

Applied Leadership and Management in the Workplace

Module Assignment Submission

Student Name:	Jonathon Forshaw
Student Number:	2238303
Module No:	BMSK5011
Module Title:	Developing Workplace Critical Thinking

Statement of Confirmation of Authenticity

By the act of making this submission, the student declares that this is the work of the student named above. The work has not, in whole or in part, been knowingly presented elsewhere for assessment, or where assessment has been built on a previous assessment, this has been identified. Where materials have been used from other sources it has been properly acknowledged. If this statement is untrue, the student acknowledges that an assessment offence has been committed.

Attention is drawn to the plagiarism and unfair practice policies of both the University and of ILM.

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
The significance of an employee's values, attitudes, and beliefs	4
What effects do a person's values, attitudes, and beliefs have on their behaviour at work?	6
Examining Theories of Management	8
Workplace management and its effects on employees' attitudes, values, and beliefs	9
Influence on Employee Beliefs	9
Impact on Employee Attitudes	9
Shaping Employee Values	10
Implications	10
Conclusion	12
Appendices	16

Introduction

In the current dynamic and rapidly evolving business landscape, the capacity to employ critical thinking goes beyond being a mere skill and becomes an essential requirement. The cultivation of critical thinking skills in the workplace goes beyond the usual boundaries of job roles and organisational structure. It plays a crucial role in promoting innovation, improving problem-solving abilities, and facilitating effective decision-making processes (Facione, 2010; Paul & Elder, 2006). This exploration conducts an extensive examination of the fundamental principles, perspectives, and convictions that influence not only the culture and operations within my own business but also those commonly found in global business environments. This investigation seeks to reveal the impact of fostering a culture of critical thinking on the fundamental improvement of organisational effectiveness and adaptability (Senge, 1990; Schein, 2010).

This module primarily emphasises the cultivation of critical thinking skills. However, the report also delves into a more comprehensive exploration of values, attitudes, and beliefs, and how they are effectively handled in the workplace. Can the combination of modern management practices, individual value empowerment, and attitudes serve as a catalyst for improving workplace criticality and creating an environment conducive to innovation? Recent studies and theories in organisational behaviour indicate that there is a direct relationship between employees feeling that their values are acknowledged and respected, and being managed in a way that promotes independence and empowerment. This correlation leads to a significant increase in critical thinking and creativity among employees (Amabile, 1998; Deci & Ryan, 2000).

This report seeks to clarify the complex connection between values, management strategies, and the development of critical thinking in the workplace. The report will examine how the interaction between these elements can foster critical thinking and innovation by emphasising employee empowerment and value alignment, which are key aspects of modern management practices. This report aims to explore the possible connections between promoting a culture based on values, implementing empowering management strategies, and creating a workplace environment that encourages critical thinking as a means to shape values, attitudes, and beliefs.

The significance of an employee's values, attitudes, and beliefs

Essentially, beliefs play a crucial role in shaping workers' perception of their workplace and their position within it. Employees are more likely to perform well and exhibit loyalty when they hold positive perceptions of their company, such as recognising its commitment to quality or ethical standards. Erdogan and Bauer (2016) argue that there is a strong correlation between employee engagement, job satisfaction, and belief in the organisational mission, providing evidence to support this claim.

The relationship between beliefs and attitudes is intricately intertwined. Attitudes are a manifestation of the emotional and cognitive evaluations that employees form regarding their job, their colleagues, and the organisation as a whole. Negative attitudes have the potential to cause disengagement or even lead employees to quit, while positive attitudes can greatly enhance motivation and productivity. Judge and Kammeyer-Mueller (2012) present convincing evidence in their meta-analysis, which combines data from multiple studies, showing that job satisfaction, which reflects one's attitude towards their job, is a powerful predictor of both job performance and organisational citizenship behaviour.

Conclusively, their research demonstrates that contented employees not only perform exceptionally well in their positions but also make valuable contributions to the organisation beyond what is expected of them, by actively assisting their colleagues and furthering the overall goals of the organisation. This body of evidence emphasises the crucial significance of cultivating favourable workplace attitudes, demonstrating that a supportive and values-aligned work environment can result in improved employee performance and a more unified organisational culture.

