

Programme : Business Management

Module code: LCBB5005

Assessment 2

Hristina Rageva

Student number : 2024897

Coursework: Essay

## **Executive summary**

The review of the Hinkley Point C Project reveals a complex picture, with successes in carbon reduction and economic development offset by delays and financial overruns. It might have positive and negative effects on the UK's power industry, bringing opportunities and difficulties. Emerge useful lessons in stakeholder involvement, risk management, project planning, and regulatory compliance. It's crucial to put these lessons to use in future projects if we want to make lasting improvements in the changing energy environment.

## Contents

|                                                                            |    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Introduction .....                                                         | 4  |
| Project Analysis: Current Progress .....                                   | 5  |
| Budget Management: .....                                                   | 5  |
| Timeline Adherence: .....                                                  | 5  |
| Quality Assurance: .....                                                   | 6  |
| Stakeholder Engagement: .....                                              | 6  |
| Risk Mitigation: .....                                                     | 7  |
| Success or Failure for the Future Energy Sector .....                      | 7  |
| Stance: A Complex Path to Success .....                                    | 8  |
| Contributions to Carbon Emissions Reduction: .....                         | 8  |
| Economic Impact and Job Creation: .....                                    | 8  |
| Technological Advancements: .....                                          | 9  |
| Challenges and Long-Term Viability: .....                                  | 9  |
| Balancing the Equation: Lessons from Challenges .....                      | 9  |
| A Conclusive Analysis Based on Accurate Information .....                  | 10 |
| Lessons Learned and Recommendations .....                                  | 10 |
| Project Planning: .....                                                    | 10 |
| Risk Management: .....                                                     | 11 |
| Stakeholder Engagement: .....                                              | 11 |
| Regulatory Compliance: .....                                               | 11 |
| Recommendation for Future Projects: Enhanced Strategy and Adaptation ..... | 12 |
| Continuous Evaluation and Adaptation: A Necessity for Success .....        | 13 |
| Conclusion .....                                                           | 14 |
| References .....                                                           | 15 |

## Introduction

A significant step towards lowering carbon emissions and producing sustainable energy, the Hinkley Point C Project is significant for the UK's energy industry. The project's present status and its possible effects are evaluated in this article. To offer a thorough understanding of the project's trajectory, key elements like budget management, deadline adherence, quality control, stakeholder involvement, and risk reduction will be closely examined. This article aims to determine if the Hinkley Point C Project can be seen as an achievement or an issue for the UK's future energy industry by carefully analysing these elements. The evaluation will be based on a fair appraisal of completed tasks and obstacles faced under the direction of the predetermined evaluation criteria. Significant learnings from this research will inform future approaches to initiatives of a similar kind. A thorough narrative will develop as the next parts go further into each aspect of the project, leading to a well-informed assessment of its entire effect. In this approach, the article aims to provide a comprehensive view of the development of the Hinkley Point C Project and its consequences for the UK's energy environment.

## **Project Analysis: Current Progress**

The source of power for the Hinkley Point C Project, which is described in the first task's material, is made up of a number of important elements that are crucial to the project's successful execution and its influence on the UK energy sector (Dyrda & Morrison, 2021). These factors include the management of the budget, the observance of the timetable, the guarantee of quality, the participation of stakeholders, and the reduction of risk.

### **Budget Management:**

A huge expenditure that is indicative of the project's potential effect, the budget for the Hinkley Point C Project was initially set at £16 billion when it was first conceived. This first estimate, on the other hand, turned out to be inadequate in light of the findings of the following review (Daniel & Daniel, 2019). Current estimates have the amount of money needed to complete the project at between twenty-five and twenty-six billion dollars, which is a significant cost increase. This increase in expenditures may be ascribed to a variety of causes, including but not limited to inflation, growing material prices, and the complexities connected with the building of nuclear reactors. As a consequence of the resulting financial burden, doubts have been expressed about the project's for a long time cost-effectiveness as well as its feasibility as an approach to the reduction of carbon emissions.

