



LCBB6023 – International Business Communications

Component 2: Essay

Marvin Carvajal

Student ID: 2211194

Lecturer: Dr Gregory Cowan

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
Literature Review	4
Culture and Intercultural Communication	4
Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions.....	4
Language, BELF and Pragmatic Competence.....	5
Trust, Ethics and Relationship Building.....	5
Main Analysis: UK–China Negotiation Context.....	5
Direct versus Indirect Communication Styles	5
Conflict Management and Face-Saving in UK–China Negotiations	6
Power Distance and Hierarchical Communication	6
Time Orientation and Negotiation Pace	6
The Importance of Relationships and Guanxi	7
Language Use and BELF in Practice	7
Non-Verbal Communication and Symbolic Meaning	7
Persuasion Strategies and Rhetorical Differences	7
Leadership, Authority and Negotiation Representation.....	8
Strategic Communication Approaches for International Managers	8
Conclusion	9
Reference List.....	10

Introduction

The modern global economy needs international business operations to succeed because they must achieve proper communication between different cultural groups. The globalizing world has increased international business activities through which companies now conduct more cross-border trade operations and make foreign direct investments and establish international partnerships thus requiring managers to develop essential intercultural communication abilities. International business operations encounter various obstacles because organizations must navigate between different cultural values and communication approaches and professional requirements to achieve successful interactions. The process of negotiation stands out as the most communication-heavy and culturally complex method because it requires people to persuade others while building relationships and making decisions and resolving conflicts at the same time.

The research evaluates international negotiation communication methods through an examination of UK-China business negotiations. The United Kingdom and China represent contrasting cultural systems, particularly in terms of communication style, power distance, collectivism and attitudes towards relationships and authority. The different negotiation methods which British and Chinese businesses use create opportunities for communication breakdowns, yet these approaches enable organizations to build their capabilities and modify their operational methods. Research on international business communication indicates that negotiation failures result from inadequate communication handling and insufficient cultural knowledge rather than technical or financial problems (Samovar et al.,2017).

The research investigates cultural differences which impact international negotiation techniques while developing strategies for international managers to overcome cross-cultural communication challenges. The research applies theories as Hofstede's cultural dimensions, Halls communication framework and more to analyse UK-China negotiation methods which demonstrates both challenges that occur during negotiations and successful methods for their management. The conclusion section of the paper presents research results while it examines how international managers should handle different cultural settings.

Literature Review

Culture and Intercultural Communication

People develop their communication skills and social interaction behaviours through the cultural environment which guides their growth. Culture is defined as the “collective programming of the mind” that distinguishes one group from another. International business operations face cultural differences which affect how people communicate and how leaders lead and how they negotiate and handle conflicts. Unacknowledged cultural differences produce cultural noise which interferes with international communication message delivery and reception.

The Hall theory explains how international negotiations between countries get affected by their use of high-context or low-context communication methods. High-context cultures including China base their communication on implicit messages and shared knowledge and non-verbal signals and previous relationships between people. The UK along with other low-context cultures base their communication on direct spoken words which they use to convey their messages clearly. Different cultural groups maintain distinct beliefs about what makes communication clear which leads to negotiation challenges when their representatives need to work together.

Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions

The Hofstede cultural dimensions framework allows researchers to perform systematic assessments which evaluate national cultural patterns against each other. The individualism–collectivism dimension reveals British business culture operates through personal accountability and self-motivation, but Chinese business culture depends on collective decision-making to preserve social stability. The power distance dimension explains why hierarchical structures and respect for authority are more pronounced in Chinese organisations than in British ones. The business approach of China bases its operations on long-term planning, but the UK operates with a short-term mindset which focuses on immediate results (Hofstede et al., 2010).

The cultural dimensions which negotiators hold affect their understanding of time and authority and risk and commitment which determines their negotiation approach and their achievement in negotiations.

Language, BELF and Pragmatic Competence

English serves as the main communication language which international business negotiations conduct their negotiations. Business English as a Lingua Franca (BELF) enables people to understand each other effectively through communication rather than needing perfect native speaker language skills (Kankaanranta & Louhiala-Salminen, 2013). People who share a common language with each other still maintain their original cultural backgrounds. People continue to experience pragmatic misunderstandings because they do not understand how messages get transformed during communication.

Gimenez (2006) states that international communicators require functional abilities together with cultural knowledge instead of basic language skills to succeed in their work. People use indirect speech to show either politeness or disagreement instead of expressing their doubts which makes negotiation understanding essential.

Trust, Ethics and Relationship Building

International negotiation depends on trust as its core principle which drives all its operations. The development of trust in Chinese collectivist societies depends on building relationships through personal connections (guanxi) which spans multiple years, yet the UK bases its trust system on institutional frameworks and contractual agreements. Trust functions through organizations which follow ethical standards and implement Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) to establish international organization credibility.

