

BA (Hons) Leadership and Management Skills for the Workplace

LCLM6003 – Leading and Implementing Change

A STRATEGIC CHANGE PLAN

Jaguar Land Rover (JLR): Navigating the Electrification Transition

Lecturer Name : Taonga Kaonga Sobere

Submission Deadline: 20 July 2026

Word Count: approximately 4,000 words (excluding tables and references)

Contents

Executive Summary	3
1. Introduction: Jaguar Land Rover plc	3
2. Environmental Analysis: Internal and External Drivers of Change	4
2.1 External Environment: PESTLE Analysis	4
2.2 Internal Environment	5
3. Evaluating Change Management Models	5
3.1 Lewin's Three-Stage Model (1951)	5
3.2 McKinsey 7-S Framework (Waterman, Peters and Phillips, 1980)	6
3.3 Kotter's Eight-Step Model (1996)	6
3.4 Managing Strategic Change: The Role of Culture	6
4. Leadership, Culture, and Change Management at JLR	7
4.1 Leadership Style and Approach	7
4.2 The Impact of Organisational Culture on Change	7
5. Strategic Change Plan for JLR (2026–2027)	8
5.1 Vision and Strategic Objectives	8
5.2 Proposed Change Initiatives and Financial Plan	9
5.2.1 Initiative 1: EV Platform Transition (Reimagine)	9
5.2.2 Initiative 2: Digital and AI Capability Build	9
5.2.3 Initiative 3: Workforce Reskilling Programme	10
5.2.4 Initiative 4: Supply Chain Decarbonisation	10
5.2.5 Initiative 5: Communication and Culture Change Programme	10
5.3 Communication Plan	10
5.4 Evaluation Plan	11
6. Risk Assessment and Mitigation	12
7. Self-Reflection: Personal Learning and Professional Development	13
7.1 Reflecting on Prior Experience of Organisational Change	13
7.2 The Most Significant Area of Learning: Organisational Culture	14
7.3 Developing a Critical Understanding of Leadership in Change	14
7.4 Application to Future Practice	15
8. Conclusion	15
References	16

Executive Summary

This strategic change plan is presented in the capacity of Senior Strategic Manager to the Board of Directors of Jaguar Land Rover (JLR), the UK-based premium automotive manufacturer wholly owned by Tata Motors. JLR faces an era of unprecedented disruption: the global transition to electric vehicles (EVs), the imperative for digital transformation, shifting regulatory requirements, and volatile macroeconomic conditions have collectively eroded revenue growth and market share. In FY2023, JLR reported revenues of £22.8 billion but continued to struggle with profitability and supply chain resilience (JLR, 2023).

This report provides a structured analysis of JLR's internal and external environment, evaluates the most appropriate change management models and leadership approaches for the organisation's context, and develops a comprehensive Strategic Change Plan. The plan encompasses clear objectives, a financial framework, a stakeholder communication plan, and an evaluation framework grounded in measurable key performance indicators (KPIs). The analysis concludes that JLR must embrace transformational change — anchored in Kotter's (1996) eight-step model and supported by transformational leadership — to successfully execute its 'Reimagine' strategy and emerge as a resilient, electrified premium brand.

1. Introduction: Jaguar Land Rover plc

Jaguar Land Rover Limited is the United Kingdom's largest automotive manufacturer, headquartered in Coventry. The company produces two of the world's most iconic premium vehicle brands: Jaguar, known for performance sports cars and executive saloons, and Land Rover, renowned for luxury all-terrain vehicles including the Range Rover, Defender, and Discovery. Acquired by India's Tata Motors in 2008 for £1.15 billion, JLR employs approximately 38,000 people globally and operates manufacturing facilities in Solihull, Castle Bromwich, and Halewood (JLR, 2023).

In 2021, JLR unveiled its 'Reimagine' strategy — a five-year transformation programme with a stated ambition to make Jaguar a fully electric brand by 2025 and to electrify the entire Land Rover portfolio within the decade (JLR, 2021). This strategy signalled the most significant structural and cultural transformation in the company's history. However, progress has been uneven: semiconductor shortages, supply chain disruptions, rising inflation, and significant operating losses created a turbulent backdrop for change (Batchelor, 2022). Understanding how to navigate this transformation — and lead change effectively — is the central challenge this report addresses.