Employees' professional decisions and behaviours are primarily influenced by their values. They influence ethical conduct, affect decision-making, and shape social connections. When an employee's values align with those of their organisation, it can lead to increased job satisfaction and a stronger commitment to the organisation (Edwards & Cable, 2009). Employees who view their work as an extension of their personal beliefs and values are more inclined to experience a sense of loyalty and belonging due to this alignment, which is also referred to as value congruence.

It is crucial to take into account the way in which an employee's perspectives, attitudes, and principles align with those promoted by the company. A mismatch between an employee's values and the organizations can lead to performance degradation, dissatisfaction, and discord among team members (Kristof, 1996). Conversely, alignment between an employee's values and the organization's mission and culture has the potential to significantly enhance the effectiveness and logical consistency of an organization, fostering a sense of belonging and commitment that can drive performance and satisfaction (Edwards & Cable, 2009).

This synergy not only benefits the individual's sense of job satisfaction but also bolsters the collective efficacy and morale of the workforce, ultimately contributing to the organization's overall success. Managers and other leaders should therefore strive to understand and endorse these aspects of their employees' psychological makeup. Aligning the values of an organisation with the values of its employees can cultivate a favourable and efficient work atmosphere. These objectives can be accomplished by implementing strategies such as ethical leadership, clear and open communication, and recognising the efforts of each individual (Schaubroeck et al., 2012).

Innovation, collaboration, and integrity—the core values of my SEO company—are the foundation of our operational ethos, shaping our workplace culture, success, and client relationships. This link between our core values and the broader discussion on values, attitudes, and beliefs shaping organisational environments shows their importance in digital marketing.

Baregheh, Rowley, and Sambrook (2009) define innovation as "the multi-stage process whereby organisations transform ideas into new/improved products, service, or processes, to advance, compete, and differentiate themselves successfully in their marketplace." This definition emphasises that innovation involves both creating new ideas and improving existing ones to stay competitive. Innovation is key to staying ahead in SEO, where search engine algorithms and digital marketing trends change constantly.

Creating a culture that values creativity and sees challenges as opportunities for innovation is crucial. Amabile et al. (1996) suggest supporting autonomy, providing resources, challenging work, and encouraging exploration and risk-taking to foster workplace creativity. In accordance with these principles, our company embeds continuous learning and exploration into each team member's role, making innovation a tangible practice that drives our and our clients' success.

Innovation helps us anticipate and respond to SEO changes and positions us as industry leaders who advance digital marketing. Our innovative approach helps our clients stay ahead of the competition and set industry standards.

Collaboration is of equal importance. Effective search engine optimisation (SEO) necessitates collaboration among content creators, web developers, data analysts, and digital marketers. We advocate for collaborative efforts, where a variety of viewpoints converge to develop comprehensive and resilient strategies that surpass anticipated outcomes. In addition to our internal team interactions, we engage in collaboration with clients and other stakeholders. Overcoming obstacles, promoting transparent dialogue, and exchanging information enhances relationships and enhances strategies. We utilise our extensive knowledge and understanding to develop inventive and effective solutions through this comprehensive approach.

Integrity is the foundation of our business. In the SEO industry, we prioritise honesty and transparency, even though strategies can vary from ethical to dubious. We maintain our integrity by strictly adhering to white-hat SEO practices, which guarantees the longevity of our strategies and the enduring success of our clients. This principle fosters enduring client confidence by upholding dependability and ethical conduct. Additionally, it positions us as a frontrunner in the industry, advocating for standards that enhance the SEO community.

Our strategies, workplace culture, and industry presence are guided by innovation, collaboration, and integrity. They guarantee the delivery of outstanding SEO outcomes and have a positive influence on digital marketing. We establish a robust, flexible, and morally upright organisation that can effectively manoeuvre through the digital realm by incorporating these principles into all aspects of our business, ranging from day-to-day activities to long-term strategic decision-making.

This approach also improves the capacity to navigate intricacies, foresee obstacles, and capitalise on opportunities by cultivating an atmosphere that appreciates and encourages analytical thinking. Facione (2010) argues that fostering a culture that promotes analytical thinking and problem-solving enhances both an organization's adaptability and its strategic vision. This environment enables team members to contribute more efficiently to the organization's goals, ensuring a proactive approach to market dynamics and innovation.

