



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

Name: MOHAMED MOULDI LARBI

Student ID: 2515164

Module Code: LCHS4007

Module: Digital Skills and Research Methods

Lecturer: AMITAVE BANIK

Submitted on: 14/12/2025

****Introduction****

Hospital waiting times and treatment backlogs represent significant challenges within the UK's health and social care system. Increasing demand for care, workforce shortages, and the ongoing repercussions of the COVID-19 pandemic have resulted in unprecedented delays

in diagnosis, treatment, and elective procedures. This research review examines the factors contributing to hospital waiting periods, their implications for patients and healthcare professionals, and their overall impact on patient health. By highlighting essential sources of information and identifying areas for further exploration, this report emphasizes the critical importance of understanding and addressing waiting time pressures to enhance service quality and improve patient health and well-being.

****Task 1 - Study topic identification. ****

Waiting times and treatment backlogs in hospitals are persistent challenges in the UK's health and social care sector. Driven by population growth, an aging demographic, workforce shortages, and the long-term consequences of COVID-19 service disruptions, the National Health Service (NHS) has faced unprecedented levels of demand in recent years. This surge has led to extraordinary waiting lists for elective procedures, diagnostic appointments, outpatient consultations, and emergency services. Such delays have placed immense stress on healthcare workers, who struggle to access timely care for patients, resulting in adverse outcomes. This research report aims to investigate the underlying reasons for these issues, highlighting the necessity for large-scale studies. It outlines the dilemma of prolonged waiting times and their impact on various sectors of the health and care system. Late diagnoses and treatments can exacerbate health conditions, potentially leading to chronic diseases, significant discomfort, and serious implications over time. In some instances, delays can lead to avoidable complications or necessitate more intensive interventions later. Healthcare professionals, including doctors, nurses, and allied health workers, are burdened with excessive workloads and overcrowded services, compounded by the emotional toll of not being able to meet patient needs promptly. NHS organizations also face challenges; long waiting lists affect performance indicators, funding, staff retention, and public confidence.

****Wider Social Implications****

Beyond the healthcare system, prolonged waiting times have broader social repercussions, impacting individuals' ability to work and contributing to illness. Researching hospital waiting times is critical for several reasons. Firstly, it provides insights into the root causes of backlogs, enabling policymakers and organizations to develop more effective remediation strategies. Secondly, examining this issue is essential for understanding healthcare access inequalities, as waiting times can vary significantly by region, socioeconomic status, and treatment type. Thirdly, engaging with this challenge is vital for identifying long-term solutions beyond temporary funding increases or short-lived programs. By analyzing data, policy responses, staff perspectives, and patient experiences, we can develop sustainable approaches to care that enhance efficiency, quality, and health outcomes across the UK. Ultimately, reducing waiting times is central to achieving equitable, safe, and timely healthcare for all.

****Sources of Information****

Three significant sources of information have been gathered to support this investigation, selected for their relevance, reliability, and ability to provide diverse perspectives on the issue.

****Source1: NHS England - Elective Care Performance Data****

****Content and Relevance: ****

NHS England publishes official statistics on waiting times for elective procedures, diagnostic checks, outpatient care, and emergency services. This data includes metrics such as mean waiting times and the probability of patients being seen within government-defined timeframes. Such analysis enables researchers to track trends, identify areas with the most significant backlogs and compare current data with historical records.

****Justification for Selection: ****

This source is essential as it offers unbiased, up-to-date information from a national provider with transparent data sources. It grounds the research in factual evidence rather than anecdotal claims, allowing for a thorough analysis of the problem's scale and evolution. Publicly available and widely utilized in health policy research, this source is a trusted reference for examining waiting times.

****Source 2: King's Fund - NHS Pressures and Employment Issues****

****Content and Relevance: ****

The King's Fund, a prominent independent health policy think tank, produces reports and insights on NHS performance, workforce shortages, funding challenges, and service provision issues. Their research frequently addresses the reasons behind rising waiting times

and their impact on healthcare workforce health, highlighting areas of shortage or inefficiency.

****Justification for Selection: ****

This source was chosen for its expert analysis, situating waiting time data within a broader organizational and policy context. The King's Fund is recognized for its neutral, evidence based reports, making its findings invaluable for understanding the complexities surrounding the issue. Its accessible explanations contribute to more robust academic discussions.

****Source 3: British Medical Association (BMA) Research on Patient and Staff Experience****

****Content and Relevance: ****

The BMA provides surveys, reports, and discussions that reflect the experiences of healthcare staff and patients across the UK. Their materials often highlight firsthand accounts of how waiting times impact clinical practice, patient safety, and staff well-being, revealing the pressures faced by healthcare professionals due to rising backlogs and delays.