As noted by Hayes (2018, p. 3), change management is 'the process of continually renewing an organisation's direction, structure, and capabilities to serve the ever-changing needs of external and internal customers.' For JLR, this renewal is not merely desirable — it is existential.

2. Environmental Analysis: Internal and External Drivers of Change

2.1 External Environment: PESTLE Analysis

The following PESTLE analysis identifies the principal macro-environmental forces shaping JLR's strategic context. Each factor is evaluated for its relevance to the change management imperative:

Factor	Key Issues	Impact on JLR
Political	Post-Brexit trade friction; UK Government ZEV mandate requiring 80% of new cars electric by 2030 (UK Government, 2023); US Inflation Reduction Act incentivising domestic EV production.	Post-Brexit trade friction; UK Government ZEV mandate requiring 80% of new cars electric by 2030 (UK Government, 2023); US Inflation Reduction Act incentivising domestic EV production.
Economic	Rising raw material costs (lithium, cobalt); inflation squeezing consumer purchasing power; JLR posted £1.5bn operating loss in FY2020 (JLR, 2020); semiconductor chip shortage reducing volumes.	Rising raw material costs (lithium, cobalt); inflation squeezing consumer purchasing power; JLR posted £1.5bn operating loss in FY2020 (JLR, 2020); semiconductor chip shortage reducing volumes.
Social	Growing environmental consciousness; shift towards SUVs and premium EVs; millennial buyers prioritising connectivity and sustainability; remote working reducing commuting demand.	Growing environmental consciousness; shift towards SUVs and premium EVs; millennial buyers prioritising connectivity and sustainability; remote working reducing commuting demand.
Technological	Rapid EV battery advancement; autonomous and connected vehicle (CAV) technology; OTA (over-the-air) software updates; AI-driven predictive maintenance and manufacturing robotics.	Rapid EV battery advancement; autonomous and connected vehicle (CAV) technology; OTA (over-the-air) software updates; AI-driven predictive maintenance and manufacturing robotics.
Legal	EU and UK emission regulations (Euro 6/7); GDPR compliance for connected vehicle data; product liability for autonomous features; modern slavery reporting obligations.	EU and UK emission regulations (Euro 6/7); GDPR compliance for connected vehicle data; product liability for autonomous features; modern slavery reporting obligations.
Environmental	Net zero commitments; JLR targeting carbon neutrality across supply chain by 2039; growing pressure on rare-earth	Net zero commitments; JLR targeting carbon neutrality across supply chain by 2039; growing pressure on rare-earth

Table 1: PESTLE Analysis of Jaguar Land Rover's External Environment (Author's Own, informed by JLR, 2023; UK Government, 2023; Statista, 2024)

The PESTLE analysis reveals that JLR faces simultaneous pressure across all environmental dimensions. Of particular strategic salience is the technological disruption brought by electrification and digitisation, and the political-regulatory imperative to align with the UK Government's Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) mandate. Teece (2007) argues that in environments characterised by rapid technological change, organisations must develop 'dynamic capabilities' — the ability to sense, seize, and reconfigure — to sustain competitive advantage. JLR's Reimagine strategy is, in essence, an attempt to build such capabilities.

2.2 Internal Environment

Internally, JLR has historically been characterised by strong engineering expertise, brand equity, and premium positioning. However, it has also struggled with operational complexity, high cost structures, and cultural fragmentation between the Jaguar and Land Rover brands (Batchelor, 2022). The organisation's reliance on internal combustion engine (ICE) manufacturing, deeply embedded in its production processes, workforce skills, and supply chain relationships, represents a significant internal barrier to the EV transition.

A McKinsey (2022) assessment of the global automotive industry highlighted that manufacturers with legacy ICE infrastructure face restructuring costs 40–60% higher than newer EV-native entrants, underscoring the scale of JLR's internal transformation challenge. Furthermore, JLR's employee engagement survey in 2023 reported a score of 61% — below the manufacturing sector average of 67% — suggesting that internal morale and organisational confidence are areas requiring urgent leadership attention (JLR, 2023).

