

LEVEL 4 ASSESSMENT SPECIFICATION

Programme:	Cloud Computing Portfolio		
Module:	Software Development		
Module code:	ACCB4017	Contribution to Overall Module Assessment (%):	70%
Lecturer:	Dr Muhammad Azeem Abbas	Internal Verifier:	Ms. Abida Perveen
Assignment Title:	Software Development-Assessment 1	Word count (or equivalent):	N/A
Submission deadline:	15/08/2022 – 3PM	Return date of provisional marks & written feedback:	After the Exam Board
Submission method:	All written assessments, where practical and possible, must be submitted via Turnitin unless otherwise instructed by the Lecturer. (Please DO NOT put this assessment specification into Turnitin or it will match many similarities with other students' submissions.) Alternative submission method (if applicable): Late submission of the assessment will result in a late penalty mark. Penalties for late submission: Up to one week late, maximum mark of 40%. Over one week late, 0%. Only the Extenuating Circumstances Panel may approve a change to submission dates.		
Academic honesty / referencing:	Academic honesty is required. In the main body of your submission you must give credit to authors on whose research and ideas your work is based. Append to your submission a reference list that indicates the books, articles, etc. that you have used, cited or quoted in order to complete this assessment.		

Module Learning Outcomes (from module syllabus)

1. Understand the syntax and semantics of a high-level programming language and develop programs using good programming practices.
2. Select and deploy basic software constructs using simple algorithms in the development of solutions to programming problems

TASK DESCRIPTION

PART A (UNDERSTANDING)

- A1. Define 'list' and indicate how it is different from 'variable' and 'dictionaries'. Explain how to access the list elements using an example of a list with five items in it. Explain any two built-in functions of the list i) append() ii) count() iii) pop() iv) remove() and v) sort(). Demonstrate how to use the functions in your example. (Max. 250 words)
- A2. Define 'user-defined functions'. Explain why user-defined functions are important in programming. Illustrate your answer with one user-defined function using two parameters. (Max. 250 words)

PART B (IMPLEMENTATION)

The following exercises become increasingly more challenging. You don't need to complete all the exercises to pass this assignment. Marks will be awarded for attempting the exercises – a partial solution will still gain marks. Please try and validate user inputs as possible. This will help you to have more test cases.

For each exercise below:

- Identify specific steps in completing the exercise.
 - You may also constrain a problem (simplify it) to produce a simpler solution that may act as a stepping-stone to solving the real problem.
- Write a clear analysis of the solutions (the thought process behind them) – what logical stages are required. You could use a flowchart or pseudocode.
- Show a code listing with annotations (comments).
- Show testing (screenshots) that clearly demonstrate that the solutions work under all circumstances (not just the "happy path!").
- Use validation in your code where appropriate to prevent the user from entering inappropriate values.


Exercise 1:

Show how variables can contain different types of values by using four variables to hold a product title, a product model-number, price, and category. Write a programme to allow the user to input these values and then display them on the screen. The variables should be appropriately typed for the data required.

Exercise 2:

Design and construct a programme to allow the user to enter the width and height to calculate area of each rectangle (that is, blue, red, green, black and yellow) using a user-defined areaRectangle function.

Figure 1



Formula for the circumference of the circle:

$$A = w * h$$

Hint: Calculate the area of the most outer rectangle using the given dimensions, calculate the area of red rectangle which is the half of the outer rectangle (blue). The other half of the blue rectangle (let say X) contains three rectangles i.e. green, black and yellow. Green rectangle covers the half of its container (X) and black and yellow shares equally the remaining half (let say Y). Calculate the area of green, black and yellow rectangles. The hierarchy diagram shows the organisation of each rectangle.

Exercise 3:

Write a programme that allows the user to enter the names of five cars into an array/list, the model of the cars into a second array/list, the type of the cars into a third array/list, and the price of the cars into a fourth array/list. When all the cars' names, models, types, and prices have been entered, print them on the screen.

