

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	ABDULRAHMAN AZ JAMAAN
Title of Project:	A study on data visualisation techniques for small businesses
Supervisor:	Kevin Palmer
2 nd marker:	James Williams
Course:	BIT BusComp <u>CIS</u> CNets CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review Experiment <u>Development</u> (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> Report lacks detail on the development process and the software implemented.	40
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u> Good agreement between markers, consensus mark shown	41

Signature: K Palmer Date:

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	~~2~~ /5	/5	/5	3 /5
Literature Review	~~10~~ /20	/35	/20	8 /15

Comments:

Reasonable background to project presented, and aims and objectives clearly stated
 Literature review covers basics of visualisation and uses a range of sources, including some peer reviewed articles. The quality of the English needs to be substantially improved.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	1 1/2 /5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	2 + ~~1/2~~ /5

Comments:

Requirements analysis is very brief and lacking in detail.
 Basic information presented based upon the research onion, but too much emphasis on describing the various layers rather than applying it to your work.
 Similarly, too much emphasis is placed on describing a range of methodologies, although an appropriate approach is selected.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	3/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	4/10
Comments: Self-reflection is appropriate, identifying skills being developed and challenges to address The report is reasonably structured, but is let down by the standard of writing which requires significant improvement.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	40/100

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	7 /25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	~~4~~ /10

Comments:

Very limited in terms of presentation of results and discussion.

The evaluation is rather generic and vague - little mention of actual development

No ^{valid} conclusions included in report, rather a summary of some of the findings from the literature review

Some useful recommendations presented

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	5 /15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:

Insufficient detail presented regarding the ~~&~~ implementation
Some additional information presented in appendices, but these are not referred to in the main text.

Some user testing seems to have been carried out but is not discussed

Chapter 6 presents some useful information but it is not placed in the context of the development