



LCMB7002

Financial Management

Presented to the
Faculty of UWTSD

Name: Akshay Suresh Kurhade (2005370)

Lecturer: Jia Miao

Word Count:4529

Table Contents

Introduction.....	3
Business Model.....	3
Market Place	4
Major Competitor.....	4
Major Recent Events.....	4
PBP, ARR and NPV	5
Payback Period (PBP).....	5
Benefits	6
Disadvantages	6
Accounting Rate of Return	6
Benefits	7
Disadvantages	7
Net Present Value (NPV).....	8
Benefits	9
Disadvantages	9
Non-Financial Factors.....	9
Political & Legal	9
Economic Environment.....	10
Social & Cultural Forces.....	10
Technological Environment.....	10
Environmental.....	11
Financial Ratios	11
Nestle VS Mondelez International – Financial Analysis.....	12
Profitability	13
Liquidity.....	14
Efficiency.....	16
Gearing.....	17
Recommendation	18
Conclusion	19

Introduction

Nestle is a Swiss conglomerate that's into manufacturing of edible goods and is currently the biggest food producer on the planet. It has a physical presence in 194 countries with an estimated 450 manufacturing units with a total workforce of approximately 339,000 people. Henri Nestlé was apprenticed as a pharmacist in Frankfurt and practiced his profession after fleeing riots in 1833 to settle in Vevey on the shores of Lake Geneva in Switzerland was the father of Nestlé. Nestlé has remained firm in a rapidly changing consumer oriented market, passing on solid regular returns and an improved turnover. These results display the smartness of their business strategies and the strength of their diverse portfolio across the globe. With being customer centric becoming the most important part of business, they are acclimating to this new reality by bracing to this recent turn of events, using their aptitude for technology and execution with alacrity. This associated with their grit and their resolve to continue achieving business results while also ensuring that they fulfill their social and environmental duties which make it a more reliable and dependable organization.

Nestlé's has about 8000 products. Nestlé's some popular products are Maggi, Nescafe, baby food, Kit Kat, clinical food, filtered water, breakfast grains, espresso and tea. Nescafé coffee is coming in various formats. Nestlé's mission is "Good Food, Good Life" is to give client best tasting, most nutritious food and prize classes from morning to night. (Nestle , 2020)

Business Model

Nestle's long-term worth creation model relies upon the nice journey for resources productive top-and main concern development just as improved capital proficiency. Nestle create value by:

Expanding development through advancement, partition and by offering huge things and answers for customers. Nestle made plans to show up at a viable mid-single-digit level of characteristic turn of events (Nestle , 2020).

Improving operational viability with the goal to augment covered up trading working net income to some place in the extent of 17.5% and 18.5% in 2020.

Allocating assets and capital with request and clear necessities, including through acquisitions and divestitures.

Market Place

Marketing plan is pointed toward featuring one of the product offering expansions of Nestles'. It fundamentally centers around the inside and outside climate of Nestle. Aside from that, this arrangement incorporates the showcasing techniques, brand advancement methodologies, promoting blend included and serious procedures embraced by Nestle. SWOT investigation one of the significant substance which is remembered for this promoting plan. SWOT examination helps in discovering the qualities and shortcomings of the association.

Major Competitor

Nestle's main some rivals are Mondelez International, Kellogg's, Mars, Hershey, Kraft Heinz, Unilever, Danone, General Mills, PepsiCo, Ferrero and Lindt. Nestle has 291,000 delegates and is situated first among its principle 10 adversaries. The best 10 competitors typical 73,959.

Major Recent Events

Mark Schneider has Elected to the Board 2017 as CEO. Nestle's profits fall by 39% in 2015. Nestle says it will devastate more than \$50m (£32m) worth of its immensely well-known Maggi noodles, following a boycott forced by India's sanitation controller. blending up this week as the firm goes under the firearm for undauntedly proceeding to bottle water in California in spite of the state's notable dry season. Strategic Changes The organization additionally reported it is coordinating the Nestle Waters business into its three topographical zones, viable Jan. 1, 2020. Nestle's organization with Starbucks added to a solid exhibition in North America. In India Nestle prior had a solitary technique for the whole nation. It has now isolated the nation into 15 purchaser bunches and the thought is to offer more engaged answers for shoppers. (Anon., 2020)

PBP, ARR and NPV

Year	Projected A Cash Flows (£m)	Projected B Cash Flows (£m)
0	-85	-90
1	10	25
2	20	30
3	40	35
4	55	40

Payback Period (PBP)

The payback period is the time allotment a venture arrives at a point. The payback period is calculated by dividing the amount of the investment by the yearly income.

