

Methods of Contracting in Project Management

Student Name: DENISA ROXANA STOICA

Student ID: 2211503

Module Name: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Module Code: LCBB6006

Lecturer Name: ZAINAB ATTA

Date of Submitting: 19/12/2025

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
Contracting in Project Management.....	4
Methods of Contracting in Project Management.....	4
1. Turnkey Contracting.....	4
2. Multicontract.....	5
3. Do-It-Yourself (DIY).....	6
4. Multicontract + DIY.....	7
Conclusion.....	8
Reference List.....	9

Introduction

Project management (PM) refers to the utilization of knowledge, skills, tools, and techniques in project activities to fulfill project requirements and achieve organizational goals (PMI, 2021). Within project management, a key element is the strategic coordination of resources, tasks, and stakeholders to navigate the complexities of each project. Projects are characterized as temporary and distinct undertakings that engage various stakeholders, encompass uncertainty related to changing circumstances, and face limitations concerning time, cost, scope, quality, and risk. Given these challenges, the implementation of effective project management practices is paramount. By establishing clear goals, creating detailed plans, actively monitoring progress, and adapting to unforeseen circumstances, project managers can ensure the successful delivery of projects, maximizing their value to organizations.

Contracts play a critical role in project management by establishing formal and legally binding agreements that govern the relationships between project owners and the entities responsible for project implementation. As emphasized in Kerzner's work (2017), contracts serve as a vital tool for clearly defining roles and responsibilities, allocating risks, specifying deliverables, and providing mechanisms for resolving potential conflicts. By actively engaging in the contracting process, organizations gain access to specialized expertise, effectively manage and minimize exposure to risks, improve operational efficiency, and overcome limitations posed by internal resource constraints, thus enhancing overall project outcomes and ensuring successful project delivery.

Organizations select various contracting approaches based on factors such as project size, complexity, risk profile, and internal capabilities (Turner, 2014). Choosing an unsuitable contracting method may result in inadequate coordination, budget overruns, delays in scheduling, and conflicts, whereas a suitable method can improve project governance and overall performance (Flyvbjerg, 2014).

The primary aim of this essay is to provide a comprehensive examination and analysis of four commonly utilized contracting methods within the realm of project management: Turnkey, Multicontract, Do-It-Yourself (DIY), and the innovative fusion of Multicontract with DIY. In a detailed exploration, I delve into the intricate operational frameworks of each method, meticulously unraveling their individual advantages and disadvantages. Furthermore, I aim to conduct a rigorous evaluation of the suitability of these methodologies for diverse project typologies, buttressing our findings with insightful contextual examples to enhance understanding and applicability in practical scenarios.

Contracting in Project Management

In the field of project management, contracting plays a fundamental role in structuring how project activities are planned, executed, and supervised by various parties, both internal and external. Unlike traditional pricing models such as fixed-price or cost-reimbursable contracts, contracting methods focus primarily on fostering responsibility, effective governance, and risk allocation rather than solely evaluating expenditures. Effective contracting strategies within project management not only establish clear guidelines for collaboration and decision-making but also enhance transparency, foster adherence to project objectives, and mitigate uncertainties across project lifecycles. It is through well-implemented contracting practices that organizations are able to navigate complexities, optimize resource utilization, and ensure the successful attainment of project deliverables in a dynamic business environment.

The selection of a contracting method represents a strategic choice that affects project governance, stakeholder interactions, and performance results (Walker, 2015). Factors including project uncertainty, technological complexity, organizational maturity, and risk appetite should be considered when determining a suitable approach (Meredith and Mantel, 2018).

In this essay, the analysis of the four contracting methods sheds light on a spectrum of client engagement levels, oversight procedures, and risk distribution strategies. Understanding the unique characteristics of each method is essential for project managers looking to align their contracting approaches with the specific objectives of their projects. Successfully navigating these aspects can contribute significantly to the overall success and efficiency of project execution, allowing for greater adaptability and responsiveness to changing circumstances throughout the project lifecycle. By comprehensively grasping the nuances of each contracting method and its implications for client involvement, supervision, and risk management, project managers can enhance their decision-making processes and optimize the overall project outcome.

