



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

Programme: Master of Business Administration

Module: Marketing Management

Module Code: LCMB7024

Lecturer: Dr.Laila Maazouz

Name: Hemanth Sriramula

Student ID: 2428678

Word Count: 2699

Submission Date: 27 March, 2025

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	2
2. Advantages of Pioneer and Follower Companies.....	3
2.1 Advantages of Pioneer Companies	3
2.2 Advantages of Follower Companies	3
2.3 Real-World Examples	4
3. Product Life Cycle Strategies	5
3.1 PLC Strategies for Pioneer Companies.....	5
3.2 PLC Strategies for Follower Companies.....	5
3.3 Strategic Comparison Between Pioneer and Follower PLC Strategies.....	6
4. Critical Evaluation of Models and Contemporary Issues	7
5. Conclusion.....	7
6. Reference List.....	8

Assessment Component 1-Essay

1. Introduction

In the dynamic and increasingly competitive global business environment, one of the most critical strategic marketing decisions companies must make is when to enter a market. This decision—whether to be a first mover or a follower—can significantly impact long-term success. Pioneer firms take the lead by introducing new products or technologies ahead of competitors, often aiming to shape customer expectations, build early brand loyalty, and establish strong market positions (Lieberman & Montgomery, 1988). On the other hand, follower companies adopt a more calculated approach, entering after pioneers with the benefit of market insights, reduced risks, and the opportunity to improve upon existing offerings (Zhou, 2014).

Neither approach guarantees success, as outcomes are shaped by various internal capabilities such as innovation, resource allocation, and brand equity, as well as external factors like market readiness, competition, and technological evolution. A company's ability to align its entry strategy with effective product life cycle (PLC) management is also crucial in sustaining growth and competitiveness (Kotler et al., 2019).

This essay explores the advantages of both pioneer and follower strategies, supported by contemporary examples, and critically examines how these companies manage the PLC to maintain a strong market position. Through theory, research, and real-world cases, this analysis aims to uncover the strategic value of timing in marketing decision-making.

2. Advantages of Pioneer and Follower Companies

2.1 Advantages of Pioneer Companies

Pioneer companies, often described as first movers, are those that introduce a novel product, service, or technology into a market ahead of their competitors. This strategic positioning can offer substantial advantages when effectively executed, particularly in industries driven by innovation and brand differentiation (Lieberman & Montgomery, 1988).

A primary benefit lies in the first-mover advantage, whereby pioneers are able to define consumer expectations, set industry benchmarks, and cultivate strong brand associations from the outset. Entering the market early allows these firms to shape buyer preferences and build lasting customer loyalty before competitors enter the scene (Urban et al., 1986). A widely cited example is Apple, whose launch of the iPhone in 2007 revolutionised the smartphone market. Apple's early entry, combined with seamless integration of hardware and software, helped secure a dominant and enduring market presence (Grant, 2021).

Another significant advantage for pioneers is the potential to achieve market share leadership. Early entrants often gain privileged access to critical resources such as distribution networks, supplier contracts, and prime retail shelf space. These early gains can compound over time, enabling cost advantages through economies of scale (Golder & Tellis, 1993). Coca-Cola exemplifies this through its rapid global expansion and brand entrenchment, which have maintained its leadership in the beverage sector for over a century (Kotler et al., 2019).

Pioneers also benefit from technological leadership and intellectual property protection. By investing heavily in R&D, they can secure patents and create high entry barriers for future competitors (Porter, 1985). For instance, pharmaceutical firms like Pfizer often rely on exclusive patents to dominate markets for years, securing returns before generics enter post-patent expiry (Mansfield, 1986).

However, first-mover status does not come without risks. Uncertain demand, high development costs, and fast-moving technological change can undermine early efforts. Yet, when paired with strategic foresight and continuous innovation, pioneering can lead to long-term competitive dominance and industry leadership (Zhou, 2014).

2.2 Advantages of Follower Companies

While pioneers gain early market access, follower companies—those that enter after the first movers—can often achieve substantial success by learning from the experiences of their predecessors. These late entrants adopt a more calculated approach, reducing risk while leveraging established market insights, technologies, and customer expectations (Zhou, 2014).

One of the core advantages of follower firms is the ability to learn from first-mover mistakes. By observing how early entrants perform, followers can identify gaps, refine offerings, and avoid the costly trial-and-error process that pioneers often face (Markides & Geroski, 2005). For instance, Samsung entered the smartphone market after Apple but quickly adapted to consumer demands, diversified its product range, and improved design and functionality based on existing market feedback—eventually becoming one of Apple’s strongest global competitors (Grant, 2021).