PBP for project A

As the initial investment is £85m and for project A needs 85 for breakeven.

Step1: Cumulative cash flow - $(10+20+40=70)$.

Step2: The PBP is in between year 3 and year 4. For project A needs additional $[85-70]15$ to breakeven.

Step3: The company needs a proportion of year 4 $(15/55=0.27)$ so the PBP is $[3+0.2=3.27]$ 3.27 years

PBP for project B

As the initial investment is £90m and for project B needs 90 for breakeven.

Cumulative cash flow - $(25+30+35=90)$. The PBP is 3 years.

Recommendation

As per final PBP, Project B PBP is better than project A Since it recovers investment values in quick time so. Project B will be recommended for investment.

Benefits

1. It perceives the productivity factor of speculation
2. PBP strategy for venture appraisal is simple to calculate.
3. Investment is also a long-term game, and the payback period method will show administrators/the board how an undertaking will probably pay off over the long run.
4. Depending upon the sort of business being run, there could be endless open doors for speculations and various undertakings. For example, In the event that you were a director that had 20 unique propositions to look and break down, it will be hard to sort out which ones to focus on. This can be much more so on the off chance that you should pick more than one speculation. On the off chance that you utilize the payback period method, it will give you an essential comprehension of how the ventures rank so you can pick the suitable ones.

Disadvantages

1. It very well may be determined in an unexpected way. Along these lines, there is issue of consistency.
2. The payback period is not suitable for high maintenance project.
3. This can be a significant warning for a ton of supervisors/the board are hoping to improve their business. The benefit of a venture, either present moment or long haul, isn't considered in any way, and this can't be overlooked by a decent supervisor. You should have the option to show benefit on a venture, and the payback period method doesn't think about this significant measurement

Accounting Rate of Return

Accounting Rate of Return is the percentage rate of return that is normal from a venture or resource contrasted with the hidden cost of investment. For multiple projects, ARR provides the expected rate of return from each project.

ARR Formula

$$ROCE \text{ (or ARR or ROI)} = \frac{\text{Annual Average Accounting Profit}}{\text{Average Capital Employed}}$$

ARR for project A

The Initial investment is £85m.

Step1: Total revenue= £10+£20+£40+£55 = £125.

Step2: Total profit = £125- £85 = £40.

Step3: True annual profit = $40/4 = 10$

Step4: ARR = $10 / 85 = 0.12$

ARR for project B

The Initial investment is £90m.

Step1: Total revenue= £25+£30+£35+£40 = £130.

Step2: Total profit = £130- £90 = £40.

Step3: True average annual profit = $40/4 = 10$

Step4: ARR = $10 / 90 = 0.11$

Recommendation

As per final ARR value. It will receive a return of 0.12 in project A and 0.11 in project B both are the nearly same. We can choose any one of them however I would suggest investment in project A is quite better than project B.

Benefits

1. It centers around accounting net operating income. Creditors and investors use bookkeeping operating income pay to assess the exhibition of the board.
2. The strategy presents an away from of a task's advantage.
3. The ARR method assists with fulfilling the proprietor's premium in regard to their venture returns.

Disadvantages

1. ARR is known to dismiss time factor while picking a substitute use of a resource.
2. Can't appraise projects where portions of the hypotheses have been made different events and in discrete part.

3. This strategy relies upon benefits instead of pay. In this manner, it might be affected by non-money things, for instance, the devaluation and terrible commitments when finding out advantages. The distinction in procedures for weakening can be controlled and lead to higher advantages.

Net Present Value (NPV)

Net present value is the differentiation between the current assessment of cash inflows and the current estimation of money surges throughout some stretch of time. NPV is used in capital arranging and adventure needing to separate the productivity of a projected investment or project.

For both project organization's expense of capital is at 10.5%.

