

Evaluation of Local Authority Communication of Early Help Services

Nerine Fiagam
2334791

Module code and title: BMHP5021 – Protecting and Supporting Families in Health and Care

Table of Contents

Evaluation of Local Authority Communication of Early Help Services	3
1. Introduction.....	3
2. Explanation of the Early Help System.....	4
3. Explanation of Multi-Agency Working	5
4. Evaluation of Each Local Authority Website	6
4.1 Leicestershire County Council – Evaluation	6
4.2 Norfolk County Council – Evaluation.....	7
4.3 Leeds City Council – Evaluation.....	8
5 Comparative Analysis of the Three Websites.....	9
6 Conclusion and Recommendations.....	11
References.....	13

Evaluation of Local Authority Communication of Early Help Services

1. Introduction

Early Help is a central component of the UK's safeguarding framework, designed to identify emerging needs and provide timely support to children, young people and families before difficulties escalate (Department for Education [DfE], 2023). Positioned within strategic safeguarding partnerships, Early Help enables local authorities and partner agencies to intervene at the earliest opportunity, reducing risks and promoting family resilience (Sapiets et al., 2021). Because Early Help is largely accessed through local authority guidance, the clarity, accessibility and quality of information provided on public websites play an essential role in ensuring that families understand how to seek support.

Accessible digital communication is especially important as many families rely on online information to navigate services, and poor website design can act as a barrier to help-seeking (Local Government Association, 2021). For this reason, this report examines how three local authorities; Leicestershire County Council, Norfolk County Council and Leeds City Council communicate Early Help and wider family support services through their websites.

The report will outline the Early Help system, explain the significance of multi-agency working, evaluate each website, and provide a comparative analysis (Lucas and John, 2021). By doing so, it aligns with LO1 through its focus on partnership working, and with LO2 through its exploration of protective and preventative services available to families.

2. Explanation of the Early Help System

Early Help refers to a system of coordinated support delivered at the earliest opportunity to children, young people and families who are experiencing emerging difficulties. The Early Help System Guide defines Early Help as a whole-system approach aimed at preventing needs from escalating by identifying concerns early, intervening proportionately, and strengthening family capacity (Department for Education [DfE], 2022). By focusing on prevention rather than crisis response, Early Help seeks to improve long-term outcomes, reduce the need for statutory intervention, and support families to build sustainable resilience.

As part of strategic safeguarding partnerships, Early Help plays a crucial preventative role. The Children Act 2004 also imposes statutory duties on local authorities, health services, and police and the education providers to promote the welfare of children. This partnership scheme is supported in Working Together to Safeguard Children 2023 that assures that every safeguarding partner should coordinate the Early Help provision, provide clear referral pathways, and accessible public information (DfE, 2023). The guidance argues that Early Help does not only belong to the province of children social care; it involves the involvement of a broad collection of universal and targeted services.

The Early Help process typically includes early identification of need through observations or concerns raised by practitioners, followed by an assessment, often using a local Early Help Assessment tool (Sapiets et al., 2021). A Lead Practitioner is assigned to organize the support and Team Around the Child/Family (TAC/TAF) meeting structure is applied to create and revise action plans (LGA, 2021). Frequent reviews allow keeping the interventions on the right track and keep track of progress, switching to statutory services, when necessary only.

Clear communication is essential for families to understand how to access help. Since a lot of people initially find information over the internet, the local authority websites should offer clear explanations, easy language and computer functionalities make it easier to use by different audiences (NHS Digital, 2020). It enhances equity by making sure that when families are in need of Early Help, all can access it by effective digital signposting.

3. Explanation of Multi-Agency Working

Multi-agency working refers to the coordinated collaboration between professionals from different sectors such as health, education, policing, social care, and voluntary and community services (VCS) to support children and families holistically (Department for Education [DfE], 2023). Inter-disciplinary working goes even further and incorporates professional expertise, which allows a shared planning and decision-making, as well as collective responsibility of enhancing results (Atkinson, 2021). In the national safeguarding system, Working Together to Safeguard Children 2023 highlights that safeguarding is the duty of everyone and that it necessitates good collaboration between education, primary and secondary healthcare, early years provision, the police, youth justice and social care.

Collaborative working reduces duplication by ensuring that assessments, information and interventions are coordinated rather than fragmented. Exchange of information helps the practitioners to develop a more complete understanding of the situation of a child, detect risks at an earlier stage, and develop support strategies that are adequate and specific (Early Intervention Foundation, 2021). This is a collaborative practice at the heart of Early Help. As Early Help is intended to be a preventative approach to escalation, agencies are required to collaborate and

identify early indicators of challenge, engage in assessments and Team around the Child/Family activity.

Multi-agency collaboration is also central to integrated safeguarding structures such as front-door systems and Family Hubs, where families can access a range of universal and targeted services through a single coordinated pathway (Lucas and John, 2021). These models rely on clear communication between agencies and accessible public information to ensure that families understand how to seek help and what services are available.

