

Feedback	
Student's Name	CHARLES MICHAEL
Student's SurName	WILKINS
Student's Email	2104032@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	NCSE
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
Could have referred to academic credible literatures. Most of the Literatures are internet sources. Literatures referred were mostly not appropriately in-text cited. No critical reviews on the literature was done. Referring to more academic credible literatures could have improved the literature work on your report.	6

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
The knowledge and understanding on Threats, vulnerabilities and attacks are good. Could have done more in-depth research. Could have added more detailed description on the listed threats and attacks. Could have added more in-depth description on .rekt file format attacks. The Guideline for the scenario is excellent.	10.2

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
Discussed the possible security breaches, based on the scenario given. However, no evidences on the statements were provided. Just stated the scenario and the kind of breach. Could have stated the reasons for the breach and justifications on the possibility. For eg: Change in Internet securit permissions for the employees. No justification/ reasoning evidences were found. Could have added key observations effectively in the document More details on ransomware/ encrypted file format required.	12

Practical Application Skills	40
Feedback	Mark
Packet Tracer Certificate attached. Physical and Logical Topology done. However, the diagrams could have been designed/ presented more professionally. Implementation done. IP Addresses configured. Basic configurations done in the network. Wireless Connectivity is sucessful. Basic security need to be configured.	34

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	10
Feedback	Mark
Table of Contents need to be automated. No need to add the figures in the List of figures. Just give the name and page number in the list. List of tables and figures also could be automated. Poor formatting. Don't address the report using 1st person. Use narrative/ descriptive approach in writing an effective report.	5.2
You have to write an abstract of work done and not your reflection on the Module and description of task. Plagiarised Contents. Need more focus. Refer others work, understand what is said and write on your own	
Overall Mark	67