



LCBB4000 - Academic Skills

2020/21

Assignment title: Academic Report

Module Coordinator: Dr Tarila Zuofa

Submitted by:

Mihail Pasat

Student no: 2028167

Table of content:

Introduction:	3
Advantages of good team communication:	3
Aspects of good communication in teams:	4
Social cohesion in teams:	4
Collective efficacy in teams:	5
Group roles for effective communication:	6
Conclusion:	7
References:	8

Introduction:

Working as a team in today's business is fairly frequent. Indeed, employees often belong at any one moment in a matrixed organisation to many teams. This implies that many companies rely on the performance of such teams. Fortunately, when a team works together successfully, the total of its parts is larger. It will be productive, efficient and will make preventable errors less likely. Conversely, the reverse is true if the collaboration is weak. Informational silos, misunderstanding, duplication of labour and needless errors are commonly reported. The squad is perhaps weaker than the sum of its members.

Advantages of good team communication:

Teams bring people with different abilities together to produce something nobody can accomplish alone. A well-planned motivating team improves. Team communication is higher and teams can discover new methods through their various skills. As teams have specified common objectives, team members generally have greater independence, diversity, job identity, work importance and feedback (Marlow *et al.* 2018). Social assistance for challenging work, morale and motivation are often available to teams.

Teams gain by improving the quality of the product and service. Every Whole Foods grocery store has an average of 10 self-management teams. Each shop has a team of only the heads of each team, so that communication and sharing will be facilitated. The quality of products and services in their sector is the responsibility of every team.

Another advantage of creating teams in conventional hierarchy is efficiency in product development. In a nonlinear way, teams may evaluate, find and sometimes make shocking improvements to dependent activities.

Staff also benefit from team membership. They build contacts and find out more about what is occurring across functional departments in other parts of the organisation 69% of those who have personal contacts or friendships with their co-workers also express job satisfaction at work, compared to less than one third of those who do not (Eisenberg *et al.* 2019).

Aspects of good communication in teams:

The communication has four aspects: constructive, productive, reciprocal and self-corrective understanding. These are the four characteristics that may be utilised to build excellent ties with **LaFasto and Larson's Connect model** (Rasskazova *et al.* 2019).

Anyone and the staff can also build a positive relationship. For both people, good interactions are productive. Trust and mutual understanding between both sides are necessary in order to establish a healthy partnership. Building ties are not established quickly, it takes time to gain confidence and to open oneself to others.

Productive interactions are crucial since the team cannot be productive if the relationship between two members of a team is not productive. Productive interactions also make it possible for members to concentrate on genuine issues – those that matter – and to make a difference.

Mutual understanding is essential because it motivates members to focus on and comprehend the perspective of the other person and provides them with the joy of understanding. It is vital not only to verify the point of view of others but also to validate themselves (Tiferes and Bisantz, 2018). It is a step back towards trust and a productive connection; the team members must be able to comprehend others in order to be understood.

Good interactions are self-adjusting. Through continued work to improve a connection, members establish mutual trust and understanding between the parties.

Social cohesion in teams:

Social cohesiveness is described as the willingness to collaborate with one another in order to live and thrive. Social cohesion in work teams means that the members desire to join the team and contribute to its success. The social and emotional ties between the members of the united teams and the whole team are driving greater dedication and performance. Southwest Airlines, for example, is working hard to establish cohesion. Everyone is therefore ready to work towards the company's

success (Fonseca *et al.* 2019). Therefore, even when people don't have a job, it's not uncommon to see people pitching in.

For instance, participants of drug misuse rehabilitation groups are aware that all have the same illness and experience. When a team is successful early in its development, it strengthens its efforts to generate outcomes. They will be more encouraged to continue contributing. Success also produces a sense of fervour, which promotes sentiments of team membership and mutual appeal.

Collective efficacy in teams:

The team has to be convinced that its members are able to organise and work together to achieve their objectives. Creating collaborative effectiveness is a bit of equilibrium. If objectives are seen to be too simple to achieve, members may not feel that they need to make every effort. If the goals are seen to be excessively challenging, however, the members might feel that their efforts are irrespective of how hard they work (McLarnon and Woodley, 2021). Social loafing can occur in any circumstance. But if the objective is correct but not unattainable, the team believes that it can only be achieved if it works together hard.

