

SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT & STUDENT FEEDBACK (UG)

Student Name:	Matthew Oakey	Student P Number:	
Programme:	Illustration		
Module:	Media and Techniques	Module Level (4, 5, 6):	4
Module Code:	M4X02139	Contribution to Module:	50%
Assignment Title:	The Contemporary Postcard experimenting with media		

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Level of Achievement																
	Outright Fail				MF	3 Satisfactory			2:2 Good			2:1 Very Good			1 st Exceptional		
	F				E	D			C			B			A		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
	0-15%	16-30%	31-34%	35-39%	40-43%	44-46%	47-49%	50-53%	54-56%	57-59%	60-63%	64-66%	67-69%	70-79%	80-89%	90-100	
1. Research & Analysis					X												
2. Development						x											
3. Technical Skill								X									
4. Subject Knowledge				X													
5. Presentation & Communication									x								
6. Personal Learning & Development					X												
7. Professional Practice					x												

Assessment Component – Lecturer insert here e.g. 50%: Coursework – Project Portfolio.

Additional specific details of the work assessed (as per assignment specification) may be inserted here e.g. Portfolio of design research and development drawings, including photographs of models and test samples.

45%

Comments:

- Research & Analysis - very little research –minimal. The saving grace here was having a conversation with you about the artist you admire.-.you bought in a book and we looked through it (I can't recall the artist's name). It was one of the only times I had seen you fired up and enthusiastic. Also, you showed me the collage work you had done on a previous course which was very interesting and competent. Hopefully with the next project you can really progress your work and achieve some hard hitting gritty images.
- Development/Experimentation with Media - no real evidence of much experimentation, missed a lot of the workshops. It is not clear which media you decided to use for each postcard. Even though you mounted the work and put it in folder sleeves there is no explanation to follow for each of the images.
 Artbook –No art book
 Crayons -
 Pastels –Interesting use of oil pastels on sand paper.
 Watercolour -
 Oil -
 Acrylic -
 Own Choice -
 Digital –Digital lighthouse image. Got to grips with the software and the different techniques covered during the digital workshops.
 Print –Nice prints

3. Technical skill - Adequate images, in fact the three beach house images work well together. The light houses are weak and the ball point pen image, done in two minutes flat, that is supposed to look like an etching is weak to say the least
4. Subject Knowledge - No in-depth subject knowledge evident.
5. Presentation & Communication - Well presented in a folder and the finals communicate a lighthearted beach atmosphere. However, they fall short of your obvious interests and abilities. They feel as if they have been done quickly to finalise the project and satisfy the brief's requirements. Please let us know if we are wrong here as we will need to discuss this.
6. Personal Learning & Development - very little attendance, and the self-evaluation sheet gives you more credit in this project than you deserve so you need to be more robust and honest in your self-evaluation. It is frustrating as your work when you have enthusiasm has a skill and power to it which is not evident in this project.
7. Professional practice. You did submit the project on time to your credit. Your management skills are questionable due to the fact that you haven't attended workshops. You have met basic standards required from the brief.

These marks and comments are provided to give feedback on your performance and level of achievement for the assessed module component.

They are subject to ratification at the Examination Board and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.

Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)

Up to one week late – max. 40%

Over for weeks late – max. 0%

Assessed by:	Tracy Thompson /Chris Harrendence	Verified by:	Jonathan Williams	Date:	20th Jan 2018
---------------------	--	---------------------	--------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------