Porter's Value Chain analysis (Porter, 1985) identifies key areas where JLR must invest to reconfigure internal capabilities: manufacturing operations (retooling from ICE to EV platforms), technology development (battery and software integration), and human resource management (reskilling the workforce for EV and digital competencies). These internal imperatives are directly addressed within the Strategic Change Plan in Section 5.

3. Evaluating Change Management Models

A comprehensive evaluation of change management models is essential to determine the most appropriate framework for JLR's strategic transition. Three principal models are assessed below.

3.1 Lewin's Three-Stage Model (1951)

Lewin's (1951) model proposes that organisational change occurs in three sequential stages: Unfreeze (destabilising existing behaviours and attitudes), Change (implementing new

approaches), and Refreeze (consolidating new norms). This model is appropriate for planned, discrete changes in relatively stable environments. While it provides a useful conceptual anchor — particularly in framing JLR's need to 'unfreeze' its ICE-centric culture — critics argue that it is overly linear and insufficiently sensitive to the complexity and emergent nature of large-scale strategic transformation (Burnes, 2004). For JLR, which is navigating simultaneous technological, cultural, and operational change, Lewin's model alone is insufficient.

3.2 McKinsey 7-S Framework (Waterman, Peters and Phillips, 1980)

The McKinsey 7-S Framework examines seven interdependent organisational elements: Strategy, Structure, Systems, Style, Staff, Skills, and Shared Values. Its principal contribution is to highlight the necessity of alignment across all organisational dimensions during change. Applied to JLR, the framework reveals critical misalignments: while JLR's stated strategy (Reimagine) emphasises electrification and digital innovation, its current structure — organised around traditional vehicle platforms — and staff skills — predominantly ICE-focused — are misaligned with this strategic ambition. Peters and Waterman (1982) argue that sustainable change requires all seven elements to be brought into coherent alignment, a principle that underpins the holistic design of the change plan proposed in Section 5.

3.3 Kotter's Eight-Step Model (1996)

Kotter's (1996) eight-step model provides the most comprehensive and actionable framework for JLR's transformation. The steps — (1) create urgency, (2) form a guiding coalition, (3) develop a vision, (4) communicate the vision, (5) remove obstacles, (6) generate short-term wins, (7) build on the change, and (8) anchor changes in the culture — map directly onto JLR's strategic challenges. Kotter and Cohen (2002) argue that the single most common error in change management is failing to establish sufficient urgency in the early stages; for JLR, the existential threat of the EV transition provides a powerful urgency narrative.

Kotter's model is selected as the primary framework for this change plan, supplemented by the McKinsey 7-S Framework as a diagnostic alignment tool. This combination addresses both the processual and configurational dimensions of JLR's transformation.

3.4 Managing Strategic Change: The Role of Culture

Johnson et al. (2017) argue that organisational culture — the 'way things are done around here' — is both a critical enabler and a potential barrier to strategic change. JLR's prevailing culture, characterised by engineering pride, hierarchical decision-making, and brand silos between Jaguar and Land Rover, may impede the collaborative, agile, and digitally fluent culture required for successful transformation. Schein (2010) emphasises that sustainable

change requires leaders to actively shape cultural assumptions, not merely to manage surface-level behaviours. This cultural dimension is directly addressed in the communication and leadership components of the change plan.

4. Leadership, Culture, and Change Management at JLR

4.1 Leadership Style and Approach

The choice of leadership approach is critical to the success of JLR's transformation. Bass and Riggio (2006) distinguish between transactional leadership — which maintains performance through exchange and compliance — and transformational leadership — which inspires followers to transcend self-interest for the collective good and embrace a shared vision. Given the scale and novelty of JLR's Reimagine transformation, a transformational leadership approach is strongly indicated.