Methods of Contracting in Project Management

1. Turnkey Contracting

Turnkey contracting is a comprehensive project delivery method in which a single contractor takes on the entire project, managing all aspects from design and procurement to construction, testing, and commissioning (Turner, 2014). This

approach allows for a seamless integration of all project phases under one entity, streamlining the process and reducing potential conflicts between different parties involved. By providing the client with a fully operational facility or system upon completion, the contractor ensures a smooth handover that enables immediate utilization, saving time and resources for the client. This turnkey solution offers convenience and efficiency, as clients can rely on a single point of contact throughout the project lifecycle, simplifying communication and decision-making.

One of the main benefits of turnkey contracting is the presence of a single point of accountability. This simplifies coordination and minimizes conflicts associated with design integration and interface management (Kerzner, 2017).

From the standpoint of risk management, turnkey contracts shift considerable technical, scheduling, and financial risks to the contractor, thereby offering enhanced certainty for the client (PMI, 2021).

Turnkey contracting can facilitate quicker project completion, especially when project specifications are well-defined and consistent. Contractors can enhance design and construction methodologies via cohesive planning and implementation (Morrow, 2011).

However, turnkey contracting presents significant drawbacks. Once the contract is awarded, clients have restricted influence over design choices, potentially leading to results that do not completely meet long-term operational requirements (Turner, 2014). Additionally, contractors might incorporate risk premiums into their pricing, which raises the total project expenses (Meredith and Mantel, 2018). Moreover, modifications to the scope after the contract has been signed are frequently costly and challenging to negotiate.

Example:

Turnkey contracting is frequently employed in substantial infrastructure initiatives, including power generation facilities and desalination of plants. For instance, an energy firm that is commissioning a gas processing facility might opt for a turnkey Engineering, Procurement, and Construction (EPC) contract to reduce interface risk and guarantee responsibility for performance results (Morrow, 2011).

2. Multicontract

Multicontracting is a methodology in which a client enters into multiple separate contracts with different contractors, assigning each contractor a specific part of the project scope to oversee (Kerzner, 2017). Under this approach, it is the client's duty to oversee and bring together all project activities into a cohesive whole, ensuring that the various elements work together harmoniously towards the successful

completion of the overall project objectives. This places a significant responsibility on the client to effectively manage and integrate the diverse contributions of each contractor to achieve a unified and successful project outcome.

One significant benefit of multicontracting is the capacity to enlist specialized contractors for particular work packages, thereby enhancing both technical quality and innovation (Meredith and Mantel, 2018).

Competitive bidding among various contracts can further improve value for money and facilitate cost management (PMI, 2021).

Multicontracting offers a high degree of flexibility, giving clients the ability to reorganize the sequence of tasks and select contractors according to changing project requirements (Turner, 2014). This approach proves particularly advantageous in situations where expert skills are crucial and where unforeseen challenges may arise during project execution. By employing multicontracting, clients can respond promptly to variations in project needs, ensuring efficient and effective overall project delivery.

However, the use of multiple contracts greatly heightens the complexity faced by management. The client is required to oversee the interactions between contractors, their interdependencies, and any potential conflicts, which may result in disputes and delays if coordination is not handled effectively (Walker, 2015). Flyvbjerg (2014) points out that inadequate management of interfaces is a significant factor leading to additional expenses.

Example:

In a substantial hospital construction project, the allocation of separate contracts for civil engineering, mechanical systems, medical equipment installation, and IT infrastructure signifies a strategic approach. This method proves advantageous particularly when the client has a proficient project management team in place, capable of efficiently overseeing and coordinating the various specialized contractors responsible for these crucial aspects of the construction process.

3. Do-It-Yourself (DIY)

The Do-It-Yourself (DIY) contracting approach involves the independent undertaking of project tasks by utilizing the internal resources of the organization, thus negating the need for external contractors (Turner, 2014). This method encompasses all stages of planning, implementation, and supervision, remaining entirely self-contained within the organization's structure and operations.

Engaging in DIY provides complete authority over project choices and implementation, allowing organizations to swiftly adapt to alterations and maintain internal project knowledge (Kerzner, 2017). Additionally, it can prove to be economically advantageous for smaller or routine projects by circumventing contractor profit margins and transaction expenses (Meredith and Mantel, 2018).