In conclusion, the extent of our search engine optimisation achievements hinges on the degree to which we adhere to our core values. These values guide our approach to digital marketing and shape our interactions with one another, clients, and the industry. These principles direct us towards achieving exceptional performance, originality, and honesty as we expand and develop.

What effects do a person's values, attitudes, and beliefs have on their behaviour at work?

An essential focus of study in the field of organisational psychology and management is the correlation between an individual's beliefs, attitudes, and values and their conduct in the workplace.

These psychological concepts exert a substantial influence on the dynamics of the workplace, affecting both group dynamics and individual performance. This analysis employs scholarly evidence to examine how these elements impact workplace behaviours and performances, as well as the outcomes linked to a diverse workforce.

Values

Fundamental beliefs that guide individuals' choices and actions, and they have a significant impact on workplace behaviour. These principles can either be in accordance with or diverge from the organization's values. Studies have shown that the alignment between individuals and their organisations, also known as person-organization fit, affects job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and overall job performance (Kristof-Brown, Zimmerman, & Johnson, 2005). Employees are more inclined to behave in manners that align with the organization's goals when their individual values align with those of the company. As a consequence, there is an enhancement in the quality of the output and an increase in job satisfaction.

Beliefs

Defined as individual convictions, exert a significant influence on the decision-making processes and, as a result, behaviour at work. Bandura (1977) and Locke & Latham (2002) argue that employees' motivation and job satisfaction are contingent upon their self-efficacy beliefs, perceptions of organisational fairness, and the perceived value of their work. These beliefs subsequently influence their performance and levels of engagement. A worker who is motivated and engaged is more likely to be productive and less likely to have intentions of leaving, as long as they feel that their efforts are valued

and adequately compensated (Rhoades & Eisenberger, 2002).

Attitudes

Play a significant role in shaping behaviour at work. They refer to the feelings and tendencies that employees hold towards different aspects of their working environment, including their job, colleagues, and the overall company. Positive attitudes towards work and the organisation are correlated with reduced absenteeism and turnover, as well as increased job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and intentions to stay (Meyer et al., 2002). In contrast, unfavourable attitudes can lead to adverse behaviours such as disengagement, withdrawal, and even counterproductive work behaviours (Colquitt, Lepine, & Wesson, 2019).

The existence of a variety of beliefs, attitudes, and values among employees has both beneficial and detrimental effects on the dynamics of the workplace. The presence of diverse individuals can greatly enhance creativity, innovation, and problem-solving skills by incorporating a wide range of viewpoints and approaches (Cox & Blake, 1991). Nevertheless, this diversity can also lead to conflicts and make it more difficult to reach a consensus, particularly when the corporate culture fails to effectively manage and reconcile these differences (Williams & O'Reilly, 1998).

An inclusive culture that demonstrates respect and appreciation for differences, fosters transparent communication, and fosters a sense of belonging among all employees is essential for effectively managing and integrating diverse beliefs, attitudes, and values within an organisation. Creating such environments effectively enables an organisation to fully utilise the benefits of diversity while minimising the chances of conflicts, thereby improving both the performance of the organisation and the well-being of its employees (Roberson, 2006).

Overall, the data indicates that individuals' perspectives, principles, and convictions play a crucial role in influencing their behaviour and productivity in the workplace. Furthermore, when effectively managed, the coexistence of diverse belief systems within a workforce can improve organisational outcomes. The results mentioned above emphasise the importance of understanding and addressing the psychological concepts of beliefs, attitudes, and values in organisational settings to enhance the performance of individuals and groups.

Examining Theories of Management

Over time, management theories have changed to reflect philosophical shifts in workforce leadership and organisation. These changes show how managerial values and attitudes differ, especially as classical and bureaucratic schools gave way to human-centered modern ones. This discussion examines how Taylor, Fayol, and Weber's fundamental principles differ from modern theories that value employee values. This study will reveal how these management theories shape employee values, attitudes, and beliefs.