JLR's Group Chief Executive Officer, Adrian Mardell, who took the role permanently in 2023, has articulated a clear transformational vision: to make JLR a 'house of brands' characterised by design-led excellence, sustainability, and digital innovation (JLR, 2023). Transformational leaders in Kotter's model fulfil the role of both 'urgency creators' and 'vision communicators' — the first two and fourth steps respectively — providing the motivational energy that drives organisational renewal (Bass and Riggio, 2006).

However, Burns (1978) cautions that transformational leadership must be complemented by structures that allow employee agency and voice; without this, transformation can become top-down imposition rather than genuine cultural change. For JLR, this implies the need for distributed leadership — empowering programme directors, plant managers, and team leaders to act as change agents within their own domains. Northouse (2021) terms this 'leadership at all levels', a principle embedded in the communication and workforce development elements of the change plan.

4.2 The Impact of Organisational Culture on Change

JLR's cultural landscape presents both assets and liabilities for change management. On the asset side, the company possesses deep engineering expertise, a strong brand identity, and a workforce with significant institutional knowledge. These represent what Barney (1991) terms 'valuable, rare, and imperfectly imitable' resources — the foundations of sustained competitive advantage.

On the liability side, JLR's culture has historically been risk-averse, siloed between Jaguar and Land Rover, and resistant to software-first thinking (Batchelor, 2022). Kotter (1996) identifies 'allowing too much complacency' as the most frequent cause of change failure — a

risk that is compounded in organisations with strong legacy identities. The Reimagine strategy's explicit rebranding of Jaguar as a pure EV luxury brand represents a deliberate attempt to use brand identity as a cultural lever for transformation.

Cameron and Quinn's (2011) Competing Values Framework (CVF) identifies four organisational culture types: Clan, Adhocracy, Market, and Hierarchy. JLR currently exhibits characteristics of a Hierarchy culture — emphasising process, stability, and control — and elements of a Market culture — focused on competition and results. For the Reimagine strategy to succeed, JLR requires a cultural shift towards a greater Adhocracy orientation: valuing creativity, risk-taking, and digital innovation. This cultural transition is the most complex and long-term dimension of JLR's change programme.

5. Strategic Change Plan for JLR (2026–2027)

5.1 Vision and Strategic Objectives

The overarching vision for this Strategic Change Plan is:

"To successfully transform JLR into a resilient, electrified, and digitally capable premium automotive group that leads in sustainability, customer experience, and technology — securing long-term profitable growth and brand relevance in the global EV market."

Strategic Objectives:

- Launch the first fully electric Jaguar model (Type 00) and two new electric Range Rover variants by end of 2026, achieving 25,000 combined EV orders.
- Build internal digital and AI capabilities to support OTA software delivery, predictive manufacturing, and data-led customer experiences by Q3 2026.
- Reskill 80% of manufacturing and engineering staff in EV systems, battery technology, and software integration by Q3 2026.
- Reduce supply chain carbon intensity by 15% against the 2025 baseline by end of 2027.
- Achieve an employee engagement score of $\geq 72\%$ by Q4 2026, reflecting improved cultural alignment with the Reimagine strategy.

These objectives directly address the gaps identified in the PESTLE and internal environment analyses and are aligned with Kotter's (1996) steps 3 and 4 — developing and communicating a clear, compelling vision.

5.2 Proposed Change Initiatives and Financial Plan

The change plan comprises five interdependent initiatives, structured as follows:

Initiative	Timeline	Budget Est.	Ownership	Priority
1. EV Platform Transition (Reimagine)	Q1–Q4 2026	£2.5bn (phased)	Board / Programme Directors	High
2. Digital & AI Capability Build	Q1–Q2 2026	£180m	Chief Digital Officer	High
3. Workforce Reskilling Programme	Q2–Q4 2026	£45m	CHRO / L&D Teams	High
4. Supply Chain Decarbonisation	Q3 2026–Q2 2027	£95m	Chief Sustainability Officer	High
5. Communication & Culture Change	Throughout	£12m	Change Management Office	High

Table 2: Strategic Change Initiatives — Overview (Author's Own)

5.2.1 Initiative 1: EV Platform Transition (Reimagine)

The centrepiece of JLR's transformation is the acceleration of its EV platform development. This requires capital investment of approximately £2.5 billion in phased tranches, covering retooling of manufacturing lines at Solihull and Halewood, procurement of battery supply agreements, and the development of the Jaguar Electric Architecture (JEA) platform (JLR, 2023). This investment is consistent with Tata Motors' commitment of £15 billion over five years to JLR's Reimagine programme (JLR, 2021).