Main Analysis: UK–China Negotiation Context

Direct versus Indirect Communication Styles

The main communication obstacle which UK and China need to overcome during their negotiations stems from their contrasting approaches to directness. British negotiators tend to choose direct and open communication because they believe face-to-face dialogue represents the most genuine and respectful way to conduct business negotiations.

The Chinese negotiator would express acceptance through "we will consider it" while maintaining silence during negotiations which might make British negotiators think he accepted the proposal or lacked decision-making abilities. The responses from these individuals show either their respectful disagreement or their need to consult their team members.

Conflict Management and Face-Saving in UK–China Negotiations

The process of conflict and disagreement management stands as a vital yet frequently ignored element which affects how UK and China negotiate with each other. The negotiation process requires parties to handle conflicting interests, but different cultural groups express their disagreement through unique methods. The UK negotiation team members who come from a low-context individualistic culture tend to see open disagreement as an effective method which helps them achieve their goals.

The methods for conflict resolution include transforming disagreements into collective problem-solving efforts and using mediators and conducting private discussions about delicate matters. Such strategies reduce cultural noise through mindful encoding and contextual sensitivity. Managers who focus on maintaining good relationships while working on their tasks will achieve better results in their negotiations over time.

Power Distance and Hierarchical Communication

People who negotiate with others base their communication methods on their preferred power distance during negotiations. Chinese organizations follow hierarchical systems which grant their highest-ranking officials the power to make all organizational decisions. The participants who attend meetings lack authority to determine outcomes although they continue to engage in all discussion activities.

Time Orientation and Negotiation Pace

The UK–China negotiations need to address a critical communication issue because their time management systems function with opposing time-based approaches. The UK business culture operates with monochronic time orientation which demands both efficient work and exact timing and absolute adherence to scheduled deadlines. Most individuals expect negotiations to advance directly toward achieving complete agreement. The Chinese business culture functions through its own system which combines flexible time handling with relationship-based negotiation approaches (Hofstede et al., 2010).

People experience frustration because their different time perspectives create conflicts with each other. The UK team would probably see long negotiation times as Chinese attempts to stay away from talks or work at a slow pace, but Chinese negotiators would see quick negotiations as signs of Chinese disinterest or unfulfilled commitments.

The Importance of Relationships and Guanxi

Relationship-building is a defining feature of Chinese negotiation culture. Chinese negotiators follow a different method than the UK because they first establish trust relationships and long-term partnerships before they start working on contract terms. The formation of guanxi relationships requires people to participate in social activities which include sharing meals and having casual conversations and maintaining regular social contact.

HSBC achieved long-term success in China through its relationship-based strategy which combined with its expertise in Chinese business customs (HSBC, 2025). The company established its negotiation power and credibility through focused trust-building efforts instead of seeking short-term monetary gains.

Language Use and BELF in Practice

The BELF communication system in UK–China negotiations face obstacles which extend past the basic language elements of English vocabulary and grammar. Chinese negotiators use formal communication to show respect, but British negotiators select casual dialogue to build friendly connections. The various communication styles between people create potential for them to misunderstand professional behaviour and genuine interest and active participation.

Non-Verbal Communication and Symbolic Meaning

Non-verbal communication serves as a primary communication method in high-context cultures which creates a major obstacle for UK–China negotiation success. UK managers who prefer direct communication might miss the hidden meanings which gestures, and silence and eye contact and seating positions convey to others.

People from China and the UK handle silence differently in their negotiations because Chinese people use silence to show thought or disagreement, yet British people tend to see it as confusion or lack of interest. People in the UK use direct eye contact to show their confidence yet Chinese culture sees this behaviour as an aggressive confrontation. Differences in kinesics, proxemics and paralanguage are major sources of cultural noise.

Persuasion Strategies and Rhetorical Differences

The UK negotiation team conducts their negotiations through logical reasoning and presentation of data evidence and contractual evaluation. The different communication styles between these

two groups create problems when they try to persuade each other because their approaches do not match.

The UK firm would show complete financial projections to support their pricing strategy, but Chinese businesses would focus on establishing enduring business relationships through joint development. Persuasive success in BELF contexts depends not on linguistic sophistication but on alignment with cultural expectations.

International managers can enhance persuasive effectiveness by combining rational arguments with relational narratives. The presentation shows how proposals help the group through its follow-up of organizational structures and its focus on creating enduring business relationships which match the cultural traditions of China. The integrated method teaches to modify communication approach based on audience and environmental conditions instead of using generic methods.

Leadership, Authority and Negotiation Representation

Leadership expectations about their roles create changes in how people interact with each other during UK–China negotiations. The GLOBE study provides insights which show that leadership legitimacy receives varying perceptions between different cultural groups. Chinese leaders need to maintain both strong authority and defence of social unity, but British leaders tend to use participative methods with equal treatment for all.