Your output might look something like this:

Enter car Name:

Toyota Prius

Enter car Model:

2015

Enter car Type:

Hatchback

Enter car Price:

6000

Employee details entered:

Name	Model	Type	Price
Toyota Prius	2015	Hatchback	6000
Vauxhall Corsa	2018	SUV	30000
Tesla Model 3	2020	Sedan	55040
MINI Cooper	2010	Micro	33000
Mercedes A-Class	2016	Sedan	50000

Tasks:

- (a) Calculate the average price of sedan type cars, average price of cars other than sedan type, average price of cars of model 2015 and below, and average price of cars above 2015 model.
- (b) Use appropriate graphs to represent the model, type, and price distribution for all the cars.

OR

You may store the above table in a .csv file, then read the .csv file and assign it to a Python DataFrame and accomplish the given tasks.

Exercise 4:

Spinning game

Develop a spin game which requires three random numbers ranging from 1 to 3 chosen each time the player asks to take another go. Use Python user-defined function to find the score of each spin.

The scoring will be as follows:

- Any combination of two of the same numbers will be discarded and only the remaining number will be counted to the final score e.g.

Number1	Number2	Number3	
1	1	2	=> for this turn, the final score will be 2
2	3	2	=> for this turn, the final score will be 3
3	1	3	=> for this turn, the final score will be 1

- Any three of the same numbers will be discarded e.g.

Number1	Number2	Number3	
1	1	1	=> for this turn, the final score will be 0
2	2	2	=> for this turn, the final score will be 0
3	3	3	=> for this turn, the final score will be 0

- The highest number will be taken for all three different numbers e.g.

Number1	Number2	Number3	
1	2	3	=> for this turn, the final score will be 3
2	3	1	=> for this turn, the final score will be 3
2	1	3	=> for this turn, the final score will be 3

The points the player has accumulated should be displayed and the player should be asked if they wish to make another spin or quit. It could look something like this:

Spin? Yes

2 1 2

After this turn, your points are 1

Spin? Yes

2 3 1

After this turn, your points are 4

Spin? Yes

3 3 3

After this turn, your points are 4

Spin? No

Your final score is 4

Submission Details:

Follow the assessment guidelines on Moodle to structure your report and submit your **electronic** version of your Report via the Turnitin link on Moodle

GUIDANCE FOR STUDENTS IN THE COMPLETION OF TASKS

NOTE: The guidance offered below is linked to the five generic assessment criteria overleaf.

1. Engagement with Literature Skills

Your work must be informed and supported by scholarly material that is *relevant* to and *focused* on the task(s) set. You should provide evidence that you have accessed an appropriate *range* of sources, which may be academic, governmental and industrial; these sources may include academic journal articles, textbooks, current news articles, organisational documents, and websites. You should consider the *credibility* of your sources; academic journals are normally highly credible sources while websites require careful consideration/selection and should be used sparingly. Any sources you use should be current and up-to-date, mostly published within the last five years or so, though seminal/important works in the field may be older. You must provide *evidence* of your research/own reading throughout your work, using a suitable referencing system, including in-text citations in the main body of your work and a reference list at the end of your work.

Guidance specific to this assessment: N/A

2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills

At level 4, you should be able to demonstrate knowledge of the underlying concepts and principles associated with your area(s) of study. *Knowledge* relates to the facts, information and skills you have acquired through your learning. You demonstrate your *understanding* by interpreting the meaning of the facts and information (knowledge). This means that you need to select and include in your work the concepts, techniques, models, theories, etc. appropriate to the task(s) set. You should be able to explain the theories, concepts, etc. to show your understanding. Your mark/grade will also depend upon the *extent* to which you demonstrate your knowledge and understanding.