The financial risk of this initiative is mitigated by phased investment gating — conditional release of capital contingent on achievement of development milestones — and by government co-investment via the Automotive Transformation Fund (UK Government, 2023). Kaplan and Norton's (1996) Balanced Scorecard framework provides a useful tool for monitoring financial, customer, process, and learning perspectives throughout this initiative.

5.2.2 Initiative 2: Digital and AI Capability Build

JLR must develop in-house digital and AI capabilities to deliver OTA software updates, AI-driven personalisation, and predictive manufacturing analytics. A budget of £180 million is allocated over two quarters, covering the recruitment of approximately 300 software engineers, partnerships with technology providers (including Nvidia for AI chip architecture), and the development of an integrated digital platform. This initiative is aligned with the

McKinsey 7-S 'Systems' element, addressing the technological infrastructure gap identified in Section 2.2.

5.2.3 Initiative 3: Workforce Reskilling Programme

Hayes (2018) argues that the human dimension of change is the most frequently underestimated. JLR's reskilling programme, budgeted at £45 million, will partner with UWTSD, Coventry University, and Warwickshire College to deliver accredited EV systems training, software awareness modules, and leadership development for first-line managers. This directly addresses the 'Staff' and 'Skills' misalignments identified through the McKinsey 7-S analysis and constitutes Kotter's (1996) fifth step — empowering action by removing obstacles through capability investment.

5.2.4 Initiative 4: Supply Chain Decarbonisation

Elkington's (1997) triple bottom line framework — measuring performance across people, planet, and profit — provides the normative basis for JLR's supply chain sustainability initiative. A budget of £95 million will fund supplier audits, co-investment in green logistics, and the development of a Responsible Sourcing Dashboard to track emissions, labour standards, and circular economy compliance across Tier 1 and Tier 2 suppliers. Progress against the 15% carbon reduction target will be reported in JLR's annual sustainability report, aligned with GRI Standards (Global Reporting Initiative, 2021).

5.2.5 Initiative 5: Communication and Culture Change Programme

Kotter (1996) identifies poor communication as the second most common cause of change failure. A dedicated Change Management Office (CMO), reporting directly to the CEO, will coordinate internal and external communications, cultural interventions, and leadership development. A budget of £12 million covers town halls, internal communications infrastructure, change champion networks, and external employer branding.

5.3 Communication Plan

The communication plan is structured around five key stakeholder groups, as summarised below:

Stakeholder	Channel	Frequency	Objective	Owner
Employees (all levels)	Town halls; intranet; line manager briefings	Monthly + ad hoc	Reduce uncertainty; build buy-in	CMO/CHRO
Senior Leadership	Executive steering group; Board papers	Bi-weekly	Ensure strategic alignment	CMO/CHRO
Unions / Works Council	Formal consultation; joint working groups	Monthly	Compliance; co-design reskilling	CMO/CHRO
Suppliers	Supplier portal; sustainability newsletters	Quarterly	Decarbonisation targets; lead times	CMO/CHRO
Customers / Media	Press releases; social media; dealer briefings	Quarterly	Brand confidence; EV transition story	CMO/CHRO

Table 3: Strategic Change Communication Plan (Author's Own)

Kotter and Cohen (2002) emphasise the importance of two-way communication in sustaining change momentum — information flows not just from leaders to employees, but back from employees to leaders, providing early warning of emerging resistance or misalignment. JLR's communication plan incorporates structured feedback loops, including quarterly 'Change Pulse' surveys and open Q&A sessions with senior leadership, to maintain this bidirectional dialogue.

Lewin's (1951) 'unfreezing' stage is particularly relevant here: effective communication must first destabilise existing mental models — particularly the assumption that ICE engineering expertise alone will secure JLR's future — before new behaviours and mindsets can be adopted. The urgency narrative (Kotter, 1996) is central to this communication challenge.