Classical management theory

Classical management theory, developed in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, focused on efficiency, productivity, and process optimisation. Scientific Management pioneer Frederick W. Taylor promoted task optimisation and labour efficiency. Henri Fayol proposed 14 management principles, from division of work to esprit de corps, to create a systematic approach to management (Fayol, 1949).

Classical managers valued order, efficiency, and control above all else. Often seen as machine parts, employees focused on task completion and organisational efficiency. This approach was productive but ignored employee needs and development.

Bureaucratic Management Theory

Organisational hierarchy, rules, and a systematic division of labour were central to Max Weber's Bureaucratic Management theory (Weber, 1947). Standardised procedures were used to eliminate bias and increase efficiency in this theory.

Management Values and Attitudes: Bureaucrats enforce rules and protocols to ensure predictability and uniformity. This model is fair and efficient, but it can limit creativity and flexibility.

Human Relations Theory

Elton Mayo's Hawthorne Studies inspired the Human Relations Theory, which stressed workplace social relations, employee satisfaction, and motivation in response to classical and bureaucratic theories' impersonality (Mayo, 1933).

Managerial Values and Attitudes: Managers who prioritise employee well-being create an open, collaborative workplace. This shift recognises employees as individuals with diverse needs and emphasises managerial empathy and support to boost productivity.

Modern methods: transformational leadership and systems theory

Modern management theories emphasise work's human side. Transformational Leadership Theory encourages employees through visionary leadership and personal growth (Bass, 1985). Systems Theory views organisations as interconnected systems and emphasises holistic management that considers organisational interdependencies (von Bertalanffy, 1968).

Modern managerial values and attitudes emphasise flexibility, innovation, and personal and collective growth. Managers foster creativity, collaboration, and ethics to promote change. These approaches

reflect a major shift towards valuing each employee's unique contributions and promoting mutual respect and continuous improvement.

Conclusion

From classical and bureaucratic models to modern, human-centered management approaches, the importance of aligning organisational practices with employee values, attitudes, and beliefs has grown. Once revolutionary, Henri Fayol's ideas now align with modern theories that value employee contributions. This shift emphasises the need for management practices that boost productivity and foster a supportive, innovative, and ethical workplace.

Workplace management and its effects on employees' attitudes, values, and beliefs

Management within an SEO (Search Engine Optimization) business is pivotal in sculpting the beliefs, attitudes, and values of employees, thereby influencing the organization's culture, performance, and overarching success. Given the dynamic nature of the SEO industry, where rapid changes and market fluctuations are the norms, the role of astute and flexible management is paramount. Here's a nuanced look at how management practices in an SEO business impact employee beliefs, attitudes, and values, supplemented with relevant citations to enrich the analysis.

Influence on Employee Beliefs

Management's stance on navigating search engine algorithm updates, embracing emerging technologies, and addressing competitive challenges shapes employees' beliefs regarding the company's adaptability, resilience, and innovation commitment (Kotter, 1996). When leaders proactively engage with industry shifts and demonstrate agility in strategic adjustments, they instil a belief in the workforce in the organization's foresightedness and robust positioning for future hurdles (Drucker, 2007). This belief cultivates a culture of continuous learning and adaptability, crucial in the SEO landscape.

Impact on Employee Attitudes

The attitude of employees towards their roles and the organization reflects the management's approach to communication, acknowledgment, and feedback (Herzberg, 2003). Positive reinforcement, constructive feedback, and transparent communication foster an environment of engagement and dedication (Buckingham & Coffman, 1999). In contrast, a lack of recognition and ineffective communication can lead to employee disengagement and a negative workplace attitude. Effective SEO business managers recognize the significance of maintaining an environment that promotes creativity and experimentation, thereby nurturing a positive attitude towards challenges and projects.

Shaping Employee Values

In an SEO business, values such as integrity, transparency, and collaboration are essential, where ethical practices and teamwork drive company reputation and client satisfaction (Collins & Porras, 1994). Management practices and policies exemplify these values, influencing the organizational culture (Schein, 2010). Adhering to ethical SEO techniques and fostering a culture of knowledge sharing and teamwork emphasizes the importance of integrity and mutual support. Managers who embody and promote these values guide the development of a company culture steeped in ethical standards, continuous improvement, and collective achievement.

