

Feedback	
Student's Name	JOHN PAUL
Student's SurName	HUGHES
Student's Email	1708241@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCA7031
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
Proper in-text citations done. Started well with DF principles and processes. However more academic credible literatures referred to this work could have added strength to the statements. More summaries and critical appraisals could have added value to the work.	10.1

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
More detailed insights on national and international job market could have added value to the work. Tools discussed. However, it needs more discussion on its features, capabilities and relevance. Team work well done. Proper delegation of responsibilities, clear description. Scenarios well explained. Presentation (Task 3) could have been better. Personal reflection and individual contribution in a more detailed way could have added value to your work.	11.9

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
More contribution on emerging trends and key challenges required. As stated earlier, tools discussed. However, the description of the tools with more discussion on its pros and cons, suitability of tools depending on different scenarios required. Well set theme. (Corporate Data Theft) Task 3. Different types of files used to create the scenario. However, more clarity on the documentation is required. Eg: Location of Evidence: "It states, hidden away on one of the desks stored away and not in use within the office building." - More clarity on which evidences could have added value. Chain of custody, Integrity and admissibility of the evidence well addressed. However, need to be more careful while handling evidences. (Task 4 - evidence had issues that resulted in factory reset.) Could have discussed more in detail - focusing on your individual's understanding the implications of findings.	14

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
Listed the collected data - Task 3. However, the data was not submitted. Different types of files collected for Task 3. Well handled the conflicts and challenges. The group was very collaborative and communication was very good. Comprehensive analysis on the acquired hdd done. However the documentation could have been more elaboratively discussed. Successful extraction of the relevant data. Could have used more techniques to analyse the evidence.	23

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20
Feedback	Mark
Well structured work. Presentation could have been more clear and concise. More highlight on individual contribution could have added value. Excellent team work and collaboration. Sensitive details like Credit Cards Numbers should be documented without displaying the contents	16.5
Overall Mark	76