MODULE LEARNING OUTCOMES

1. Insert here

COMMON ASSESSMENT AND MARKING CRITERIA

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	OUTRIGHT FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCEPTIONAL	
	0-34%	35-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-79%	80-100%
1. Research & Analysis Systematic exploration and selection of sources; effective interpretation and evaluation of findings.	Limited or no material presented with limited or no evidence of critical enquiry.	Material presented indicates a basic degree of insight and is of limited relevance to the task.	Material presented is adequate and relevant demonstrating the application of standard research techniques.	Material presented shows a good range of sources, is well organised and interpreted in a manner relevant to the task.	Connections have been made between sources and qualitative judgements have been made in the interpretation of these findings.	Research is extensive, well documented and critically evaluated with new personal judgements made and applied.	Research and exploration is impressive both in extent and depth and the level of interpretation and critical insight is highly advanced.
2. Development Formulation of innovative and effective concepts through appropriate application of problem solving, creative experimentation and testing methods.	Limited or no engagement with the practical and creative processes of ideation.	Basic proposals have been made but limited in scope and lacking in considered and focused development processes.	Proposals are adequate and are delivered within a familiar and well established framework of methods.	Proposed concepts are formulated with a good degree of detail through the use of a variety of systematic and exploratory methods.	Concepts display innovative ideas within established territories, which are well resolved through sound practical and creative processes.	Proposals respond effectively to unfamiliar or new conceptual territories and expand perceptions of process and/or product.	Proposals both challenge and extend the conceptual territory and set new benchmarks for innovation and effectiveness within the field.
3. Technical Skill Effective utilisation of appropriate materials, tools and techniques and the level of skill demonstrated in their application to the realisation process.	Limited or no awareness and utilisation of tools and techniques are demonstrated.	Rudimentary processes may have been applied but without consideration and competence.	Skills demonstrated display adequate awareness and appropriate application of standard materials and methods.	Controlled application of a range of materials and methods with good standards of finishing evident.	Communication of intent is fully executed demonstrating diversity of process and effective technique.	Extensive and highly advanced technical skills in established processes demonstrating sensitivity and excellence in idea realisation.	Outstanding levels of technical skill in all aspects of the realisation process demonstrating the assimilation and development of cutting edge processes and techniques.
4. Subject Knowledge Understanding and application of concepts and underlying principles associated with the discipline and the specific context of study.	Unable to grasp or communicate key principles or knowledge.	Limited understanding and communication of key principles or knowledge.	Evidence of basic understanding of the relevant concepts and underlying principles.	Knowledge is accurate and effectively applied in relation to the specific context.	Knowledge is extensive and the understanding of the context exhibits awareness of the breadth and depth of established views.	Knowledge has been assimilated and individual and personal perspectives have been formulated and debated with effectiveness.	Discipline and context specific knowledge are substantive and a highly advanced synthesis of these elements creates new or expanded perspectives.
5. Presentation & Communication Clarity and effectiveness in the deployment of appropriate media to communicate a message relevant to specialist and non-specialist audiences.	Appropriate media for communication have not been identified or applied effectively.	Limited awareness of the audience and a lack of clarity and organisation are demonstrated.	Basic awareness of the audience and clarity of message is demonstrated in deployment of standard communication forms.	Selection and application of media and conventions show good understanding of the audience and context.	Communication media have been selected and deployed to maximise impact for the selected audience and context.	Individual stylistic approaches have been implemented to communicate to a diverse range of audiences in a highly compelling and effective way.	Presentation and communication methods employed are not only highly individual, compelling and effective but also combine media in an innovative way.
6. Personal Learning & Development Personal responsibility demonstrated in managing own learning through programme engagement, self-directed study and reflective practice.	Little or no responsibility taken for own learning demonstrated by poor attendance, engagement and reflection.	Inconsistency in programme engagement and poor awareness of personal learning and development needs.	Evidence of some reflective practice contributing to appropriate effort and engagement to further personal development.	Evidence of regular reflective practice and awareness of individual strengths and weaknesses demonstrable in core learning and self directed study.	Reflective practice and individual development is extended beyond core learning, is well managed and documented.	Full responsibility for own learning and development is evidenced in detail demonstrating critical appraisal of purpose, progress and future direction.	Outstanding level of engagement with all elements of the programme enhanced by the personal drive and passion to extend learning beyond established parameters and into new domains.
7. Professional Practice Demonstrates those attributes expected in professional practice including individual initiative, project management, collaborative working and inter-personal skills.	No demonstrable understanding of professional expectations and does not work effectively as an individual or with others.	Poor level of productivity as an individual or in a collaborative context, ineffective management and little understanding of professional life.	Demonstrates awareness of the relevant attributes for professional practice and delivers work to the minimum requirements as an individual and/or in collaboration.	Works to the relevant standards and meets most requirements as stipulated in both individual and team working scenarios.	Meets most or all of the relevant standards and in some areas exceeds the requirements as stipulated, taking control of some aspects of project management.	Often works above the relevant standards and exceeds the requirements as a team member, leader or individual in a variety of working scenarios	Always works well above the relevant standards and demonstrates outstanding individual and collaborative professional attributes in every working scenario encountered.