NPV Formula

$$NPV_0 = -I + \frac{FV_1}{(1+i)^1} + \frac{FV_2}{(1+i)^2} + \frac{FV_3}{(1+i)^3} + \frac{FV_4}{(1+i)^4}$$

NPV for project A

The initial investment is £85m.

$$NPV_0 = -85 + \frac{10}{(1+10.5\%)^1} + \frac{20}{(1+10.5\%)^2} + \frac{40}{(1+10.5\%)^3} + \frac{55}{(1+10.5\%)^4}$$

$$NPV_0=6.96$$

NPV for project B

The initial investment is £90m.

$$NPV_0 = -90 + \frac{25}{(1+10.5\%)^1} + \frac{30}{(1+10.5\%)^2} + \frac{35}{(1+10.5\%)^3} + \frac{40}{(1+10.5\%)^4}$$

$$NPV_0=9.96$$

Recommendation

The result of both project's NPV is in positive. A positive NPV represents a money-making venture. However, Project B NPV is better than Project A. NPV of project B is the more profitable and should be priority.

Benefits

1. Time value money is vital in NPV.
2. NPV technique contemplates the expense of capital and the danger inborn in making projections about the future.
3. The NPV technique also uncovers to us whether an endeavor will make a motivator for the association or the monetary subject matter expert, and by how much to the extent dollars. In the model over, the \$15,000 theory would fabricate the association's motivator by \$3,443.70 when all earnings were restricted back to now.

Disadvantages

1. NPV strategy is that it requires some mystery about the company's expense of capital.
2. There are no Set guidelines to Calculate Required Rate of Return.
3. NPV doesn't think about any concealed costs, sunk expenses, or other fundamental expenses caused about the particular venture.
4. NPV of the ventures that require an alternate measure of assets will not reasonable.

Non-Financial Factors

Political & Legal

1. Nestle progresses changed characteristic laws and rules and negates shocking refusals and other harsh measures.
2. Since Nestlé works in excess of 190 nations, any move in legitimate conditions can colossally impact works out. Overall association like Nestlé has a more

veritable hazard of creation bottlenecks thinking about legitimate frameworks and changes.

3. For instance, For instance, the Brexit situation has damaged Nestlé's plans. The change impacts the hiring of creation and food laborers. Europe is an immense market for Nestlé's products and, the complexity of Brexit has made it difficult for the UK food creation laborers association. The Brexit change has had an impact to the point that the conglomerate has been trying to move their factories from Newcastle and York to Poland. Considering the situation, it is more important to move their manufacturing activities out of this whimsical atmosphere as the political changes are unfavorable for the company.

Economic Environment

1. The general economy has entered a droop and Nestle has promptly sent surprising measures to address this new reality and the association's stock association has shown outrageous.
2. Monetary circumstance is unfavorable for Nestlé.
3. The particular money related impact of the COVID-19 circumstance for the whole year remains hard to gauge and will depend upon the genuine range and monetary results of this crisis similarly as the speed of recovery in the out-of-home channels.
4. Unfamiliar trade swings are a financial issue for Nestlé.

Social & Cultural Forces

1. Nestle is significantly stressed over social, racial and monetary inconsistencies. They continue working on empowering a thorough culture at work and in their organizations, particularly in the United States where the torture of racial divergence has been brought to the forefront of public care. As a part of this work, Nestlé is giving USD 1.5 million to the National Urban League, UNCF and various affiliations focused on completing racial isolation and giving monetary reinforcing through guidance and occupation planning.
2. An eco-accommodating gadget created by the organization is the utilization of holes around marks to make them simple to eliminate.

Technological Environment

1. Presently Nestle is using latest advancement and device which is one of the keys to its success which gives the association an advantage.
2. Nestle uses better advancement and equipment, it gives the customers a strong and clean atmosphere, so the customers buy their things more and they get advantage. With a creative atmosphere there is no wastage of time.
3. Nestlé needs Youth' is their workforce action that gives openings and planning events to adolescents across the globe. They are moreover working with public and private establishments in various countries to make new ventures to help adolescents with developing the aptitudes and fortitude they ought to be successful in the current testing position market. Examples include:
 - In Mexico, simply in a quarter of a year's period of time, Nestlé facilitated 6000 individual preparing gatherings on expert bearing, employability and advancement. They furthermore developed an undertaking project to help energetic pioneers through instructing and crucial assistance for their associations, helping them with overcoming challenges and find events to create. (Anon., 2020).
 - In the UK, Nestle offered virtual preparing project or preparing through recorded gatherings around 170 understudies benefitted by 30 different. Because of this effort, a lot of followers had the alternative to continue with headway in their specific occupations – from planning to back and data science.