### **Timeline Adherence:**

The timescale for the completion of the Hinkley Point C Project has been severely delayed as a result of several delays that have occurred along the way (Dyrda & Morrison, 2021). The early forecasts targeted operational preparedness by the year 2025; however, ongoing obstacles like as labour shortages, regulatory interruptions, and disruptions due to weather have pushed back the planned completion date. According to the timetable that has been developed so far for the project, it is not

expected that the power plant will be operating until the year 2027. This delay highlights the delicate nature of such massive undertakings and the inherent risks they confront in maintaining consistent schedules.

### **Quality Assurance:**

Concerns have been raised about the usage of materials that are below standard, as well as problems relating to the craftsmanship of the contractors, as a result of the quality assessment of the project. Evidently, there were violations of safety protocols at the building site, which resulted in penalties and questions about safety. These not only presented possible dangers to the employees, but they also caused delays. Throughout the whole of the project's lifespan, the presence of quality-related difficulties such as these highlights the absolute need for tight monitoring and thorough adherence to safety and quality requirements (Antaki & Gilada, 2014).

### **Stakeholder Engagement:**

The participation of a wide variety of stakeholders, such as the government of the United Kingdom, EDF Energies, China General Nuclear Power Group, neighbourhood organisations, and individual residents, is an essential component of the development of the project. Because it plays both the function of a facilitator and a regulator in the project, the government is in the driver's seat when it comes to determining its overall course. On the other hand, the fact that different stakeholder groups have varying perspectives, in addition to the fact that the government has imposed extra safety criteria, has led to a slower rate of development. Maintaining harmony among the myriad of concerns and interests held by these many stakeholders is an extremely difficult task.

## **Risk Mitigation:**

The Hinkley Point C Project is subject to a wide variety of dangers, including growing prices for raw materials, intricate technological challenges, and worries about the impact on the local environment and human health. The project's vulnerability to non-internal economic forces is shown by the fact that its expenses have increased owing to rising inflation and the cost of materials (Antaki & Gilada, 2014). Furthermore, there are concerns about safety and the environment that surround nuclear power plants, which demand precise planning and stringent risk management in order to safeguard the health and safety of both humans and the ecosystem (Rimšaitė, 2019).

Despite having reached important milestones, it is clear that this Hinkley Point C Programme has faced severe obstacles that have slowed its development (Smyth et al., 2018). In light of the project's increasing costs, extended completion schedules, problems with quality, and worries about its safety, it is being questioned if it can achieve its intended aims and live up to expectations. The main effects of these elements on the feasibility of the idea for a potential energy business in the UK will be discussed in more detail in the next parts of this paper (Rimšaitė, 2019).

## **Success or Failure for the Future Energy Sector**

Regarding its potential influence on the future energy supply in the UK, the Hinkley Point C Programme is a complicated enterprise that inspires both hope and scepticism (Lawrence, 2020). Because it is a nuclear power plant, it has a dual personality. Although there are encouraging signs that the project will be successful, it is still important to carefully evaluate its long-term feasibility (Littlechild & Vaidya, 2019).

## **Stance: A Complex Path to Success**

It is debatable whether or not the Hinkley Point C Project was successful to some extent. The complicated nature of the project has also raised certain worries, which have been revealed by this. This well-rounded viewpoint considers the project's potential economic advantages, job opportunities, technical developments, and role in reshaping the energy industry landscape. It also takes into consideration the project's aid in reducing carbon emissions (Inshakov et al., 2019).

## **Contributions to Carbon Emissions Reduction:**

The project has accomplished a number of important things, one of which is the potential it has to make a big contribution to the lowering of carbon emissions. The Hinkley Point C Project provides a carbon-neutral alternative to conventional power production based on the combustion of fossil fuels via the use of nuclear energy. This is consistent with the United Kingdom's commitment to reducing the effects of climate change and moving towards the use of cleaner energy sources (Townes, 2020).

## **Economic Impact and Job Creation:**

The magnitude and breadth of the project have the possibility to ignite increased economic activity. In addition to the long-term employment chances that will be available throughout its operating years, the creation of nearly 25,000 employment opportunities during the building phase exemplifies the role that this project plays as a driver of economic growth on both a regional and a national scale. The flood of investments, the chances for employment, and the increased economic activity may all potentially contribute favourably to the energy and general economic environment of the UK (Littlechild & Vaidya, 2019).