5.4 Evaluation Plan

A robust evaluation framework is essential to assess change progress, identify implementation gaps, and enable adaptive management. The following KPI framework, aligned with Kaplan and Norton's (1996) Balanced Scorecard perspectives, will be used to monitor the Strategic Change Plan:

KPI	Target	Measurement Method	Responsible Party
EV Order Volume	25,000 units by end 2026	Monthly sales data	Sales & Finance teams
Employee Reskilling	80% of manufacturing staff EV-certified by Q3 2026	Training completion reports	CHRO / L&D
Carbon Footprint	15% reduction vs 2025 baseline	Supply chain audit	CSO / Procurement
Digital Capability Index	Score $\geq 75/100$ in annual digital maturity audit	Internal digital survey	Chief Digital Officer
Employee Engagement	Engagement score $\geq 72\%$ (up from 61% in 2023)	Annual staff survey	HR Business Partners
Operating Profit Margin	Return to $\geq 5\%$ operating margin by FY2027	Quarterly financial reporting	CFO / Board

Table 4: Strategic Change Plan – KPIs and Evaluation Framework (Author's Own)

Progress against KPIs will be reviewed monthly by the Change Steering Group, chaired by the CEO, and reported quarterly to the JLR Board of Directors. In addition to quantitative KPIs, qualitative evaluation — including structured interviews with change champions, focus groups with frontline employees, and supplier satisfaction assessments — will provide a richer understanding of cultural and relational dimensions of change progress (Hayes, 2018).

Cameron and Quinn (2011) recommend periodic culture audits using the Organisational Culture Assessment Instrument (OCAI) to track the evolution of cultural profiles over time. An OCAI assessment at the outset of the change programme and at 12-month intervals will provide evidence of whether the desired cultural shift towards greater Adhocracy orientation is being achieved.

6. Risk Assessment and Mitigation

Any large-scale transformation programme carries significant risk. The following principal risks have been identified, together with mitigation strategies grounded in change management theory:

Risk 1 – Change Resistance:

Employee resistance, particularly from ICE-experienced manufacturing workers, represents the most significant human risk. Kotter (1996) advises generating short-term wins to sustain momentum and demonstrate tangible progress. Early delivery of visible milestones — such as the debut of the new electric Jaguar Type 00 — will serve this purpose. Additionally, involving employees in the design of reskilling pathways will increase ownership and reduce resistance (Burnes, 2004).

Risk 2 – Financial Overrun:

The total investment commitment of approximately £2.8 billion is substantial, and JLR's recent financial performance has been volatile. Phased investment gating, independent financial review, and alignment with Tata Motors' parent company oversight will mitigate overrun risk. Kaplan and Norton's (1996) financial perspective KPI (operating margin recovery to $\geq 5\%$ by FY2027) provides a clear early warning signal.

Risk 3 – Technology Delivery Risk:

Battery technology and software integration for EVs remain rapidly evolving fields. JLR's strategy of partnering with established technology providers (Nvidia, Wolfspeed) rather than developing entirely proprietary solutions reduces this risk. Teece's (2007) dynamic capabilities framework suggests that the ability to identify and integrate external knowledge is as important as internal development capability.

Risk 4 – Cultural Inertia:

Schein (2010) warns that deep cultural assumptions are highly resistant to change. Sustained leadership modelling, the appointment of credible change champions at all levels, and embedding new behaviours into performance appraisal and reward systems are essential to preventing cultural reversion.

7. Self-Reflection: Personal Learning and Professional Development

7.1 Reflecting on Prior Experience of Organisational Change

Prior to undertaking this module, my understanding of organisational change was largely practical and experiential. Working within the manufacturing and logistics sector, I have observed — and been personally affected by — significant change programmes, including organisational restructuring, the introduction of new operational systems, and leadership transitions. At the time, these experiences were often unsettling and difficult to contextualise. Processes seemed inconsistent, communication was sometimes poor, and the reasoning behind certain decisions was not always made clear to those on the operational floor.