Environmental

1. Nestle takes confidence in gaining shared worth and makes ground toward zero natural impact through its undertakings and diminishing in wastage and improvements for splendid utilization of water.
2. Nestlé has remained solid in a rapidly advancing atmosphere, passing on solid regular turn of events and improved edges in the vital half which is a positive sign.
3. They have done reusing espresso beans for sustainable power.
4. Zero- squanders from Nestle UK manufacturing plants to Landfill.
5. Accomplished 95% recyclability of bundling.
6. By endeavoring to accomplish zero ecological effect in activities by 2030.

Financial Ratios

Financial ratios consider examinations between organizations, among businesses and furthermore between a solitary organization and its industry normal or companion bunch normal. Ratio analysis or in different terms monetary proportions are proven to be utilized in ascertaining the productivity and monetary situation of an association (Rehman, 2015). Ratio examination is additionally fundamental in associations since it can foresee the disappointment of a firm as ahead of schedule as five years before it fell. Along these lines, proportion investigation is a useful asset that is very basic in associations given that it tends to be utilized to assess the obligation and conceivable disappointment of a firm right away.

Nestle VS Mondelez International – Financial Analysis

The financial analysis of Nestle dependent on execution proportions and benchmarked against Mondelez International. The chose proportions have been determined dependent on the accounting reports and pay explanations. The attention will be on a short assessment on profitability, liquidity and efficiency proportions will be analyzed. Gearing ratios will be talked about just as proportions dependent on the share price (Rehman, 2015).

Below financial information of Nestle organization was assembled through financial statements. The information was utilized to decide the ratios by computing the formulas. The proportions were estimated distinctly for as long as five years in which the monetary action of the organization is examined. This analyzes how well the organization is getting along and which shaky areas they should focus on. Nestle's competitor Mondelez International last year financial also included for comparison.

Financial data of Nestle and Mondelez International in millions.

Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mondelez International 2019
Current Assets	29434	32042	32190	41003	35663	7630
Current Liabilities	33321	37517	36054	43030	41619	15322
Inventories	8153	8401	9061	9125	9343	8733
Cash	6041	8401	8593	10301	10263	1291
Total Assets	123992	131901	130380	137015	127940	64549
Total liabilities	62448	65920	67603	78612	75078	37198

Total Equity	62338	64590	61504	57363	52035	27351
Sales	89083	89786	90121	91750	92865	25868
Net Income	9066	8531	7183	10135	12609	3885
Fixed Asset	26576	27554	27775	29956	28762	6630
Gross Profit	44055	45270	44868	45369	45921	10337
Total Debt	21230	23209	26468	40394	37164	15741
Operating Profit	12408	13163	10112	13772	16078	3843

(Nestle , n.d.)

Profitability

The profitability ratios that are utilized by organizations to give helpful experiences into the monetary prosperity and execution of the business. This ratio also indirectly measures how well an organization deals with its costs comparative with its net deals. That is the explanation associations attempt to achieve higher extents. They can do this by either making more livelihoods while keeping costs consistent or keep salaries steady and lower costs. (Ross, 2012)

Profitability ratios includes Gross profit and Operating profit margin ratios.

Profitability ratios of Nestle for the FY (2015-2019) and Mondelez International (2019)

$$\text{Gross Margin} = \frac{\text{Gross Profit}}{\text{Sales}}$$

$$\text{Operating Margin} = \frac{\text{Operating Income}}{\text{Sales}}$$

Ratio/Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mondelez International 2019
Gross Margin	0.49	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.50	0.39
Operating Margin	0.139	0.146	0.112	0.150	0.173	0.148

Based on the table above, As for Nestle, their return on gross profit margin slightly increased in 2019. This is considered to be positive. It was 50% probability in year (2016 ,2018 and 2019) and 49% in year (2015 and 2017). In 2019, Nestle had better profit margin 50% as compare to their competitor Mondelez International 39%.

Operating Profit margin for Nestle past five year didn't consistent. In year 2015 operating margin was 0.139, 0.146 in year 2016,0.112 in 2017 year and 0.150 in year. However, In 2019 operating profit margin is higher than remaining four years. Mondelez International operating profit margin in FY 2019 is comparative lower than Nestle. Overall, On the basis of profitability ratios Nestle clocked good profit as compared their rival Mondelez International.

Based on overall Profitability ratios data Nestle has good profit margin than Mondelez International not only they has higher profit margin form Mondelez International but also to their peer group (Tracy, 2012).