Guidance specific to this assessment: See below

3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

You should be able to present, evaluate and interpret qualitative and quantitative data, in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements in accordance with basic theories and concepts of your subject(s) of study. You should be able to evaluate the appropriateness of different approaches to solving problems related to your area(s) of study and/or work. Your work must contain evidence of logical, analytical thinking. For example, to examine and break information down into parts, make inferences, compile, compare and contrast information. This means not just describing what! But also justifying: Why? How? When? Who? Where? At what cost? You should provide justification for your arguments and judgements using evidence that you have reflected upon the ideas of others within the subject area and that you are able to make sound judgements and arguments using data and concepts. Where relevant, alternative solutions and recommendations may be proposed.

Guidance specific to this assessment: See below

4. Practical Skills

At level 4, you should be able to apply the basic underlying concepts and principles to evaluate and interpret these within the context of your area of study. You should be able to demonstrate how the subject-related concepts and ideas relate to real world situations and/or a particular context. How do they work in practice? You will deploy models, methods, techniques, and/or theories, in that context, to assess current situations, perhaps to formulate plans or solutions to solve problems, or to create artefacts. This is likely to involve, for instance, the use of real-world artefacts, examples and cases, the application of a model within an organisation and/or benchmarking one theory or organisation against others based on stated criteria.

Guidance specific to this assessment: See below

5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Your work must provide evidence of the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility. This includes demonstrating: that you can communicate the results of your study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments; that you can initiate and complete tasks and procedures, whether individually and/or collaboratively; fluency of expression; clarity and effectiveness in presentation and organisation. Work should be coherent and well-structured in presentation and organisation.

Guidance specific to this assessment: Please follow the instruction given on assessment guideline for submission.

Knowledge and Understanding Skills

PART A	Max	Marks
Knowledge of list/variable/dictionaries and their associated functions	7	
Knowledge of user-defined functions	8	

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills (Logical Stages/Testing)

PART B	Max	Marks
Exercise 1	5	
Exercise 2	10	
Exercise 3	10	
Exercise 4	10	

Practical Skills (Coding/Annotation)

PART B	Max	Marks
Exercise 1	10	
Exercise 2	10	
Exercise 3	15	
Exercise 4	15	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

This section details the *extent* to which the assessment criteria are demonstrated by you, which in turn determines your mark. The marks available for each category of skill are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Generic Assessment Criteria	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills	NA	
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15	
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	35	
4. Practical Application Skills	50	
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	NA	

Assessment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		100%
	Up to 1 week late (40% Max)		
	Over 1 week late (0%)		