The UK negotiators fail to recognize how Chinese negotiation practices depend on seniority and formal authority when they negotiate with China. The practice of sending junior representatives who lack decision-making authority might create the impression that the organization does not take the matter seriously. Leadership behaviour that is too casual can damage a leader's ability to be taken seriously by others.

Strategic Communication Approaches for International Managers

International managers who want to succeed need to use multiple strategies which help them handle communication problems.

1. Managers who develop Cultural Intelligence (CQ) will learn to identify cultural variations which they can use to modify their actions.
2. Adapt Negotiation Styles: British managers need to keep their communication direct, but they should use diplomatic methods which enable staff members to express their thoughts through their typical indirect communication approach.
3. Invest in Relationship Building: Trust and long-term orientation are essential in Chinese contexts.

Conclusion

The research evaluates international negotiation communication methods through an analysis of UK-China business relations. The analysis demonstrates how cultural values affect communication styles and negotiation behaviour and decision-making through its combination of intercultural communication theories with actual business scenarios.

The research shows that UK-China negotiation challenges emerge because of opposing directness levels and different approaches to power management and trust development and communication styles. The British negotiating style depends on direct communication methods which differ from Chinese negotiation methods that use indirect approaches and focus on building relationships. People who lack cultural understanding between them will misread each other which results in anger and failed negotiations.

The research reveals that these obstacles do not present an impossible barrier to overcome. International managers who develop cultural intelligence and practice mindful communication and adjust their negotiation methods will be able to convert cultural differences into business benefits. The three essential elements for successful cross-cultural negotiations include Relationship-building and ethical sensitivity and leadership adaptability.

The results have major effects which international managers need to understand. Technical expertise and language proficiency alone are insufficient in global business environments. Managers need to develop intercultural competence along with patience and flexibility to succeed when working between different cultural environments. Managers who want to expand their business operations across international borders need to develop strategic communication abilities which understand cultural differences to achieve lasting international business success.

Reference List

Cardenas, L. (2022). Overcoming Cultural Barriers in Negotiations and the Importance of Communication in International Business Deals. [online] PON - Program on Negotiation at Harvard Law School. Available at: <https://www.pon.harvard.edu/daily/international-negotiation-daily/bridging-the-cultural-divide-in-international-business-negotiations/?amp> [Accessed 18 Dec. 2025].

Gimenez, J. (2006). Embedded Business emails: Meeting New Demands in International Business Communication. English for Specific Purposes, 25(2), pp.154–172. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.04.005>.

Gov.uk (2015). UK-China Strategic Communication Initiative. [online] GOV.UK. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/case-studies/uk-china-strategic-communication-initiative> [Accessed 1 Dec. 2025].

Harvard Law School (2021). How to Negotiate in Cross-Cultural Situations. [online] PON - Program on Negotiation at Harvard Law School. Available at: <https://www.pon.harvard.edu/daily/leadership-skills-daily/how-to-negotiate-in-cross-cultural-situations/?amp> [Accessed 14 Dec. 2025].

Hofstede, G., Minkov, M. and Hofstede, G.J. (2010). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind. [online] McGraw-Hill. Available at: https://e-edu.nbu.bg/pluginfile.php/900222/mod_resource/content/1/G.Hofstede_G.J.Hofstede_M.Minkov%20-%20Cultures%20and%20Organizations%20-%20Software%20of%20the%20Mind%203rd%20edition%202010.pdf [Accessed 11 Dec. 2025].

HSBC (2025). About HSBC | HSBC in Mainland China. [online] HSBC. Available at: <https://www.about.hsbc.com.cn/> [Accessed 16 Dec. 2025].

Kankaanranta, A. and Louhiala-Salminen, L. (2013). ‘What Language Does Global Business speak?’ - the Concept and Development of BELF. Iberica, [online] 26(26), pp.17–34. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/286044842_What_language_does_global_business_speak_-_The_concept_and_development_of_BELF [Accessed 13 Dec. 2025].

Matthews, W. (2025). What the UK Must Get Right in Its China Strategy | 01 Introduction: The Need for Security and Resilience. [online] Chatham House – International Affairs Think Tank. Available at: <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2025/07/what-uk-must-get-right-its-china-strategy/01-introduction-need-security-and-resilience> [Accessed 10 Dec. 2025].

Samovar, L.A., Porter, R.E., McDaniel, E.R. and Roy, C.S. (2017). Communication between Cultures. 9th ed. Boston, Mass.: Cengage Learning.

Staff, P. (2018). Unlocking Cross-Cultural Differences in Negotiation. [online] PON - Program on Negotiation at Harvard Law School. Available at: <https://www.pon.harvard.edu/daily/international-negotiation-daily/unlocking-cross-cultural-differences-in-negotiation-nb/> [Accessed 15 Dec. 2025].