

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Ethan Ridd
Title of Project:	Comparing the performance of Routing Protocols on MANet
Supervisor:	Carlene
2nd marker:	Kaplan
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> A very good project but writing in 1 st person and other grammatical errors let down the academic standard of it.	76
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	72
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u> Agreed	74

Signature:  Date: 10/5/2018

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	3.5/5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	17/20	/15
Comments: A background overview to the problem/project was done along with aim, objectives and what coming next in the following chapters. Lit review covers relevant areas needed for this project. From discussing the general areas of MANet and types of routing protocols to relevant related works that are used to shape this project.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	4/5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5
Comments: The appropriate methods and approaches clearly outlines and explained.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	20/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	6.5/10	/10
Comments: Discussion of results was done in a quantitative manner and cross references the lit review to justified outcome. Conclusion sums up what was done but recommendation was more of a reflection.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	11/15	-
Comments: Design & implementation outlined and described the steps to carry out the experiment. A conceptual view could have been outline diagrammatically.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	8/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	6/10	/10
Comments: A documented reflection was done giving clear account of pitfalls and improvement that could have been done. A presentable report but required proof reading before submitting. Utilised 1 st person throughout, has spelling, typo and other minor grammatical errors.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	76/100	/100