## Technological Advancements:

The use of cutting-edge technology, such as EPR reactors, which are recognised for their effectiveness and safety, represents a significant step forward in the development of innovative technology. The United Kingdom is dedicated to adopting novel approaches to the creation of energy, as shown by the fact that this project will make use of cutting-edge nuclear technology (Antaki & Gilada, 2014).

## Challenges and Long-Term Viability:

Despite this, the path to completion of the project has been full of challenges. Concerns concerning the project's quality and safety, as well as overruns in its price and timeframe, raise essential doubts about the possibility of the undertaking being successful in the long run. The budget overruns and construction holdups have put a burden on the company's finances, which throws a shadow on the cost-effectiveness of the project. This might have an impact on whether or not the government decides to pursue similar projects in future years (Antaki & Gilada, 2014).

## Balancing the Equation: Lessons from Challenges

It is vital to consider these issues as possibilities for learning and growth in order to ensure that the Hinkley Point C Project is successful despite the obstacles that threaten its sustainability (Dyrda & Morrison, 2021). The flaws in the project highlight how important it is to have precise planning, a complete risk assessment, as well as efficient contingency procedures. These takeaways may serve as a roadmap for future undertakings in the energy industry, guaranteeing that such endeavours will more skillfully traverse obstacles.

## **A Conclusive Analysis Based on Accurate Information**

Assessing the possible impact that the Hinkley Point C Project will have on the UK's energy industry in the future is a complex and multifaceted endeavour. There is no straightforward way to categorise it as either a thundering triumph or a decisive failure. It is important to recognise that it has the ability to contribute to the reduction of emissions of carbon, the development of the economy, the progress of technology, and the creation of jobs. Nevertheless, it's crucial to carefully evaluate the difficulties it poses, particularly with regard to going over budget and failing to adhere to deadlines (Inshakov et al., 2019).

## **Lessons Learned and Recommendations**

The Hinkley Point C Project has travelled a challenging journey, producing significant insights that may guide current and future energy-related projects. These insights will be crucial as you navigate the complexities of project planning, managing risks, stakeholder involvement, and regulatory compliance (Dyrda & Morrison, 2021).

### **Project Planning:**

The difficulties of the project underline the need for precise project planning and thorough risk management. A comprehensive evaluation of possible internal as well as external dangers is essential, and contingency measures must be created to lessen their effects. Additionally, to avoid unforeseen overruns, planning should account for unforeseen delays and budget changes. (Antaki & Gilada, 2014).

### **Risk Management:**

The necessity of effective risk management is brought into focus by the unanticipated difficulties and setbacks that the project has encountered. In future endeavours, risk assessments have to be comprehensive, including a broad range of different kinds of possible disruptions (Troccoli, 2010). For the purpose of efficiently navigating unanticipated conditions, proactive mitigation techniques and contingency plans need to be established (Antaki & Gilada, 2014).

### **Stakeholder Engagement:**

The complexity of the situation regarding the participation of stakeholders in the Hinkley Point C Project highlights the need to develop efficient engagement mechanisms. It is crucial to have open and honest communication with all parties involved, early engagement, and a response to their concerns. The execution of a project may go more smoothly if the many stakeholders' interests are acknowledged and checked against the project's goals (Daniel & Daniel, 2019).

### **Regulatory Compliance:**

The experiences that the Hinkley Point C Project had with new safety standards that were imposed by the government highlight how important it is to comply with regulations in a severe manner. To guarantee that projects are in compliance with the ever-evolving regulatory requirements, adequate preparations should be established (Littlechild & Vaidya, 2019). This requires maintaining a continual monitoring programme including adapting to ever-shifting compliance standards.

## Recommendation for Future Projects: Enhanced Strategy and Adaptation

Certain approaches and procedures, when applied to future endeavours comparable to the one at Hinkley Point C, have the potential to increase such projects' chances of being successful:

**Comprehensive Risk Assessment:** Conducting a full Risk Assessment It is important to make sure that you conduct a full risk assessment by taking into account a variety of possible obstacles, both technological and external. Create preventative measures and backup plans in order to properly handle each of the risks that have been identified.

