MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	**Ben Kiddie**
Title of Project:	LEAP Motion / Oculus Rift Game
Supervisor:	**Nik Whitehead**
2nd marker:	**Bev Holland**
Course:	**Computing DIS CNC EEE** **Games Development SE Web (please indicate)**
Project Type:	**Survey Review Experiment Development (please indicate)**

	Mark %
First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark This is an exceptional project that synthesised cutting edge hardware, game development and user experience testing. It is rare to see a project with such breadth.	90
Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	87
Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark	90

Signature: Nik Whitehead Date: 28/4/21

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1.Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	5 /5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	13 /15

Comments:
Excellent abstract. Strong introduction, c. w aim & objectives.
The literature review is thorough, wide-ranging and well-referenced.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	4 /5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	5 /5

Comments:
The methodologies are sound, and the process explained. Reliability, validity and generalisability should be included, but the ethical issues are covered.
The requirements capture process is full-featured, with a detailed design process.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	23/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	9 /10

Comments:
Two testing periods took place, with the results of the prior tests influencing the final design. In both cases the testing processes and results are fully discussed conclusions drawn are referenced to the literature, and the limitations of a small test group are fully accepted. The recommendations made are clearly stated and well justified

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	14/15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:
The development process is discussed in detail, together with discussion of the more challenging features of the code. Elements implemented are fully justified with references to the literature.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	8 /10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	9 /10

Comments:

The report is of a professional standard with all necessary elements. The use of technical English is excellent, and the referencing scheme is well used throughout. Reflection is honest and considers the project in the context of the entire degree.

TOTAL:	**/100**	**/100**	**/100**	90 **/100**