Another key benefit is lower research and development costs. Followers can often reverse-engineer or enhance existing technologies rather than invest heavily in creating entirely new ones. This allows them to allocate resources toward marketing, customer acquisition, or competitive pricing strategies (Golder & Tellis, 1993). In the ride-sharing industry, Lyft entered the market after Uber but positioned itself with a more customer-centric brand image, capitalising on Uber’s early public relations and regulatory challenges (Isaac, 2017).

Follower companies may also benefit from greater technological maturity or enter at a time when infrastructure and demand are more developed. As the market evolves, consumer preferences become clearer, enabling more targeted and efficient product positioning (Kotler et al., 2019). Moreover, followers can exploit the awareness and market education efforts already made by pioneers, saving significant marketing and product development costs (Lieberman & Montgomery, 1998).

Although they may not enjoy the same brand legacy or early dominance, follower firms that are agile, strategic, and responsive often manage to outperform pioneers by delivering greater value and adapting faster to changing market dynamics (Shankar et al., 1998).

2.3 Real-World Examples

Real-world cases reveal that both pioneers and followers can succeed, depending on how well they execute their strategies. Amazon is widely recognised as a pioneer in e-commerce. By entering early and investing in logistics, customer experience, and recommendation systems, it quickly established a dominant position in online retail (Brynjolfsson & Smith, 2000; Chaffey, 2022). Similarly, Netflix transitioned from DVDs to streaming ahead of industry peers, setting the standard for digital entertainment and gaining a strong first-mover advantage (Keating, 2021).

In contrast, Facebook is a notable example of a successful follower. It entered the social networking space after MySpace and Friendster but outperformed them through a cleaner design, improved scalability, and strategic exclusivity at launch (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010). Likewise, Tesla followed early hybrid models like the Toyota Prius but transformed the electric vehicle market through high-performance technology, sleek branding, and software innovation (Vynakov et al., 2019).

These examples highlight that timing alone does not determine success. Instead, aligning strategy with innovation, market readiness, and evolving customer preferences is key. Whether entering first or following later, firms that stay agile and customer-focused can achieve long-term competitive advantage (Kotler et al., 2019).

3. Product Life Cycle Strategies

3.1 PLC Strategies for Pioneer Companies

Pioneer companies not only face the challenge of being first to market but must also carefully manage the product life cycle (PLC) to sustain their competitive edge. The PLC consists of four stages—introduction, growth, maturity, and decline—and each phase requires distinct marketing strategies. For pioneers, early-stage execution is especially critical, as they bear the burden of educating the market and stimulating initial demand.

In the introduction stage, pioneers focus heavily on market development and product awareness. Since there is no existing demand, marketing efforts often revolve around educating consumers and creating perceived value. This typically involves high promotional costs and selective distribution. For example, when Dyson introduced its bagless vacuum cleaners, it invested significantly in R&D and advertising to communicate the innovation to a sceptical market.

As the product enters the growth stage, the focus shifts to scaling production, expanding distribution, and strengthening brand differentiation. Pioneers often use this window to reinforce their leadership through innovation, quality improvements, or added services. Apple, for instance, capitalised on iPhone momentum by rapidly rolling out new models and integrating its broader ecosystem (iOS, App Store, iCloud), making it harder for competitors to catch up.

During the maturity stage, pioneers aim to protect market share through pricing strategies, customer loyalty programs, and product diversification. Coca-Cola, a pioneer in carbonated beverages, has continually extended its product line and used brand loyalty to retain dominance despite intense competition. At this stage, pioneers often turn to global expansion or focus on niche segments to avoid early decline.

Strategically, pioneers must also invest in product innovation and brand repositioning to extend the maturity phase and delay decline. This could involve updating features, introducing complementary products, or refreshing branding campaigns to appeal to evolving consumer needs. A good example is Gillette, which continuously introduced new razor systems and bundled grooming products to stay relevant and extend the lifecycle of its core offering.

Ultimately, PLC success for pioneers hinges on proactive adaptation and market responsiveness. Unlike followers who enter established categories, pioneers must shape the lifecycle from scratch—requiring not only bold entry but also sustained innovation and customer engagement throughout the product's evolution.

3.2 PLC Strategies for Follower Companies

Follower companies enter the market after pioneers have introduced a product or innovation, which allows them to operate within a more defined and structured product life cycle (PLC). While they may miss out on first-mover advantages, followers benefit from observing how the market evolves and from accessing consumer feedback and data already generated by pioneers (Shankar et al., 1998).

Unlike pioneers, followers often bypass the introduction stage, as the groundwork for consumer education and awareness has already been laid. Instead, they typically enter during the growth or early maturity stage, when market demand is more established and operational risks are lower (Markides & Geroski, 2005). During this phase, followers focus on product differentiation, competitive pricing, and value-added features. For example, Xiaomi successfully entered the smartphone market after Apple and Samsung by offering high-spec devices at competitive prices, targeting cost-conscious consumers without compromising on innovation (Dutt & Manchanda, 2019).

