

Feedback	
Student's Name	MICHAEL RHYS
Student's SurName	JONES
Student's Email	1909708@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	Advanced Cybersecurity
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	30
Feedback	Marks
In this section you need to do in-text referencing from academic credible and relevant diversity of sources. Where possible you are expected to critically appraise the literature engaged. You are expected to apply appropriate referencing conventions. NB Academic Credible literatures score high. More clarity on the recent attacks required. Attack on banking sector was unclear. More description required. The attack was documented in general. Literatures referred to the work is not adequate. More Academic credible literatures, Industry whitepapers, etc need to be referred. Could have added more summaries on the literatures and critical appraisals.	10.4

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
Based on Part 1 activity, the scores are reflected. To score high marks strong knowledge and clear understanding of various terminologies and technologies that were used, referred to, installed during lectures/practical tasks etc. Note that the MCQ test you took was out of 35 marks. That is calculated for 30%. Just for your understanding and to avoid confusion, the MCQ marks are displayed in Knowledge and Understanding Section for 30 Marks. However, Originally, they are considered 20 Marks in Knowledge and Understanding section and 10 Marks in Cognitive and Intellectual Skills.	18.86

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	5
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section you must demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the security measures/mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why, how and when, questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made throughout the whole work. There is a lot of room for improvement. The mitigation strategies need more adequate discussions.	3

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
To excel you need to provide evidence of 2 tools used in their lab activities with adequate screenshots. A discussion on similar kind of tools with implemented outcomes with adequate screenshots is required. Strong reasons on the similarity, pros and cons of both the tools need to be narrated along with the screenshots. Two tools were described. However, no evidences on implementation and outcomes of implementation were recorded. Windows Command line is a utility and not a tool. The tools like recon-ng, Sublist3r, Wireshark, Nessus were discussed in our Labs. What are the similar tools that have been identified? Did you manage to troubleshoot the error with Sublist3r?	8.8

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	Mark
To score high you must ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas, with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Don't address the report using 1st person. Use narrative/ descriptive approach in writing an effective report. Avoid long paragraphs. The flow of the report could have been easy to follow if adequate numbering of the chapters and sub-chapters done.	2
Overall Mark	43