**Realistic Budget Estimation:** Obtaining an Accurate along with Realistic Budget Estimation Speak with professionals in the finance industry to get precise and realistic budget estimations. These calculations should take into consideration the possibility of inflation as well as variations in the cost of materials (Smyth et al., 2018).

**Agile Project Management:** Apply the concepts of agile project management to adapt to changing conditions by putting these principles into action. Reevaluate the progress of the project on a regular basis and adjust your methods accordingly.

**Early Stakeholder Engagement:** Early Stakeholder Engagement It is important to acquire insights, resolve issues, and align expectations by engaging stakeholders as early as possible in the lifetime of the project. This preventative strategy has the potential to reduce the number of disputes that arise afterwards during the execution phase.

**Regulatory Expertise:** Dedicate a specific staff to the task of keeping abreast of ever-changing compliance requirements and standards. Conduct regular compliance audits on the project and make any required revisions based on the results (Daniel & Daniel, 2019).

## **Continuous Evaluation and Adaptation: A Necessity for Success**

The Hinkley Point C Project is a perfect example of the need to do ongoing monitoring and analysis as well as make necessary adjustments while managing a project (Dyrda & Morrison, 2021). Due to the fluid nature of large-scale endeavours, a proactive strategy is required in order to successfully traverse obstacles and grab opportunities. Future projects have the potential to reduce risks, maximise resource utilisation, and make significant contributions to the development of the energy industry if they adopt a way of learning and incorporate lessons learned from both their successes and failures.

The Hinkley Point C Project provides insightful takeaways that may serve as a roadmap for the successful completion of future projects (Smyth et al., 2018). The energy industry may embark on endeavours that realise its aims, minimise problems, and generate good outcomes that will persist if they take into account what they have learnt from previous experiences and put those experiences into practice.

## Conclusion

Evaluation of the Hinkley Point C Project's development indicates a challenging undertaking. It shows both potential and difficulties. Overruns of the budget and delays cause anxiety even if they aid in the reduction of emissions and the expansion of the economy. The outcome of this research for the UK's power sector is uncertain and perhaps successful. Strict planning, strong risk management, stakeholder involvement, and regulatory compliance are all examples of lessons learnt. The key to lasting success in initiatives is to use these lessons. Due to their dynamic character, these endeavours need ongoing review and modification in order to overcome obstacles and create a resilient energy environment.

## References

- Antaki, G. and Gilada, R. (2014) *Nuclear power plant design and operability of Mechanical Systems*. Woburn: Elsevier Science & Technology.
- Daniel, E. and Daniel, P.A. (2019) 'Megaprojects as complex adaptive systems: The Hinkley Point C Case', *International Journal of Project Management*, 37(8), pp. 1017–1033. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.05.001.
- Dyrda, J. and Morrison, R. (2021) 'Overview of the hinkley point C EPR project "J0" and beyond', *EPJ Web of Conferences*, 247, p. 20001. doi:10.1051/epjconf/202124720001.
- Inshakov, O.V., Inshakova, A.O. and Popkova, E.G. (2019) *Energy sector: A systemic analysis of Economy, Foreign Trade and Legal Regulations*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Lawrence, A. (2020) 'Energy decentralization in South Africa: Why past failure points to future success', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 120, p. 109659. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2019.109659.
- Littlechild, S.C. and Vaidya, K.G. (2019) 'UK Energy Sector: Past, present and future', *Energy Strategies for the UK*, pp. 1–21. doi:10.4324/9780429265600-1.
- Rimšaitė, L. (2019) 'Corruption risk mitigation in energy sector: Issues and challenges', *Energy Policy*, 125, pp. 260–266. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2018.10.066.
- Smyth, H., Lecoivre, L. and Vaesken, P. (2018) 'Co-creation of value and the project context: Towards application on the case of Hinkley Point C Nuclear Power Station', *International Journal of Project Management*, 36(1), pp. 170–183. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.04.013.
- Townes, S. (2020) 'Zero emissions network: A pilot program for carbon emissions reduction', *Proceedings of the SOLAR 20/20 Conference* [Preprint]. doi:10.18086/solar.2020.01.17.
- Troccoli, A. (2010) *Management of weather and climate risk in the energy industry*. Dordrecht: Springer.