Feedback

Student's Name	GURPARTAP
Student's SurName	KHATKAR
Student's Email	2218525@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCA4024
Marked By	Munyaradzi Murira

Engagement with Literature Skills — 15

Feedback	Marks
In this section for a student to do well, they needed to do in-text referencing from credible and relevant diversity of sources.Where possible one will be expected to critically appraise the literature engaged. Lastly one is expected to have discussed key concepts and applied appropriate referencing conventions. There was no intext referencing on some of the facts on you document.	12

Knowledge and Understanding Skills — 15

Feedback	Mark
To score high marks one needed to demonstrate understanding of key concepts namely threats, , vulnerabilities, attacks, and mitigation strategies. The strength of statements given backed by literature contributed to the score on this section Well done	13.2

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills — 20

Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section one needed to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critical analysing and evaluating different technologies and methods used. There was also a need to answer the why, how and when, questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole assignment. Excellent Work	18.4

Practical Application Skills — 40

Feedback	Mark
This section carried the most weight and for a student to excel they needed to provide evidence of the practical work done. Proof for completing 3 self-paced courses and a design and implementation as part of demonstrating your chosen vulnerability Screen shots from the demo were expected with narrative texts.Also seems you did not provide proof that you completed 2 pal alto courses	5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice — 10

Feedback	Mark
To score high a student needed to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas, with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work in IEEE Format. Report was professionally done though not complete	7.6
Overall Mark	56