Liquidity

Liquidity ratios examination gives an organization its situation on how it can productively meet its momentary commitments. This proportion regularly decides whether a firm can pay its liabilities should they emerge at some random purpose of time. Liquidity ratio's two components- Current ratio and Cash ratio evaluate the position of Nestle Company on its position.

$$\text{Current Ratio} = \frac{\text{Current Asset}}{\text{Current Liabilities}}$$

$$\text{Cash Ratio} = \frac{\text{Cash}}{\text{Current Liabilities}}$$

Ratio/Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mondelez International 2019
Current Ratio	0.88	0.85	0.89	0.95	0.85	0.49
Cash Ratio	0.18	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.08

The current ratio is a boundary that assesses the organization's capacity to meet its momentary commitments and the unanticipated money requests. This is gotten by separating current resources and current liabilities. From the 5-year report, the organization has dealt with a solid current proportion, which infers its solid situation to fulfill unpredicted needs of cash. Current ratio was 88%,85% and 89% in year 2015,2016 and 2017 respectively. The most noteworthy figure was accomplished in 2018, which represented that Nestle Company was ready to meet 95% of its momentary commitments. In 2019 it was 85% of Nestle whereas Mondelez International current ratio was almost half 49% of the 2019 Nestle current ratio (Tracy, 2012).

Cash ratio is another significant part of assessing an inflexible stance concerning meeting its transient commitments. In this viewpoint, the money proportion assesses the capacity of an organization to counterbalance the transient commitments with its money and money counterparts. This is a stricter boundary since it stresses the company's gathering momentary requests with its most fluid resources money and money reciprocals. Nestle Company has announced changes in its money proportion structure over the 5 years. In 2015, it had normal situation when contrasted with the other four years. A higher worth infers the organization is lucky to be 2016, 2017 and 2018 recorded the least money proportion of 23%. Notwithstanding, in 2019, the organization received a more engaged methodology and expanded its effectiveness by 1% which is compared to their competitor Mondelez International quite good (Bull, 2007).

Based on all Liquidity ratios data Nestle has better liquidity when it compare to their market participant Mondelez International.

Efficiency

The efficiency ratio is consistently used to dismember how well an association uses its assets and liabilities inside. This ratio can likewise be utilized to follow and examine the exhibition of business and investment banks. For Nestle we focused on Asset turnover and Fixed asset turnover to evaluate the efficiency of Nestle Company.

$$\text{Asset Turnover} = \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Total Assets}}$$

$$\text{Fixed Asset Turnover} = \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Fixed Assets}}$$

Ratio/Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mondelez International 2019
Asset Turnover	0.72	0.68	0.69	0.66	0.72	0.40
Fixed Asset Turnover	3.35	3.25	3.24	3.06	3.22	3.90

This is another movement extent that estimates an organization's capability to use its resources in making bargains suitably. It is gained by confining net arrangements by full scale assets. this extent discovers how a firm adequately attracts its total assets, not at all like fixed asset turnover. This point surveys how much stock or affiliations are sold per every dollar of the assets used in the period explored (Bull, 2007).

Nestle Company accomplished the entire resource inventory outturn in 2019, which infers that less cash is needed for a speculation to produce deals. Low ratios in 2016, 2017 and 2018 induce the firm had a lessening in arrangements and had a great deal of interest in fixed assets (Tracy, 2012). The asset turnover ratio 0.40 of Mondelez international in 2019 is relative less than Nestle which is around 0.72.

The Fixed asset turnover ratio for Nestle last 5 years steadily maintained. There is not significant change in it. Nestle's Fixed asset Turnover was 3.35,3.25,3.24,3.06 and 3.22

for year 2015,2016,2017,2018 and 2019 respectively. However, their competitor Mondelez International Fixed asset turnover ratio was slightly high than Nestle. It was 3.90 whereas Nestle's 3.22.

Based on above Efficiency ratios Nestle achieved better competence as compared to their market participant Mondelez International.

Gearing

Gearing ratios give a knowledge into how an organization supports its tasks, comparative with obligation and value. Utilizing gearing ratios as a feature of exchanging key examination procedure assists with giving significant monetary proportions that can be used to settle on more intelligent exchanging choices.

$$\text{Debt to Equity Ratio} = \frac{\text{total debt}}{\text{total equity}}$$

$$\text{Debt to Capital Ratio} = \frac{\text{total debt}}{\text{total equity} + \text{total debt}}$$

Ratio/Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mondelez International 2019
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.35	0.35	0.43	0.70	0.71	0.57
Debt to Capital Ratio	0.25	0.26	0.30	0.41	0.41	0.36

In Debt ratio, can perceive in the event that they are placing themselves in a hazardous condition in case the financing costs were to increase out of an unexpected for the credits. Moreover, it shows how the organization can cover its commitments. For Nestle, their commitment extent has been extending.

