


Research Participation Log 2018/2019



Level 5 Research
Design and Analysis
(M5X00913)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_CREDIT_CJ

Study Code: SWAN_CREDIT_CJ

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Prior to the commencement of this study, I was presented with a consent form and asked to read through it. This form provided a very brief description of what the study entailed. I was requested to sign the consent form, should I be happy to continue my participation in the study.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

After completion of this study, I was thanked for my participation and was presented with a debrief form, explaining the purpose of this study and also providing details of the researcher should I have any questions and wish to contact them.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I feel that this study was very well organised, clear and easy to follow, therefore I don't believe it required any improvements. If I had to be very critical, perhaps this study could be more aimed towards individuals who have actually experienced situations such as those described in the study.

Name (PRINT): JOHN REES

Sign: J.Rees

Date: 13.3.19

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

This study required me to watch a short video clip of a bank robbery. After this, I was given a short period of time to write down as much detail as I could remember from the short video clip I had just watched. This was then followed by a five minute crossword puzzle. I was then shown two different line-ups, containing 8 faces. From this, I was required to try to identify whether the suspect of the crime was within this line up.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I have always had a great interest and passion for law and crime related things, so I thoroughly enjoyed participating in this study. I found this study to be very thought provoking, and reminded me of the constant dangers that lurk within the world. Despite this, it also motivated me to work hard and hopefully change society for the better.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Once this study was completed, the researcher ensured that I felt ok, due to the nature of the research. They then provided me with a debrief form, explaining the reasons for the study and a little background history to the subject area. I was given some contact details, in order to contact the researcher at a later time, should I have anything to discuss.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

The researcher provided a warning of the nature of this study prior to participants confirming their enrolment. I find this to be very professional and courteous. They also ensured that participants were of sound mind after completing the study. Plenty of information was provided and opportunity for later communication. As a result of all this, I feel the researcher has no areas requiring improvement within her study.

Name (PRINT): JOHN REES

Sign: J.Rees

Date: 13.3.19

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was requested to complete two online questionnaires in regard to my attitudes towards ingroup and outgroup members of society, and school. For the purpose of this study, the ingroups and outgroups were deaf and hard of hearing school children. This study questioned my thoughts about how these groups may impact the educational environment, as well as how they may be impacted themselves.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in an online research study and how this experience differed from a lab based study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I found this study to be very interesting and valid. Having the ability to partake in a research study from the comfort of my own home and in my own time, was very beneficial and was more easily accessible, in comparison to lab based studies. Lab based studies can sometimes be difficult to fit around schedules, so I found this online study to be very appealing to me.

Online Study Study Code: Swan_Credit_CW

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

The format of this study, was an online one, therefore I was initially presented with an online consent form, which included some brief details of what to expect from the study. I was requested to read this information, and if I was happy to continue, click on the link to commence the study.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_CREDIT_AO

Study Code: SWAN_CREDIT_AO

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Before commencing the study, the researcher ensured that I was comfortable in the lab setting and confirmed that I felt comfortable to partake in the study, due to it involving reading about a serious crime and acknowledging my reactions and attitudes towards it. I was given a consent form, which briefly explained this, and was asked to sign it, if I was happy to continue.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Initially, I was asked for demographic information, such as my age, gender and race. I was then required to read through two different timeline reports of a serious crime involving a suspect and victim. Following this, I had to decide at what point of this timeline, would I have intervened, as well as how serious, dangerous and violent I believed the crime to be.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I found this study to be very thought provoking, interesting and valid, however, due to the nature of the study, it did make me feel slightly uneasy and frustrated.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

The researcher ensured that I was of sound mind, after completing this task and offered me the opportunity to debrief my emotions. I was provided with a debrief form, containing reasoning behind the research, as well as background history. I was given contact details of the researcher, should I wish to discuss or query anything at a later date.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I did find this study to be a little overwhelming and frustrating, purely as a result of you as the participant having to put yourself in the situation of a violent crime. However, the researcher did give participants prior warning of the nature of this study, and did provide plenty of opportunities to pull out of the research; therefore, I feel that the researcher did all she could to make the study as smooth as possible. The only issue I had with this study, was having to wait several minutes, on my own and with nothing to do, between reading the two separate reports.

Name (PRINT): JOHN REES

Sign: J.REES

Date: 26.3.19

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

[illegible]

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

[illegible]

Study Four Study Code:

Study Four Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes

☐

No

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

[illegible]

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Three Study Code:

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

[illegible]

Date: _____

[illegible]

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled document.