

Kieron Willams - Feedback

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
No evidence of literature review, minimal citations, references thin.	10	2
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
Well discussed background to problem which was generally well investigated. Aims, objectives assumptions and limitations to project only vaguely discussed. Some more use of design diagrams such as DFD would have helped the design.	20	11
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Project requirements evaluated pretty thoroughly, diagrams could be better used in the early stages of this design. Only vaguely discussed criteria that may be needed in the final system, and design alternatives not considered, evidence for design choices shown as a result of interaction with client. Alternative solutions only vaguely discussed.	20	10
4. Practical Application Skills		
Outputs not discussed in format or layout. Data entry discussed in some detail, but format of entry portals to the system shown. Discussion of data in the database done well generally but with some omissions. Validation was only thinly discussed. Overall a non-exhaustive solution proposed. A single example club only used to design database, with some links clearly demonstrated, but this is not exhaustive, omission of interface data makes this hard to implement. In addition to database design data thin and pseudo code, poorly structured..	40	15
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		
Lack of use of Capitals throughout- poor grammar, plan not for project build only for design phase.	10	5

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		42
	Up to four weeks late		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	