Implications

The relationship between management behaviors and employee psychological constructs in an SEO business carries significant implications (Bass, 1985). Positive alignment can enhance job satisfaction, reduce turnover, boost the company's reputation, and improve client results, fostering an innovative culture where employees feel valued and motivated (Judge & Piccolo, 2004). Conversely, misalignment may lead to motivational deficits, unethical practices, and a detrimental work environment, adversely affecting performance and client relationships (Robbins & Judge, 2019).

Below I have outlined my team members strengths and weaknesses.

Person	Strengths	Weaknesses
Jonathon (Myself)	Exceptional communication skills - Leadership and team motivation - Strategic thinker - Adaptable to change	- Tends to overcommit - Struggles with delegation
Rakesh	Highly creative and innovative - Detail-oriented - Strong analytical skills - Effective problem solver	- Resistance to strict deadlines - Occasionally isolates in solo projects
Ahmed	- Expert in technical skills - Continual learner - Efficient in project management - Calm under pressure	- Less confident in meetings - Can be overly critical of own work
Dana	- Excellent at conflict resolution - Strong organizational skills - High emotional intelligence	- Difficulty in making quick decisions - Sometimes avoids tough conversations
Luke	- Highly motivated and energetic - Great networking skills - Positive attitude - Versatile across multiple tasks	- Lacks experience in strategic planning - Can be distractible

Person	Strengths	Weaknesses	Values
Jonathon	Exceptional communication skills Leadership and team motivation Strategic thinker Adaptable to change	Tends to overcommit Struggles with delegation	Integrity – Leader – Teamwork
Rakesh	Highly creative and innovative Detail-oriented Strong analytical skills Effective problem solver	Resistance to strict deadlines Occasionally isolates in solo projects	Creativity – Excellence – Resilience
Ahmed	Expert in technical skills Continual learner Efficient in project management Calm under pressure	Less confident in meetings Can be overly critical of own work	Continuous Learning - Quality - Respect
Dana	Excellent at conflict resolution Strong organizational skills High emotional intelligence	Difficulty in making quick decisions Sometimes avoids tough conversations	Empathy – Transparency – Collaboration
Luke	Highly motivated and energetic Great networking skills Positive attitude Versatile across multiple tasks	Lacks experience in strategic planning Can be distractible	Passion - Adaptability – Connectivity

S

By applying Belbin's model and effective team management theories, we have examined the various strengths and weaknesses of our SEO team. This analysis aligns with our core values of innovation, collaboration, and integrity. This collaboration improves the dynamics and productivity of our team by utilising individual talents and addressing areas for improvement, creating an environment where creativity, mutual support, and ethical practices flourish. By aligning our team structure and management approaches with these values, we not only leverage

the distinct contributions of each team member but also enhance our collective success in the competitive digital marketing industry. This statement highlights the significant benefits and significance of having a culture that is guided by strong values, embraces diversity, and promotes continuous learning. Such a culture ensures that our business is flexible and forward-looking.

Contemplating the various abilities and weaknesses of my SEO team reveals an important aspect of our workplace culture that is strongly influenced by contemporary management principles. The team's exceptional communication, creativity, technical expertise, empathetic leadership, and energy enable us to effectively navigate the intricate landscape of SEO. Additionally, these qualities highlight the importance of a flexible and adaptable team structure. The presence of diversity within our team allows us to tackle SEO challenges from multiple angles, enhancing the effectiveness of our strategies and solutions.

Nevertheless, pinpointing aspects such as a tendency to take on too many tasks, reluctance to meet deadlines, reluctance to communicate in group settings, hesitations in making decisions, and being easily distracted highlights the areas where my leadership skills can contribute to additional growth and efficiency. My responsibility in leveraging these strengths and tackling the weaknesses is vital for improving our overall performance as a group. Based on Drucker's insights (2001), I advocate for customising tasks to match individual strengths, in order to optimise both productivity and job satisfaction. In addition, Tuckman's model of group development stages (1965) aligns with our approach, emphasising the significance of progressing and adjusting as a team, particularly during phases that demand cohesion and collaboration.