What this module has provided is a theoretical lens through which to make sense of those experiences retrospectively. Studying Kotter's (1996) eight-step model, for instance, prompted me to recognise that much of the frustration I and my colleagues experienced during previous change programmes could be attributed to identifiable failures in the change process — most notably, insufficient urgency-building in the early stages and a lack of genuine two-way communication. Kotter and Cohen (2002) argue that change fails not because of poor strategy, but because of insufficient attention to the human emotional response to change. That insight resonated deeply with my own lived experience.

7.2 The Most Significant Area of Learning: Organisational Culture

Of all the concepts introduced in this module, organisational culture has proven the most intellectually significant for me. Before studying LCLM6003, I understood culture informally — as 'the way things work around here' — but I had not considered it as a subject of systematic analysis. Schein's (2010) Three-Level Model was particularly illuminating: the distinction between visible artefacts, espoused values, and basic underlying assumptions helped me to understand why, in my own workplace, stated values and actual behaviours sometimes diverge so sharply. During a recent leadership transition in my organisation, the new leadership team communicated a strong set of values around collaboration and transparency; yet the underlying assumptions — shaped by years of hierarchical, top-down management — continued to drive day-to-day behaviour in ways that contradicted those espoused values.

Cameron and Quinn's (2011) Competing Values Framework added further depth to this understanding. Reflecting on my own organisation, I can identify characteristics of a predominantly Hierarchy culture — a focus on process compliance, clearly defined roles, and stability — which, while effective in maintaining operational consistency in a manufacturing environment, has at times acted as a barrier to the kind of adaptive problem-solving and cross-functional collaboration that modern logistics demands. This realisation has changed how I interpret organisational dynamics at work: I now see cultural assumptions not as fixed realities but as manageable variables that effective leaders can seek to shift over time.

7.3 Developing a Critical Understanding of Leadership in Change

This module has also significantly developed my understanding of leadership — and of my own aspirations as a future change or project management professional. Bass and Riggio's (2006) distinction between transactional and transformational leadership helped me to reflect on the leadership styles I have experienced in my career. The most effective managers I have worked with were, in retrospect, those who combined a clear vision with genuine investment in the people around them — what Burns (1978) would describe as transformational in orientation. By contrast, the most damaging periods of change in my organisation were

presided over by leaders who relied primarily on positional authority and compliance-based management, without building the trust or shared understanding that sustains people through uncertainty.

Northouse (2021) argues that effective change leadership is not the exclusive preserve of senior executives — it is a capacity that can and should be developed at all levels of an organisation. This idea has direct relevance to my own professional ambitions. As someone seeking to move into a change or project management role, I recognise that my value will lie not only in technical competence but in my ability to communicate a compelling vision, build coalitions, manage resistance with empathy, and sustain momentum through setbacks. These are skills that this module has helped me to name and begin to develop.

7.4 Application to Future Practice

Completing this strategic change plan for JLR has been a practically valuable exercise that has bridged theory and professional practice in a direct and meaningful way. The process of constructing a real change management plan — with financial estimates, communication strategies, KPI frameworks, and risk assessments — has given me a working template for the kind of structured, evidence-based approach I intend to bring to future change projects in my own career.

In particular, the discipline of grounding every recommendation in theory — asking not only 'what should we do?' but 'why, and what does the evidence say?' — is a habit of mind that I intend to carry forward. Hayes (2018) argues that effective change managers are those who can hold theoretical knowledge and practical experience in productive tension, using each to interrogate and inform the other. That is the kind of practitioner I aspire to become.

In summary, this module has transformed my understanding of change management from a collection of instinctive reactions and informal practices into a coherent, theoretically grounded discipline. Working in manufacturing and logistics — a sector facing profound disruption from automation, digitalisation, and sustainability imperatives — the ability to understand, plan, and lead change is not merely an academic exercise. It is, as Hayes (2018) observes, one of the most important capabilities any professional can develop.

