

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
A fair research activity with links that point to the discussion, considerations are identified and utilised	15	7
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
Although the DB design is relevant and there is a good attempt with the 3NF, SQL scripts for the DB does show elements set as PK and FK (via constraints). Relevant linked data does exist in DB	10	8
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Although the research from the various websites is valid and your discussion does relate to making your site safer online, you could have supported the discussion from elements from your website e.g., SQL Injection, you have code present - why did you not use it.	15	7
4. Practical Application Skills		
Although the structure of the website is generally okay, managing the data has a number of problems in that it cannot add/edit and in some cases delete data. Upon examining your codebase, it does transpire that your prepared statements are somewhat incomplete and will generate several errors - simple debugging techniques would have sorted this out - or is this a case of starting the assignment way too late (no excuse and has cost you several marks)	60	13
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		
	N/A	

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		35
	Late Submission ?		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	