In view of the table above, it shows that Nestle regularly utilizes more obligation contrasted with value. This can be seen from year 2015 until year 2019, the company's uses 43% debt (year 2017), 35% debt (year 2015-2016), 70% debt (year 2018), and 71% debt (year 2019) whereas their competitor Mondelez International ratio 57% is slightly lower than Nestle in 2019 (Bull, 2007).

The Debt to Capital ratio was steady in FY 2015 and 2016 which is around 25%. Likewise in 2018 and 2019 it was 41% and 30% in year 2018. In 2019, Nestle's competitor Mondelez International Debt to Capital ratio is low 36%. Nestle's Gearing ratios their debt ratio has been increasing over the years.

Based on above Gearing ratio data Nestle has higher gearing ratio as compared to Mondelez International.

Recommendation

In view of the information analysis and discoveries of Nestle fiscal statements, there are a couple of suggestions that they can build up that may help with improving the association's presentation.

Initially, taking a gander at the working net income of the association, it is best for Nestle to keep up their capability in managing their overall costs since the characteristics are acceptable and keeps growing from year to year. In the event that the association sorts out some way to keep up and constructs their efficiency, they will procure pay, appropriately allowed them to improve various points in the association (Ross, 2012).

Next, from the assessments of the asset turnover of Nestle, it is judicious that the association reveal certain enhancements in utilizing their assets since the capability are reducing inside the five years. They need to improve their exercises so the assets that they have can be totally used to assist them with procuring pay and keep an essential separation from disaster.

Apart from that, Nestle should also improve their financial leverage by decreasing the sum that they gain to support their resources and use more prominent worth. Despite the fact that the value multiplier esteems can be viewed as very low for the organization, they can accomplish more to decrease it since utilizing more obligation can be hazardous for the organization development (Rehman, 2015).

Moreover, we would like to recommend to the organization one of the means that can be taken by the administration to improve its liquidity position is to advance money deals. "Money is the ruler", this is on the grounds that, when the organization is selling

products on a money premise, it will settle the majority of the income related issues. Other than that, limits can be given to energize money deals.

Conclusion

Financial ratios analysis gives a certifiable depiction of an association's financial prosperity and sufficiency. During the previous five years, in spite of variances in its budget summary and the economy when all is said in done, Nestle Company has accomplished productivity in the entirety of its activities. Output of benefit show how the association has progressed. The association didn't make various advantages in 2017 as they were pay out additional on their costs profile, had immense interests in stock, extending the expense of arrangements in view of low interest for its items. Be that as it may, 2017 ,2018 and 2019 they carry out better and have improved in their money's administration, diminishing their indebted person's assortment period and dealt with their interest in absolute resources concerning their deals.

Reference

Anon., 2020. Nestlé reports half-year 2020. [Online]
Available at: <https://www.nestle.com/media/pressreleases/allpressreleases/half-year-results-2020>
[Accessed october 2020].

Belger, T., 2019. Yahoo Finance UK. [Online]
Available at: https://in.finance.yahoo.com/news/nestle-warns-brexite-means-major-challenges-for-britains-food-and-drink-industry-230100800.html?guccounter=1&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuZ29vZ2xlLmNvbS8&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAANGyKwBPwws70MmRi8pwXNBDjqZ_5Lgh4Zvv8DUQyCwSw
[Accessed october 2020].

Bull, R., 2007. Financial Ratios. 1st ed. s.l.:CIMA Publishing.

Group, N., 2019. financial statements 2019. [Online]
Available at: <https://www.nestle.com/sites/default/files/2020-02/2019-financial-statements-en.pdf>
[Accessed October 2020].

Nestle , 2020. Nestle. [Online]
Available at: <https://www.nestle.com/>
[Accessed November 2020].

Nestle , n.d. Publications. [Online]
Available at: <https://www.nestle.com/investors/publications>
[Accessed November 2020].

Rehman, A. J. L. D. Y. K. R. N. S. K. Z. L. & S. T., 2015. International Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization. 3 ed. s.l.:s.n.

Ross, W. J. L. & T., 2012. Fundamentals of Corporate Finance. 2 ed. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Education.

Tracy, A., 2012. Ratio Analysis Fundamentals. 2nd ed. s.l.:Bidi Capital Pty Ltd.