


Research Participation Log 2017/2018



Level 4 Research
Methods (ECPS4001)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Participants were ensured confidentiality was upheld, the opportunity to withdraw data was provided and providing full details on the on the purpose of the study in a debrief sheet. A consent form had also been provided, detailing the tasks that are involved in the study.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Tasked with listening to and repeating German sentences on an audio-recording. Tasks were clearly designated prior to the session; however, the aim of the study had not been detailed until the debrief form had been provided.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

The study behind the recall of foreign languages had caused feelings of anxiety at moments due to the recording being present. While it may have not affected the recall itself, it had caused some anxiety.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

A debrief form had been provided to outline the aim of the study and the methods that had been applied to the study. The researcher's email had been provided on the sheet if discussion is needed.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Another group of participants had listened to "Happy Birthday To You", assuming the lyrics were present, lyrics in the melody for my group may have provided more accurate results as the inconsistency may result in issues with accuracy.

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page. On the left side, there is a vertical teal-colored margin or binding edge. The paper appears to be part of a notebook or a set of loose-leaf papers.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in an online research study and how this experience differed from a lab based study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical red margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled document.

Online Study Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_credit_MTA

Study Code: SWAN_credit_MTA

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

A consent form had been provided at the start of the study, with details of the of the tasks involved. A debrief sheet had then been provided at the end of the study to ensure confidentiality and provide the opportunity to withdraw data

Note:

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Tasked with completing two questionnaires, one of them involved finding information relating to Sigmund Freud, either using a laptop or books to find the information and the second involved our attitude towards technology use.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Using the laptop to research the information had led to personal feelings of guilt and/or potential laziness when completing the task, resulting in additional anxiety during the study.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

A debrief sheet had been provided at the end of the study, which provided details relating to the aim of the study as well as the implication of the research. The form also provided the contact information of the researcher if there were any questions.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Perhaps extending the study time would prove beneficial as participants may feel rushed to complete the task and may use the laptop as opposed to the book due to accessibility, as the study was only 15 minutes.

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

[illegible]

Study Three Study Code: SWAN_credit_GH

Study Three Study Code: SWAN_credit_GH

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

A consent form had been provided at the start of the study, providing details regarding the tasks that are involved in the study. Ensured confidentiality and the right to withdraw data from the study had been provided at the end of the study through a debrief form.

Note:

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

A consent form had been provided at the end of the study, which provided detail regarding the specific aims of the study as well as providing contact information in the instance that any questions were present.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Exposure to mental health information from social media sources and academic sources and determining the recall amongst the two would provide information on our level of engagement with sources of information.

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

The study involved completing two questionnaires, relating to personal attitudes and opinions towards Schizophrenia as well as social media usage. Participants were required to have a Facebook and Twitter account to participate.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

It was interesting to learn about the concept of how our perception and knowledge of mental illness, specifically Schizophrenia, is affected by our engagement in social media.