

MARKING CRITERIA AND STUDENT FEEDBACK

MODULE: Group Project

ASSIGNMENT: Report

STUDENT: Stuart Harries

DATE: May 2019

This section details the assessment criteria. The extent to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Research-informed Literature (Extent of research and/or own reading, selection of credible sources, application of appropriate referencing conventions.) Extent of research and/or own reading, selection of credible sources, application of appropriate referencing conventions.		
40-49%: References to a limited range of mostly relevant sources. Some omissions and minor errors. Referencing conventions evident though not always applied consistently. <i>Only a couple of references and mostly web based</i>	10	4.8
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject (Extent of knowledge and understanding of concepts and underlying principles associated with the project) Extent of knowledge and understanding of concepts and underlying principles associated with the discipline.		
50-59%: Knowledge is accurate with a good understanding of the field of study. <i>Good awareness of the requirements for engine completion and parts sourcing. Would have like to have seen more evidence on the theory if calibration and how you would have achieved the desired outcome</i>	25	12.5
3. Analysis (For example - use of analytical calculations, Matlab, Excel FEA CFD, Wave, WinGeo3 etc.) Analysis, evaluation and synthesis; logic, argument and judgement; analytical reflection; organisation of ideas and evidence		
40-49%: Evidence of some logical, analytical thinking and some attempts to synthesise, albeit with some weaknesses. Some evidence to support findings/ views, but evidence not consistently interpreted. Some relevant conclusions and recommendations, where relevant <i>Some recommendations and good evaluation of the project that was made harder to deliver due to circumstances outside your control</i>	25	12
4. Practical Application and Deployment (Design ideas, proposed design parameters and methods for evaluation of these ideas such as experimental work and simulation) Deployment of methods, materials, tools and techniques; application of concepts; formulation of innovative and creative solutions to solve problems.		
50-59%: A good and appropriate application of standard methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Good appreciation of the context of the application, with some use of examples, where relevant. <i>Good explanation of how the engine testing would have been carried out</i>	25	13
5. Skills for Professional Practice (How well you worked as a group. Report presentation quality, project planning and organisation.) Attributes in professional practice: individual and collaborative working; deployment of appropriate media; presentation and organisation.		
60-69%: Can communicate well, confidently and consistently in a suitable format. Can work very well as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Work is coherent and fluent and is well structured and organised. <i>Good effort despite adverse conditions</i>	15	9
Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)	
	Up to one-week late	
	Over one week late	
	51	%