****Justification for Selection: ****

This source is valuable as it combines frontline experiences with statistical and policy analysis. It presents insights from healthcare workers and patients, enhancing our understanding of who is affected and how waiting times impact the health and social care system in practice. As a respected professional body, the BMA is a reliable source of information for academic discourse and policy debates.

Collectively, these sources provide a comprehensive examination of hospital waiting lists and

treatment backlogs. They combine quantitative evidence, professional perspectives, and policy analysis to ensure the research is well-informed and balanced. By integrating national statistics, expert opinions, and practitioner insights, we can thoroughly explore one of the UK health and social care sector's most pressing issues.

**** Task 2 - Research Methodologies****

Research within the health and social care sector often employs both quantitative and qualitative methods, each offering unique advantages. Quantitative research focuses on numerical data and measurable changes, utilizing structured methods like surveys, statistical analyses, and large dataset examinations. This approach is crucial for monitoring waiting lists, tracking patient outcomes, evaluating regional performance, and identifying trends over time. Its objective nature allows for generalizable findings across large populations, making it instrumental in highlighting patterns and assessing the effectiveness of policies aimed at reducing wait times.

Conversely, qualitative research delves into experiences, perceptions, and social realities through interviews, focus groups, case studies, and observations. This approach emphasizes

the subjective experiences of patients and staff, offering insights into how waiting times affect well-being, job satisfaction, and trust in health services. Qualitative methods provide depth, flexibility, and the ability to gain insights that quantitative data alone cannot capture.

While these methodologies serve different purposes, they complement one another.

Quantitative research reveals the magnitude of waiting time issues, while qualitative research contextualizes the significance of these experiences. Together, they enhance the trustworthiness and quality of research findings in the UK health and social care sector.

****Task 3 - Data Collection****

To understand the causes of hospital waiting times and the ensuing treatment backlogs, data collection is extremely essential to any study project.

To provide a comprehensive and accurate picture of the situation, this study uses both primary and secondary data.

Primary Data:

The information gathered directly from individuals or certain environments for the aim of the study is known as primary data. We could employ techniques like surveys, interviews, and observations to get primary data on this subject. Patients and medical professionals can use surveys to discuss their own experiences with waiting for treatment, including how these delays affect their daily lives, jobs, and health. Deeper, more complex insights that include emotional consequences, work stress, and opinions on service quality can be obtained

through interviews. Real-time insights into problems like staff workload, patient flow, and congestion can be obtained by watching waiting rooms or clinical environments. Although it can occasionally be time-consuming and present ethical issues, primary data is extremely significant since it records real-life experiences.

Secondary Data:

Information that has previously been gathered and published by respectable organizations is referred to as secondary data. Performance statistics from NHS England, which include precise numbers on elective care waiting lists, diagnostic delays, and A&E performance, are important resources for studying waiting times. Expert research, staff surveys, and insights into the causes of growing backlogs may be found in reports from the British Medical Association (BMA), the King's Fund, and other government publications. Secondary data is helpful for comparing various locations, seeing national patterns, and providing strong evidence to support findings. It won't, however, offer the most recent experiences of specific patients or employees.

****Conclusion****

For many, waiting times and treatment backlogs remain significant challenges within the UK's health and social care system. The study presented in this report highlights the implications of prolonged wait times not only for patients but also for healthcare practitioners and service providers. As we analyse the sources of information—national performance data, policy analysis, and individual practice—it becomes clear that the factors contributing to waiting time pressures are complex and fundamentally systemic, driven by workforce shortages, increasing demand, and inadequate capacity. This issue can be addressed through both quantitative and qualitative studies. Quantitative insights can clarify the extent and trends of waiting lists, while qualitative evidence reveals the human experiences behind the numbers. Together, they provide a comprehensive understanding of the problem.

To improve patient outcomes, restore public confidence, and ensure the sustainability of the NHS, it is crucial to address hospital waiting times. Ongoing research is essential to inform viable policies and implement long-term measures that can reduce delays and enhance care experiences in the UK.

****Reference List****

NHS England (2024) Consultant-led Referral to Treatment (RTT) Waiting Times Statistics. NHS

England.

The King's Fund (2023) NHS Performance and Pressures: Quarterly Monitoring Report. The King's Fund, London.

British Medical Association (2023) NHS Pressures: Evidence on Workforce, Patient Backlogs,

and Service Demand. BMA, London.

National Audit Office (2023) NHS Backlogs and Waiting Times in England. NAO, London.

Department of Health and Social Care (2022) Elective Recovery Plan: Delivery Plan for Tackling the COVID-19 Backlog of Elective Care. DHSC, London.