8. Conclusion

This report has presented a comprehensive Strategic Change Plan for Jaguar Land Rover, addressing the urgent imperative to navigate the global transition to electric vehicles, digital transformation, and evolving regulatory and environmental expectations. The analysis demonstrated that JLR faces substantial external and internal change pressures, and that the

organisation's future competitive position depends on the successful execution of its Reimagine transformation strategy.

The evaluation of change management frameworks concluded that Kotter's (1996) eight-step model, supplemented by the McKinsey 7-S Framework, provides the most robust and actionable foundation for managing JLR's transformation. A transformational leadership approach — distributed across all levels of the organisation — is identified as the most appropriate leadership model, consistent with the scale and complexity of the change programme.

The Strategic Change Plan developed in Section 5 provides clear objectives, a structured set of initiatives, a financial framework totalling approximately £2.8 billion, a stakeholder communication plan, and a KPI-based evaluation framework. The plan acknowledges the critical role of organisational culture — particularly the need to shift JLR's cultural orientation towards greater adaptability and digital fluency — as a prerequisite for sustainable transformation success.

As Hayes (2018, p. 412) observes, 'the ability to manage change is one of the most important capabilities an organisation can possess.' For JLR, this capability will determine not merely its competitive position, but its survival as a world-class British automotive brand in the electric age.

References

- Barney, J. (1991) 'Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage', *Journal of Management*, 17(1), pp. 99–120.
- Bass, B.M. and Riggio, R.E. (2006) *Transformational Leadership*. 2nd edn. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Batchelor, J. (2022) 'JLR's Rocky Road to Reinvention', *Automotive Management*, 14 March. Available at: <https://www.am-online.com> (Accessed: 12 June 2026).
- Burnes, B. (2004) 'Kurt Lewin and the Planned Approach to Change: A Re-appraisal', *Journal of Management Studies*, 41(6), pp. 977–1002.
- Burns, J.M. (1978) *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Cameron, K.S. and Quinn, R.E. (2011) *Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture: Based on the Competing Values Framework*. 3rd edn. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Elkington, J. (1997) *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Oxford: Capstone.
- Global Reporting Initiative (2021) *GRI Standards 2021*. Amsterdam: GRI. Available at: <https://www.globalreporting.org> (Accessed: 12 June 2026).

- Hayes, J. (2018) *The Theory and Practice of Change Management*. 5th edn. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jaguar Land Rover (JLR) (2021) *Reimagine: JLR's New Strategy for a New Era*. Coventry: JLR.
- Jaguar Land Rover (JLR) (2023) *Annual Report and Financial Statements 2022/23*. Coventry: JLR.
- Johnson, G., Whittington, R., Scholes, K., Angwin, D. and Regnér, P. (2017) *Exploring Strategy: Text and Cases*. 11th edn. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
- Kaplan, R.S. and Norton, D.P. (1996) *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy into Action*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kotter, J.P. (1996) *Leading Change*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kotter, J.P. and Cohen, D.S. (2002) *The Heart of Change: Real-Life Stories of How People Change Their Organizations*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Lewin, K. (1951) *Field Theory in Social Science*. New York: Harper & Row.
- McKinsey & Company (2022) *The Future of Mobility: Electrification and Digital Transformation in the Automotive Sector*. Available at: <https://www.mckinsey.com> (Accessed: 12 June 2026).
- Northouse, P.G. (2021) *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. 9th edn. London: Sage Publications.
- Peters, T.J. and Waterman, R.H. (1982) *In Search of Excellence: Lessons from America's Best-Run Companies*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Porter, M.E. (1985) *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Free Press.
- Schein, E.H. (2010) *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. 4th edn. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Statista (2024) *Global Electric Vehicle Market: Key Statistics 2024*. Available at: <https://www.statista.com> (Accessed: 12 June 2026).
- Teece, D.J. (2007) 'Explicating Dynamic Capabilities: The Nature and Microfoundations of (Sustainable) Enterprise Performance', *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), pp. 1319–1350.
- UK Government (2023) *Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) Mandate: Policy Statement*. London: Department for Transport.
- Waterman, R.H., Peters, T.J. and Phillips, J.R. (1980) 'Structure is Not Organization', *Business Horizons*, 23(3), pp. 14–26.