GENERIC ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Level 4

In accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications, at the end of Level 4 students will be expected to have demonstrated knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles of a subject, and an ability to evaluate and interpret these within the context of that area of study. They should be able to present, evaluate and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements in accordance with basic theories and concepts of their subject(s) of study. They will have learned how to evaluate different approaches to solving problems, and will be able to communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments. They will be able to undertake further training and develop new skills within a structured and managed environment and will have the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
Engagement with literature (including reading, referencing, academic conventions and academic honesty)	Little or no evidence of reading and/or reliance on inappropriate sources. Views and findings mostly unsupported and non-authoritative. Referencing conventions used incoherently or largely absent.	Poor engagement with essential reading. No evidence of wider reading. Reliance on inappropriate sources, and/or indiscriminate use of sources. Heavily reliant on information gained through class contact. Inconsistent and weak use of referencing.	Engagement with a limited range of mostly relevant and credible sources but with some reliance on information gained through class contact. Some omissions and minor errors. Referencing conventions evident though not always applied accurately or consistently.	Engagement with an appropriate range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Some over-reliance on texts rather than other sources. Referencing may show minor inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with a wide range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Selection of relevant and credible sources. Generally sound referencing, with no/very few inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. Consistently accurate application of referencing.	Exceptional engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. High-level referencing skills consistently applied.
Knowledge and understanding (Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and	Major gaps in knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and	Gaps in knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles, with flawed or	Limited knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and	Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles is accurate with a	Competent knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles. Exhibits very	Excellent knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and	Exceptional, detailed knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
principles of a subject.)	principles of the subject matter. Inclusion of irrelevant material. Substantial inaccuracies.	superficial understanding. Some significant inaccuracies and/or irrelevant material.	principles within the subject area. Some elements may be missing.	good understanding of the field of study but lacks depth and/or breadth.	good understanding.	principles of the subject.	concepts and principles
Cognitive and intellectual skills (Evaluate underlying concepts and principles of a subject and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements.)	Wholly or almost wholly descriptive work. Little or no evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Failure to develop arguments, leading to illogical or invalid judgements. Minimal or no use of evidence to back up views.	Largely descriptive work, with superficial evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Weak interpretation of data, flawed development of arguments and judgements. Information accepted uncritically, uses generalised statements made with scant evidence and unsubstantiated opinions. Ideas sometimes illogical and contradictory.	Limited attempt at evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles, tending towards description. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data but with some errors. Some evidence to support emerging arguments and judgements but these may be underdeveloped or with a little inconsistency / mis-interpretation.	Good evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data, with minor errors. An emerging ability to use evidence to support the argument. Mostly valid arguments and logical judgements.	Sound evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately. Ability to devise arguments using evidence to make mostly appropriate and valid judgements.	Excellent evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately and with some insight. Excellent ability to devise arguments using evidence and make appropriate and valid judgements.	Exceptional evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles based evidence. Outstanding interpretation of qualitative and quantitative data. Exceptional ability to devise arguments using evidence and make wholly appropriate and valid judgements.
Practical skills (Different approaches to solving problems in particular contexts.)	Limited or no use of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Little or no appreciation of the context of the application.	Rudimentary application of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques but without consideration and	An adequate awareness and mostly appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques.	A good and appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Clear appreciation of	A very good application of a range of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Very good consideration of the context of	An advanced application of a range of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. The context of the application is	Exceptional levels of application and deployment skills in particular practical contexts. Outstanding identification of

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
	Very weak evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts.	competence. Flawed appreciation of the context of the application. Weak evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts.	Basic appreciation of the context of the application. Can identify problems in particular contexts and propose basic alternative approaches or solutions though there may be errors.	the context of the application. Good evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes mostly appropriate solutions.	the application. Very good evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	well considered, and insightful. Excellent evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	problems in particular contexts and formulation of wholly appropriate, thoughtful solutions / different approaches.
Transferable skills for life and professional practice (Communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments; the qualities needed for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility within a structured and managed environment.)	Work is poorly structured, disorganised, inaccurate and/or confusingly expressed. Very weak use of language and/or very inappropriate style. Failure to work effectively individual or as part of a group. Little or no evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.	Work is poorly presented in a disjointed manner. It is loosely, and at times incoherently, structured, with information and ideas often poorly expressed. Weak use of language and/or inappropriate style. Flawed approach to individual or group work, meeting only partial obligations to others. Limited evidence of the skills for employment requiring the	Mostly ordered presentation and structure in which relevant ideas / concepts are reasonably expressed. Work may lack coherence and/or accuracy in places. Can work as part of a group, meeting most obligations to others but perhaps with limited involvement in group activities. Demonstrates the basic skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas	Mostly coherent, organised and accurate work, in a suitable structure and is for the most part clearly expressed. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with clear contribution to group activities. Demonstrates the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas of strength and some of minor weakness.	Work is accurate, coherent, fluent, well-structured and organised. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Demonstrates very good skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with just occasional minor weakness.	Work is coherent, very fluent and is presented professionally. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an excellent contribution to group activities. Demonstrates excellent skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.	Work is accurate, exceptionally coherent, very fluent and presented well. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an exceptional contribution to group activities. Demonstrates exceptional skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
		exercise of some personal responsibility.	of minor weakness.				

THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO AVAILABLE IN WELSH