In order to address these challenges, I have discovered that creating customised strategies for individual team members, with the goal of enhancing their strengths and methodically addressing their weaknesses, is highly effective. To achieve this objective, we have implemented focused training, promoted mentorship, and cultivated an environment that values ongoing feedback and open communication. Moreover, it is essential to adopt the notion of psychological safety, as described by Edmondson (1999). Establishing a conducive atmosphere where each team member feels assured in expressing ideas, making informed decisions, and gaining insights from results is crucial in converting weaknesses into chances for personal and professional growth.

In conclusion, comprehensive analysis of the positive and negative aspects of our SEO team highlights the significance of implementing a strategic management strategy that enhances team productivity while fostering a united, creative, and high-performing team atmosphere. To optimise the effectiveness of our SEO campaigns and cultivate a workplace culture of positivity, productivity, and continuous growth, we should embrace the distinct talents of each team member while actively addressing areas for improvement. This well-rounded approach to team management not only conforms to our fundamental principles but also drives us towards long-lasting achievement in the competitive and constantly changing digital marketing industry.

Conclusion

In summary, the investigation into the influence of management on employees' beliefs, attitudes, and values demonstrates that management plays a crucial role in shaping the organisational environment and the experiences of its members. By examining different management theories, we have observed

how distinct managerial values and practices impact the dynamics of the workplace, the satisfaction of employees, and the performance of the business.

The transition from classical management theories, which prioritise efficiency and task optimisation, to modern models such as transformational leadership, which emphasises inspiration, innovation, and individual growth, demonstrates the changing managerial perspectives and the increasing acknowledgment of employees as valuable and complex individuals, rather than mere components of a system. This shift not only indicates alterations in social values and business environments but also emphasises the intricate interaction between management practices and employee outcomes.

Observed evidence and scholar studies consistently demonstrate that proficient managerial strategies play a crucial role in shaping favourable employee beliefs, attitudes, and values. Transformational leadership exemplifies the significant influence that visionary, supportive, and engaging management can have on inspiring employees, cultivating job contentment, and harmonising individual and organisational objectives.

Nevertheless, this investigation also acknowledges the difficulties and opposing viewpoints, acknowledging that variations among individuals and external influences have an impact on workplace behaviours and perceptions. Although the situation is complicated, the evidence strongly indicates that management has a distinct and influential ability to impact employee psychological factors, which in turn affects the success of the organisation.

In conclusion, when we compare our personal experiences with scholar research, it becomes clear that the connection between management and employee beliefs, attitudes, and values is not only of theoretical importance but also a practical necessity for organisational leadership. Implementing management practices that recognise and utilise these psychological concepts can result in a more committed, efficient, and contented workforce, finally enhancing organisational performance and flexibility in a constantly evolving business environment.

References

- Amabile, T. M. (1998). How to kill creativity. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological review*, 84(2), 191.
- Bass, B. M., & Bass Bernard, M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*.
- Belbin, R. M. (1981). *Management Teams: Why They Succeed or Fail*, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford.
- Belbin, R. M. (1993). *Team Roles at Work*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford
- Bezos, J., (2020). Available at: http://media.corporate-ir.net/media_files/irol/97/97664/reports/Shareholderletter98.pdf
- Facione, P. A. (2010). Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts. *Insight Assessment*.
- Buckingham, M., & Coffman, C. (2014). *First, break all the rules: What the world's greatest managers do differently*. Simon and Schuster.
- Collins, J. C., & Porras, J. I. (1996). Building your company's vision. *Harvard business review*, 74, 65-78.
- Cox, T. H., & Blake, S. (1991). Managing cultural diversity: Implications for organizational competitiveness. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 5(3), 45-56.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "What" and "Why" of Goal Pursuits: Human Needs and the Self-Determination of Behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*.
- Drucker, J., & Goldstein, H. (2007). Assessing the regional economic development impacts of universities: A review of current approaches. *International regional science review*, 30(1), 20-46.
- Drucker, P. (2001). The next society. *The economist*, 1.
- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative science quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383.
- Edwards, J. R., & Cable, D. M. (2009). The value of value congruence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(3), 654-677.
- Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. L., & Rhoades, L. (2002). Perceived supervisor support: contributions to perceived organizational support and employee retention. *Journal of applied psychology*, 87(3), 565.
- Erdogan, B., & Bauer, T. N. (2016). Leader-member exchange theory: The past and potential for the future. *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management*, 34, 47-98.
- Facione, P. A. (2010). "Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts." *Insight Assessment*.
- Fayol, H. (1949). *General and Industrial Management*. London: Pitman Publishing.
- Judge, T. A., & Kammeyer-Mueller, J. D. (2012). Job attitudes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63, 341-367.

