INDEPENDENT PROJECT

The Impact of ESG Performance on Financial Performance: Evidence from UK Publicly Listed Companies

Student name: Denisa – Roxana Stoica
Student ID: 2211503
Module name: Independent Project
Module code: LCBB6014
Lecturer name: Said Usman

Table of contents

Research Aim and Objectives.....3
Research Questions and Hypotheses.....3
Justification of the Research.....4
Literature Review.....4
Methodology.....5
Expected Contributions.....6
Reference.....7

Research Aim and Objectives

Aim:
With an emphasis on long-term value creation, this study attempts to critically assess the relationship between the financial performance of UK publicly traded companies and their Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) performance.

Objectives:

1. To examine the relationship between ESG performance and firm profitability among UK-listed companies.
2. To assess the impact of ESG performance on market-based financial indicators such as firm valuation.
3. To analyse the relative contribution of environmental, social, and governance factors to financial performance.
4. To evaluate whether ESG performance reduces firm risk and enhances investor confidence in the UK market context.

Research Questions and Hypotheses

Research Questions:

1. To what extent does ESG performance influence financial performance in UK-listed firms?
2. Do firms with higher ESG ratings outperform their peers financially?
3. Which ESG pillar contributes most significantly to financial outcomes?
4. Does ESG performance play a role in mitigating financial and reputational risk?

Hypotheses:

- **H1:** ESG performance is positively associated with financial performance.
- **H2:** Firms with higher ESG scores demonstrate superior market valuation (e.g., Tobin's Q).
- **H3:** Governance factors exert a stronger influence on financial performance than environmental and social factors.

Justification of the Research

In the UK, where investor expectations and regulatory frameworks are increasingly emphasizing sustainability and transparency, the growing importance of ESG issues is especially apparent. A broader shift towards ethical investment practices is demonstrated by the Financial Conduct Authority's implementation of stricter ESG disclosure regulations.

Prominent UK corporations like BP and Unilever have incorporated ESG concepts into their strategic decision-making procedures, suggesting that sustainability may be linked to a competitive advantage. However, there is still debate over the financial implications of this kind of integration.

Proponents claim that by improving stakeholder connections, boosting reputations, and enabling better risk management, ESG practices increase corporate value (Eccles, Ioannou, and Serafeim, 2014; Clark, Feiner, and Viehs, 2015). On the other hand, critics contend that ESG activities could result in higher operating costs and negatively impact short-term profitability (Margolis and Walsh, 2003).

Given these divergent perspectives, the research's objective of offering empirical data within a UK-specific framework—where institutional structures and governance norms differ from those in other regions—is justified. The findings will contribute to both scholarly debates and real-world decision-making.

Literature Review

Despite a great deal of research, the relationship between ESG performance and financial performance remains unclear.

ESG and financial performance are positively correlated, according to a large body of research. For example, Friede, Busch, and Bassen's (2015) comprehensive meta-analysis found that around 90% of research show a non-negative connection, with the majority showing favorable outcomes. This is in line with stakeholder theory, which holds that businesses that serve a variety of stakeholders are more likely to achieve long-term financial success (Freeman, 1984).

Furthermore, Eccles, Ioannou, and Serafeim (2014) provide empirical evidence showing that companies with strong sustainability practices outperform their rivals in accounting indicators and stock market performance.

Similarly, Clark, Feiner, and Viehs (2015) argue that, particularly in developed markets like the UK, the incorporation of ESG considerations improves investment performance and reduces downside risk.

However, the literature now in publication also presents contradictory findings. Margolis and Walsh (2003) highlight disparities in empirical data, suggesting that the relationship between ESG and financial performance depends on context and is influenced by factors like industry and historical period. ESG investments may be seen by traditional shareholders as a compromise that diverts funds from initiatives aimed at maximizing profits.

Because of its direct impact on corporate decision-making and responsibility, governance is often identified as the most important element influencing financial performance when examining the various ESG components (Fatemi, Glaum, and Kaiser, 2018).

Despite the growing importance of environmental and social elements, their effects frequently provide uneven results that differ based on sector-specific dynamics.

Furthermore, current studies emphasize how crucial ESG is to risk reduction. Businesses with high ESG performance are typically better at handling reputational issues and regulatory concerns, which can improve long-term financial stability (Clark, Feiner, and Viehs, 2015). In the UK, where there is intense regulatory scrutiny and increased investor awareness, this is particularly relevant.

Despite thorough investigation, there are still gaps in the empirical analysis focused on the UK and the uniformity of ESG measurement frameworks. This research intends to tackle these shortcomings by utilizing a strong quantitative methodology and concentrating specifically on firms listed in the UK.

Methodology

The quantitative research methodology used in this study allows for a methodical examination of the connection between ESG performance and financial results.

Research Design:
A longitudinal panel data design will be used to capture changes over time and enhance the reliability of findings.

Data Collection:
In addition to financial data from Bloomberg, secondary data will be gathered from ESG databases like Refinitiv and MSCI. Businesses that are listed on the London Stock Exchange will be included in the sample.

Sample:
The sample will consist of publicly listed firms across multiple industries over a 5-year period. A multi-industry sample enhances generalisability and allows for sector-specific comparisons.

Variables:

- **Independent Variable:** ESG score (overall and pillar-specific)
- **Dependent Variables:**
  - Accounting-based measures: Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE)
  - Market-based measure: Tobin's Q
- **Control Variables:** Firm size, leverage, industry, and firm age

Data Analysis:
Correlation analysis will be used to find preliminary relationships, and regression analysis will be used to test the hypotheses. As suggested by previous ESG studies, panel data approaches (fixed or random effects) will be applied to increase the robustness of results (Fatemi, Glaum, and Kaiser, 2018).

Ethical Considerations:
Due to the utilization of publicly available secondary data, ethical concerns are significantly reduced. All sources will be accurately referenced in accordance with academic standards.

Expected Contributions

It is anticipated that this study will advance both theory and practice. Academically, it will add to the body of knowledge by presenting empirical data on the relationship between ESG and financial performance that is unique to the UK. Additionally, it will provide information on the relative significance of each ESG component. Practically speaking, the results will help investors make wise choices about sustainable investments and help management assess the financial effects of ESG measures. The results may also be used by legislators to improve ESG-related rules and reporting specifications.

References

Clark, G.L., Feiner, A. and Viehs, M. (2015) *From the stockholder to the stakeholder: How sustainability can drive financial outperformance*. Oxford: University of Oxford and Arabesque Partners.

Eccles, R.G., Ioannou, I. and Serafeim, G. (2014) 'The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance', *Management Science*, 60(11), pp. 2835–2857.

Fatemi, A., Glaum, M. and Kaiser, S. (2018) 'ESG performance and firm value: The moderating role of disclosure', *Global Finance Journal*, 38, pp. 45–64.

Freeman, R.E. (1984) *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston: Pitman.

Friede, G., Busch, T. and Bassen, A. (2015) 'ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies', *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 5(4), pp. 210–233.

Margolis, J.D. and Walsh, J.P. (2003) 'Misery loves companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(2), pp. 268–305.