In the growth stage, followers may also benefit from more advanced and cost-efficient technologies, as they are not burdened by the sunk costs of early R&D. This enables them to scale quickly while keeping prices lower than the pioneers. Aldi and Lidl followed traditional supermarket chains but disrupted the retail market by offering high-quality, low-cost private label products through efficient supply chains and lean operations (Burt & Sparks, 2019).

During the maturity stage, followers rely on agility and adaptability to capture market share. While pioneers may slow down due to organisational inertia, followers often reposition themselves with improved features, customer service enhancements, or targeted marketing. A notable case is Zoom, which entered a saturated video conferencing market dominated by Skype and Webex. By focusing on ease of use, seamless performance, and a freemium pricing model, Zoom quickly captured a global audience, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic (Waizenegger et al., 2020).

To avoid rapid decline, followers must continue to innovate incrementally, maintain cost efficiencies, and identify new niche segments. Though they may not always be industry trailblazers, follower firms can achieve long-term success through strategic timing, consumer-focused enhancements, and efficient lifecycle management (Kotler et al., 2019).

3.3 Strategic Comparison Between Pioneer and Follower PLC Strategies

While both pioneer and follower companies operate within the same product life cycle (PLC) framework, their strategic approaches differ significantly. Pioneers shape the PLC from inception, requiring heavy investment in innovation, market education, and demand creation. Their strategies prioritise first-mover advantages such as brand loyalty and technological leadership, but they also face higher uncertainty and risk (Lieberman & Montgomery, 1988).

In contrast, followers leverage market data, consumer feedback, and technological developments to refine products and optimise entry timing. Their focus lies in cost efficiency, improved customer value, and strategic differentiation during growth and maturity stages (Shankar et al., 1998). While pioneers often lead with innovation, followers excel in incremental improvement and agile execution.

Ultimately, both strategies can succeed if aligned with market conditions, organisational capabilities, and long-term planning. Success in the PLC is less about who enters first—and more about how effectively a firm navigates each stage (Kotler et al., 2019).

4. Critical Evaluation of Models and Contemporary Issues

The Product Life Cycle (PLC) model has long been a foundational tool in marketing strategy, providing a structured way to understand how products evolve in the market. However, despite its usefulness, the model is not without limitations, particularly in today's rapidly changing and technology-driven environments.

One key critique is that the PLC assumes a predictable and linear progression from introduction to decline, which often fails to reflect the complexity and volatility of modern markets (Dhalla & Yuspeh, 1976). In many industries—especially technology, fashion, and digital services—products may experience non-linear growth, sudden obsolescence, or even revival through rebranding or repositioning. For example, vinyl records and retro gaming consoles have defied the traditional decline phase by re-entering niche markets with renewed popularity (Graham, 2020).

Additionally, the PLC model does not account for external disruptions such as technological shifts, regulatory changes, or global crises. The COVID-19 pandemic, for instance, drastically shortened or altered PLC stages for numerous products and services, while simultaneously accelerating the growth phase of others like video conferencing tools and e-learning platforms (Waizenegger et al., 2020).

From a strategic standpoint, the model also tends to oversimplify consumer behaviour, focusing heavily on product-related factors rather than broader market dynamics such as brand equity, competitive actions, or shifting cultural trends (Kotler et al., 2019). For pioneer companies, this means relying solely on PLC guidance may overlook the need for constant innovation and customer engagement to sustain early market leadership. Meanwhile, follower companies often benefit from market insights not explained by the PLC model—such as social listening, platform economies, and data-driven marketing.

In contemporary practice, businesses are increasingly turning to dynamic models like the Innovation Adoption Curve (Rogers, 2003) and Blue Ocean Strategy (Kim & Mauborgne, 2015), which allow for more adaptive, market-driven decision-making. These frameworks are often more relevant in fast-moving or disrupted markets, offering greater strategic flexibility than the traditional PLC.

In conclusion, while the PLC remains a valuable reference point—particularly for understanding broad market patterns—modern firms must adapt it alongside more agile and data-informed frameworks. Both pioneer and follower strategies require a more nuanced, real-time understanding of the market to remain competitive and resilient in an ever-evolving business landscape.

5. Conclusion

The choice between pioneering and following is a strategic decision that depends on a company's resources, risk tolerance, and market dynamics. Pioneers can gain substantial advantages by shaping consumer expectations, establishing brand leadership, and leveraging

technological innovation. However, they also bear significant risk in uncertain or underdeveloped markets. Conversely, follower companies benefit from learning opportunities, reduced R&D costs, and clearer demand patterns—often succeeding through agility, cost-efficiency, and targeted positioning.