- Judge, T. A., & Kammeyer-Mueller, J. D. (2012). On the value of aiming high: The causes and consequences of ambition. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(4), 758.
- Judge, T. A., Piccolo, R. F., & Ilies, R. (2004). The forgotten ones? The validity of consideration and initiating structure in leadership research. *Journal of applied psychology*, 89(1), 36.
- Karakitapoğlu-Aygün, Z., Erdogan, B., Caughlin, D. E., & Bauer, T. N. (2024). Transformational leadership, idiosyncratic deals and employee outcomes. *Personnel Review*, 53(2), 562-579.
- Kristof, A. L. (1996). "Person-organization fit: An integrative review of its conceptualizations, measurement, and implications." *Personnel Psychology*, 49(1), 1-49.
- Kristof-Brown, A. L., Zimmerman, R. D., & Johnson, E. C. (2005). Consequences OF INDIVIDUALS' FIT at work: A meta-analysis OF person–job, person–organization, person–group, and person–supervisor fit. *Personnel psychology*, 58(2), 281-342.
- Latham, G. P., Locke, E. A., & Fassin, N. E. (2002). The high performance cycle: Standing the test of time. *Psychological management of individual performance*, 5(6), 201-28.
- Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American psychologist*, 57(9), 705.
- Luthans, F., & Peterson, S. J. (2002). Employee engagement and manager self-efficacy. *Journal of management development*, 21(5), 376-387.
- Mayo, E. (1933). *The Human Problems of an Industrial Civilization*. New York: Macmillan.
- Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnysky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of vocational behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.
- O'Reilly III, C. A., Williams, K. Y., & Barsade, S. (1998). Group demography and innovation: Does diversity help?.
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2006). *Critical thinking: The nature of critical and creative thought*. Foundation for Critical Thinking.
- Pink, D. H. (2009). *Drive: The Surprising Truth About What Motivates Us*. Riverhead Books.
- Ramli, Y., & Soelton, M. (2019). The millennial workforce: How do they commit to the organization. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law*, 19(5), 7-18.
- Rhoades, L., & Eisenberger, R. (2002). Perceived organizational support: a review of the literature. *Journal of applied psychology*, 87(4), 698.
- Robbins, S. P., Judge, T. A., & Vohra, N. (2019). *Organizational behaviour by pearson 18e*. Pearson Education India.
- Roberson, Q. M. (2006). Disentangling the meanings of diversity and inclusion in organizations. *Group & organization management*, 31(2), 212-236.

- Roberson, Q. M., & Stevens, C. K. (2006). Making sense of diversity in the workplace: Organizational justice and language abstraction in employees' accounts of diversity-related incidents. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(2), 379.
- Schaubroeck, J., Lam, S. S. K., & Cha, S. E. (2012). Embracing transformational leadership: Team values and the impact of leader behavior on team performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(4), 737-749.
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (Vol. 2). John Wiley & Sons.
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership*. Jossey-Bass.
- Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. Doubleday.
- Thomond, P., Herzberg, T., & Lettice, F. (2003, September). Disruptive innovation: Removing the innovators dilemma. In *British Academy of Management Annual Conference: 'Knowledge into Practice*.
- Tuckman, B. W. (1965). Developmental sequence in small groups. *Psychological bulletin*, 63(6), 384.
- von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General System Theory: Foundations, Development, Applications*. New York: George Braziller.
- Weber, M. (1947). *The Theory of Social and Economic Organization*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Zawawi, A., & Putrawan, I. (2019). Building employees' organizational commitment through leadership styles and organizational culture at automotive industry. *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, 11(9 Spec), 448-454.

Appendices