Engaging in DIY projects fosters organizational learning and the enhancement of capabilities, especially when these projects are in harmony with fundamental competencies (PMI, 2021). Nevertheless, DIY methodologies face limitations due to internal capacity and expertise. Organizations might not possess the specialized skills or technology necessary for intricate projects, which heightens the likelihood of inefficiency and failure (Turner, 2014).

In addition, it is not uncommon for internal teams to face conflicting operational priorities that can lead to challenges in coordinating schedules and can cause a decrease in concentration and productivity levels, as noted by Kerzner (2017).

Example:

When a university decides to improve its internal learning management system with the help of its IT department, one consideration may involve opting for a DIY approach. This strategy is particularly fitting when the institution has well-defined needs and possesses the essential technical expertise within its staff to successfully execute the enhancements.

4. Multicontract + DIY

The Multicontract + DIY method, as outlined by Kerzner in 2017, exemplifies a strategic approach adopted by organizations to manage project activities. By executing key tasks internally and outsourcing others to specialized entities, this method harmonizes control measures with adaptability, effectively enhancing overall project performance and management capabilities.

One significant advantage of employing this methodology lies in the ability to uphold strategic supervision effectively while capitalizing on external specialist input when required (PMI, 2021). Furthermore, it fosters the seamless exchange of expertise from external contractors to internal teams, thereby strengthening the organization's long-term operational prowess (Turner, 2014). This approach fundamentally facilitates knowledge transfer and skill enhancement within the organization, creating a sustainable framework for continuous growth and improvement.

However, this method presents challenges related to coordination and governance. It is crucial to establish clear role definitions, facilitate effective communication, and implement robust integration mechanisms to prevent the duplication of efforts and

conflicts (Walker, 2015). Flyvbjerg (2014) observes that inadequate governance in hybrid contracting frameworks frequently compromises project performance.

Example:

In the context of a digital transformation initiative, a company may opt to take charge of internal tasks such as business process reengineering and change management, while entrusting external vendors with critical functions like system integration and cybersecurity services. This method not only ensures alignment with strategic objectives but also allows the leveraging of external proficiency in specialized areas, enhancing the overall effectiveness and success of the transformation process.

Conclusion

This essay meticulously examined and compared four strategic approaches to contracting in project management: Turnkey contracting, Multicontract arrangements, Do-It-Yourself contracting, and the innovative combination of Multicontract with DIY. Each distinct approach boasts its own set of advantages and limitations in terms of managing control, distributing risks effectively, adaptability to changing circumstances, and the resource demands involved. Turnkey contracting is especially noteworthy for its ability to enhance accountability levels and transfer risks to external parties, while multicontracting offers the flexibility of tapping into specialized expertise and adapting swiftly to evolving project requirements. On the other hand, a DIY approach empowers organizations to maintain tight control over the project and retain critical knowledge within the organization. Hybrid methods skillfully blend internal capabilities with external expertise, striking a balance between the two.

It is crucial to understand that there is no universally superior contracting method that fits all scenarios without exception. The optimal choice heavily depends on various factors such as the complexity of the specific project, the existing capabilities of the organization, the risk appetite prevalent within the business, and the overarching strategic objectives. Consequently, selecting the most appropriate contracting method that aligns harmoniously with these key elements stands as a pivotal factor in realizing success during the execution phase of any project.

Reference

Flyvbjerg, B. (2014). What You Should Know About Megaprojects and Why: An Overview. *Project Management Journal*, 45(2), pp.6–19.

Kerzner, H. (2017). *Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling*. 12th ed. Hoboken: Wiley.

Meredith, J.R. and Mantel, S.J. (2018). *Project Management: A Managerial Approach*. 9th ed. Hoboken: Wiley.

Merrow, E.W. (2011). *Industrial Megaprojects: Concepts, Strategies, and Practices for Success*. Hoboken: Wiley.

PMI (2021). *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK® Guide)*. 7th ed. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute.

Turner, J.R. (2014). *The Handbook of Project-Based Management*. 4th ed. London: McGraw-Hill Education.

Walker, A. (2015). *Project Management in Construction*. 6th ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.