Psychologist Albert Bandura studied and concluded that each one is affected by the other, the link between effectiveness and work performance. If a team succeeds, it may generate confidence and the feeling that there is more to be achieved. The ensuing collaborative effectiveness in turn increases the chances for the team to succeed. But if performance and group efficiency are low, a negative spiral may ensue (Bandura and Hall, 2018). Poor performance questions the capacity of team members and the reduction in collective efficiency leads to poorer performance.

A group that likes the company of each other is more likely to work together to achieve the shared objective. Once everyone works towards achievement, there are few successes along the path. The team's moral spiral upwards assists with this success. Teams are just tasks or focused on their job. They are working pals, perhaps even social friends. This intimate relationship helps to the team's efficiency, since members tend to communicate directly even when tough problems emerge.

Group roles for effective communication:

Another method to promote a healthy group dynamic is to find strengths and weaknesses and assign group responsibilities as members try to create excellent links. The allocation of duties might also contribute to a new team that has not worked together. A team may immediately focus on certain activities simply by allocating responsibilities at the beginning of the project. Everyone should be accountable for thinking, solving problems and sharing their expertise and experience, although certain jobs are more general and may be different or not depending on the job. Four roles without which no team should have:

A Leader:

If the line of command is not apparent, a team needs to be ready to appoint the leader. A leader can concentrate the team, resolve disagreements and guarantee accountability for people.

A note taker:

It is a crucial stage in the development of a productive team to document each meeting. A scribe can update a team with previous annotations fast, so it takes minimal effort to recall where the dialogue stops. The writer can keep all team members equally informed by capturing and sharing notes from each meeting.

Lessons-learned tracker:

This member's task is to monitor the results of meetings and initiatives in both positive and negative terms. This person can ask other members for input. Documentation of what everyone feels has worked well and why, and why, cannot retain a productive team by not repeating mistakes from the past.

Critical thinker:

Teams have diverse perspectives and conflicts to accept. This person will create alternatives or objections to the beliefs of others. Such a position can make the team objective and eliminate problems such as Group thinking. Because the job of this

individual might generate controversy, turning those who advocate the devil for the team can assist.

Conclusion:

Individuals must be confident to communicate honestly and freely with one another if successful team communication is to take place. If this does not already occur, leadership should create an atmosphere where it can flourish. Leaders should also guarantee that the team's demands in practical communication are addressed by providing them with the necessary cooperation tools and ground rules.

References:

Bandura, A. and Hall, P., 2018. Albert bandura and social learning theory. *Learning Theories For Early Years Practice*, 63.

Eisenberg, J., Post, C. and DiTomaso, N., 2019. Team dispersion and performance: The role of team communication and transformational leadership. *Small Group Research*, 50(3), pp.348-380.

Fonseca, X., Lukosch, S. and Brazier, F., 2019. Social cohesion revisited: a new definition and how to characterize it. *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 32(2), pp.231-253.

Marlow, S.L., Lacerenza, C.N., Paoletti, J., Burke, C.S. and Salas, E., 2018. Does team communication represent a one-size-fits-all approach?: A meta-analysis of team communication and performance. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 144, pp.145-170.

McLarnon, M.J. and Woodley, H.J., 2021. Collective efficacy in virtual teams: Emergence, trajectory, and effectiveness implications. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement*, 53(2), p.187.

Rasskazova, O., Alexandrov, I., Burmistrov, A. and Siniavina, M., 2019, October. Changes in functional responsibilities of HR-specialists in connection with the digital transformation of companies. In *Proceedings of the 2019 International SPBPU Scientific Conference on Innovations in Digital Economy* (pp. 1-5).

Tiferes, J. and Bisantz, A.M., 2018. The impact of team characteristics and context on team communication: An integrative literature review. *Applied ergonomics*, 68, pp.146-159.