Both strategies, when supported by effective Product Life Cycle (PLC) management, can drive sustained growth and competitive advantage. Yet, the traditional PLC model must be applied critically, acknowledging its limitations in today's fast-paced, digitally disrupted environments. Businesses—whether first movers or followers—must remain responsive, innovative, and data-driven to thrive.

Ultimately, success is less about timing alone and more about how well an organisation adapts its strategy across the product lifecycle in alignment with evolving market realities.

6. Reference List

Baker, M. J. (2003) *The Marketing Book*. 5th edn. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Brynjolfsson, E. and Smith, M. D. (2000) 'Frictionless commerce? A comparison of Internet and conventional retailers', *Management Science*, 46(4), pp. 563–585.

Burt, S. and Sparks, L. (2019) 'Retailing in a multi-channel world', *European Retail Research*, 33(1), pp. 3–20.

Chaffey, D. (2022) *Digital Marketing: Strategy, Implementation and Practice*. 8th edn. Harlow: Pearson Education.

Dhalla, N. K. and Yuspeh, S. (1976) 'Forget the product life cycle concept!', *Harvard Business Review*, 54(1), pp. 102–112.

Dutt, P. and Manchanda, R. (2019) 'Competing with a Giant: Survival and growth in smartphone markets', *Journal of Business Strategy*, 40(5), pp. 35–44.

Golder, P. N. and Tellis, G. J. (1993) 'Pioneer advantage: Marketing logic or marketing legend?', *Journal of Marketing Research*, 30(2), pp. 158–170.

Golder, P. N. and Tellis, G. J. (2004) 'Growing, growing, gone: Cascades, diffusion, and turning points in the product life cycle', *Marketing Science*, 23(2), pp. 207–218.

Graham, M. (2020) 'Nostalgia marketing and the return of retro: Vinyl, gaming and beyond', *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 20(3), pp. 345–359.

Grant, R. M. (2021) *Contemporary Strategy Analysis*. 10th edn. Chichester: Wiley.

Isaac, M. (2017) *Brotopia: Uber, Lyft, and the fall and rise of the rideshare economy*. New York: Norton.

Kaplan, A. M. and Haenlein, M. (2010) 'Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media', *Business Horizons*, 53(1), pp. 59–68.

Kim, W. C. and Mauborgne, R. (2015) *Blue Ocean Strategy: How to Create Uncontested Market Space and Make the Competition Irrelevant*. Expanded edn. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.

Kotler, P. and Keller, K. L. (2016) *Marketing Management*. 15th edn. Harlow: Pearson Education.

Kotler, P., Armstrong, G., Harris, L. C. and Piercy, N. (2019) *Principles of Marketing*. 7th European edn. Harlow: Pearson Education.

Levitt, T. (1965) 'Exploit the product life cycle', *Harvard Business Review*, 43(6), pp. 81–94.

Lieberman, M. B. and Montgomery, D. B. (1988) 'First-mover advantages', *Strategic Management Journal*, 9(S1), pp. 41–58.

Lieberman, M. B. and Montgomery, D. B. (1998) 'First-mover (dis)advantages: Retrospective and link with the resource-based view', *Strategic Management Journal*, 19(12), pp. 1111–1125.

Markides, C. and Geroski, P. (2005) *Fast Second: How Smart Companies Bypass Radical Innovation to Enter and Dominate New Markets*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Piercy, N. (2016) *Marketing Strategy and Competitive Positioning*. 6th edn. Harlow: Pearson Education.

Porter, M. E. (1985) *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Free Press.

Rogers, E. M. (2003) *Diffusion of Innovations*. 5th edn. New York: Free Press.

Shankar, V., Carpenter, G. S. and Krishnamurthi, L. (1998) 'Late mover advantage: How innovative late entrants outsell pioneers', *Journal of Marketing Research*, 35(1), pp. 54–70.

Urban, G. L., Carter, T., Gaskin, S. and Mucha, Z. (1986) 'Market share rewards to pioneering brands: An empirical analysis and strategic implications', *Management Science*, 32(6), pp. 645–659.

Vynakov, O., Savolova, T. and Frolova, L. (2019) 'The transformation of the car market: The Tesla phenomenon', *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 3, pp. 54–63.

Waizenegger, L., McKenna, B., Cai, W. and Bendz, T. (2020) 'An affordance perspective of team collaboration and enforced working from home during COVID-19', *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(4), pp. 429–442.

Zhou, K. Z. (2014) 'Relationalism in marketing: Theoretical foundations and future directions', *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51(1), pp. 1–15.