhanced by mindfulness. Instead of preparing a response or letting presumptions affect interpretation, the manager can concentrate entirely on what each participant is saying during a multigenerational meeting.

Psychological safety is supported by this. When workers feel their opinions will be treated seriously, they are more inclined to offer suggestions. Edmondson's research shows how learning behaviour, psychological safety, and team success are related.

Therefore, mindfulness should be viewed as a part of a broader leadership style that includes inclusive decision making, polite discourse, and attentive listening rather than as a required wellness exercise.

Recruitment and Retention Implications

Discriminatory hiring practices may result from generational presumptions. Recruitment materials that subtly target or exclude specific age groups should be avoided by organisations. Competency, experience, potential, and evidence relevant to the position should be the main considerations in selection.

Structured behavioural questions that evaluate communication, teamwork, conflict resolution, and flexibility can be used to integrate emotional intelligence (EI) into hiring. Organisations should, however, employ validated assessment methods rather than depending only on unstructured perceptions of a candidate's "emotionally intelligent" appearance.

Flexibility is also necessary for retention. Various combinations of compensation, security, flexibility, growth, recognition, and meaningful work may be valued differently by employees of various generations. Therefore, if it is technically feasible, a broad employee-value proposal should offer options.

Individualised inclusion should be SMT's top strategic aim, not generational management. Instead of importing preconceptions from popular generational narratives, this entails gathering employee feedback, tracking participation by pertinent demographic categories where applicable, and discovering real patterns inside the organization.

Recommendations for Senior Management

Based on the analysis, the following actions are recommended:

- **Integrate EI into leadership development:** Self-awareness, emotional control, empathy, communication, and conflict resolution skills should all be developed in leadership programs.
- **Introduce evidence based mindfulness development:** Leadership meetings, reflective learning, and staff development could all benefit from brief mindfulness exercises, but participation should be encouraging rather than coercive.
- **Strengthen psychological safety:** Managers should invite challenge, respond constructively to mistakes and encourage employees to raise concerns. Psychological safety should be monitored through employee surveys and qualitative feedback.
- **Use EI in conflict-resolution training:** Employees should learn practical approaches to recognising emotional triggers, listening actively, managing escalation and separating people from problems.
- **Avoid generational stereotyping in recruitment:** Recruitment and selection should focus on job relevant competencies rather than assumptions concerning Generation X, Millennials or Generation Z.
- **Adopt flexible communication practices:** Teams should establish agreed communication norms while recognising legitimate individual differences in communication preferences.
- **Evaluate outcomes rather than participation alone:** The organisation should assess whether EI and mindfulness interventions improve communication, conflict resolution, employee well being, leadership effectiveness and relevant performance indicators.

Conclusion

When applied critically and deliberately, emotional intelligence and mindfulness present substantial prospects for enhancing organisational success. EI gives managers and staff the ability to identify emotions, control reactions, comprehend people, and manage relationships. These skills are important for leadership, decision making, cooperation, communication, and conflict resolution.

The three main EI models discussed in this paper offer different but complimentary viewpoints. EI is rigorously conceptualised as the capacity to interpret emotional information in Mayer and Salovey's Ability Model. Bar-On's paradigm takes a more comprehensive emotional social approach, whereas Goleman's framework converts emotional capacities into job competencies. Their variations show why businesses should choose measurements

that align with the particular organisational goal and exercise caution when interpreting EI assessments.

By fostering present moment awareness and increasing the ability for thoughtful responses, mindfulness enhances emotional intelligence. Research shows advantages for stress and well-being, whereas evidence for direct performance improvements is more mixed. Therefore, organisational action regarding workload, resources, or management quality should not be replaced by mindfulness.

The significance of critical thinking is further illustrated by the examination of Generations X, Y, and Z. Recent study does not support portraying generations as homogeneous groups, even if employees may have been formed by diverse technological, economic, and social experiences. Therefore, acknowledging diversity without stereotyping is the most effective strategy.

The main strategic conclusion for the SMT is that emotional capacity should not be viewed as a human trait but rather as an organisational resource. Employees can interact more effectively, handle conflict in a constructive way, and feel sufficiently psychologically safe to voice ideas and concerns when leaders combine emotional intelligence (EI) with mindful attention.

In the end, teaching staff members to be more emotionally intelligent or attentive is not the best organisational strategy. The goal is to create cultures, leadership styles, and processes that enable these capacities to yield significant results. Employee development, inclusive leadership, psychological safety, sustained performance, and evidence based recruitment and retention tactics can all be supported by this integrated strategy.

Reference

1. Bar-On, R. (2006). *The Bar-On Model of Emotional-Social Intelligence*. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/6509274_The_Bar-On_Model_of_Emotional-Social_Intelligence [Accessed 20 Aug. 2026].
2. Edmondson, A. (1999). *Psychological Safety and Learning Behavior in Work Teams*. Available at: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.2307/2666999> [Accessed 20 Aug. 2026].
3. Golman, D. (1998). *Working with Emotional Intelligence*. Available at: <https://donainfo.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/emotional-intelligence-daniel-goleman.pdf> [Accessed 20 Aug. 2026].
4. Goleman, D. (2000). *An EI-Based Theory of Performance*. Available at: https://www.eiconsortium.org/reprints/ei_theory_performance.html [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].
5. Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). *Mindfulness-Based Interventions in Context: Past, Present, and Future. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1093/clipsy.bpg016> [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].
6. ResearchGate. (n.d.). (PDF) *Generational differences in workplace behavior*. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264580977_Generational_differences_in_workplace_behavior [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].
7. Thomas International. (2022). *What Is Emotional Intelligence and Why It Matters at Work*. Available at: <https://www.thomas.co/resources/type/hr-guides/what-emotional-intelligence-and-why-it-matters-work> [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].
8. O'Boyle, E.H., Humphrey, R.H., Pollack, J.M., Hawver, T.H. and Story, P.A. (2011). *The Relation between Emotional Intelligence and Job Performance: A Meta-analysis*. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/job.714?saml_referrer [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].
9. Ravid, D.M., Costanza, D.P. and Romero, M.R. (2024). *Generational differences at work? A meta-analysis and qualitative investigation*. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/job.2827> [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].
10. Dalgleish, T., et al (2025). *Mindfulness-Based Programmes for Work Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled Trials*. Available at: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12680914/> [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].
11. Trajkova, K., et al (2025). *EXPLORING DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GEN Z AND MILLENNIALS AT THE WORKPLACE ABOUT THE JOB SATISFACTION, WORK STRESS AND TURNOVER*

INTENTIONS. Available at:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392146067_EXPLORING_DIFFERENCES_BETWEEN_GEN_Z_AND_MILLENNIALS_AT_THE_WORKPLACE_ABOUT_THE_JOB_SATISFACTION_WORK_STRESS_AND_TURNOVER_INTENTIONS [Accessed 21 Aug. 2026].