


MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Christopher Hopkins
Title of Project:	Qos for IPTV.
Supervisor:	Caplan Radhakrishnan
2 nd marker:	Carlene Campbell.
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS CNetS CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review Experiment Development (please circle)

	Mark %
First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark could be a better project with bit more effort.	57.5
Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	
Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark Agreed on 58%.	58%.

Signature:



Date:

13/5/2012

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	3 /5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	12 /20	/15
Comments: start of well but abruptly stop! Should have link between background and topics discussed in intro. why ~~ms~~ is important?, or methods to measure ~~ms~~ ?. Aim is clearly stated but objectives need to more clear & specific. In lit. review need to focus on ~~ms~~ ^{more} QOS, ~~ms~~ and various measurement methods of ~~ms~~ ^{IPV} .				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	3.5 /5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5
Comments: more discussion / justification on chosen methodology would be good.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	14/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	3.5/10	/10

Comments:

The main objective is to find QoS (mos) how PSNR relates to mos. No discussion on this. ~~and~~ Results show the ~~the~~ quality was affected by chosen parameters. But evaluation with research firstly is missing. Conclusion should be based on present findings not summary of each chapter. weak section.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	10/15	-

Comments:

Experiment set up was clearly explained more technical discussion on how the parameters were chosen and values not for the experiment was identified need more, analysis.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	5.5/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	6/10	/10
Comments: Good reflection on personal benefit on doing this project. Good standard report. proof reading would improve quality, less of flow of information on certain topic could be improved.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	/100