Effective digital communication, including accurate, user-friendly local authority websites, is therefore essential. The online signposting is the initial contact with a great number of families, and explicit multi-agency information promotes transparency and minimizes confusion and stimulating earlier contact with support services (Local Government Association, 2021). Multi-agency working in this manner supports the provision and availability of Early Help.

4. Evaluation of Each Local Authority Website

4.1 Leicestershire County Council – Evaluation

Leicestershire County Council presents Early Help primarily under its “Family Help Service,” but the visibility of this information is moderate rather than immediate. Although the Early Help pages are positioned within the broader safeguarding and children’s services section, families unfamiliar with the terminology may struggle to identify this as the appropriate route for early intervention support (Geddes et al., 2023). Navigation is functional; however, the structure appears more professional-facing than family-friendly, which may affect accessibility.

In terms of completeness, Leicestershire provides a clear overview of the purpose of Early Help, outlining its role in supporting parenting, emotional wellbeing, and youth-related concerns. The referral process is explained, including the requirement for consent and the use of a multi-agency referral mechanism (Roy, 2022). Contact information for the triage team is available, and the authority signposts families to wider support including SEND services, parenting programmes and youth services. However, information relating to domestic abuse support is less prominent, indicating a potential gap in the breadth of signposting.

Regarding accessibility, the language used is service-oriented and may be less accessible to individuals with lower literacy levels. Visual aids are minimal and the text-heavy layout may hinder quick understanding. While the overall council website is mobile responsive, translation options are not clearly embedded within the Early Help pages (Corliss et al., 2022). Against the principles set out in the Early Help System Guide, Leicestershire appears to meet core requirements around defining the service and outlining referral pathways, though its communication style could be more inclusive. Reference to multi-agency working is present but not strongly emphasized, particularly regarding collaboration with health and community partners.

4.2 Norfolk County Council – Evaluation

Norfolk County Council offers highly visible Early Help information through a dedicated “Help for Families” section, making it easy for families and practitioners to locate relevant content (Sapiets et al., 2021). Navigation is intuitive, with clear pathways directing users towards Early Help support, self-referrals and professional guidance. This clarity aligns well with best practice principles emphasizing openness and accessibility for families seeking assistance.

The website provides comprehensive Early Help information. It clearly defines Early Help, explains who it is for, and outlines a transparent process for identification, assessment and planning (Roy, 2022). Norfolk also provides downloadable assessment templates and review tools, which reflects strong alignment with the Early Help System Guide's expectations around structured planning and coordinated review. The authority offers strong signposting to related services, including parenting programmes, mental health support, housing advice and early year's services through its Family Hubs.

From an accessibility standpoint, Norfolk makes good use of plain language and offers clearly structured content. Although visual info graphics are limited, the logical structure and clear headings support readability. The site appears mobile-friendly, though translation options are not always immediately visible on Early Help pages (Roy, 2022). Norfolk also foregrounds multi-agency working through its Family Hub model, explicitly demonstrating collaboration with health partners, education, early year's services and the voluntary sector.

4.3 Leeds City Council – Evaluation

Leeds City Council provides an accessible and highly visible entry point into Early Help services through its “One Minute Guide: Early Help,” which offers a concise explanation of purpose, consent and the voluntary nature of support (Sapiets et al., 2021). This short-format approach is clear and user-friendly, allowing families to quickly understand what Early Help entails. Navigation across the broader Early Help content is supported by the Family Hubs webpages, which contain maps, contact details and drop-in information.

In terms of completeness, Leeds provides a strong overview of Early Help and its underlying principles, including early identification, prevention and family-centered practice. There is clear reference to the Early Help Front Door, which offers consultation and signposting. However, there is less publicly available detail regarding formal thresholds or downloadable assessment templates compared to Norfolk (Sapiets et al., 2021). Nevertheless, the authority provides robust signposting to a wide range of services such as SEND support, youth services, domestic abuse support and mental health provision.

Leeds demonstrates strong accessibility features. The use of plain language and simplified guides ensures that information can be easily understood by non-specialists. Translation and interpreting services, including BSL support, are available through the Family Information Service. The site is mobile responsive and Family Hub pages contain well-organized contact details and service descriptions. Leeds also demonstrates clear alignment with multi-agency expectations outlined in Working Together (2023), particularly through its Early Help Front Door model, which integrates social care, health, education and community services.

5 Comparative Analysis of the Three Websites

When comparing the three local authority websites, several distinctions emerge in relation to clarity, accessibility and alignment with Early Help principles. Norfolk offers the most detailed and most specific description of Early Help and includes assessment templates and step-by-step guidelines (O'connell et al., 2022). This is unlike in Leicestershire where the Early Help information is correct but is less family-centered and is incorporated in the safeguarding pages thus lowering its visibility. Leeds takes a simpler and easier format with the use of the One Minute Guide, which increases the comprehension of the user but with less depth than Norfolk.

In terms of navigation, Norfolk's site is the most intuitive, with clearly labeled pathways leading to Early Help support and Family Hub information. Leeds also does its job fairly well, particularly because of its Family Hub pages and brief guides (Wang et al., 2021). The navigation of Leicestershire is more compounds, and professional terms are more apparent, something that can become problematic to parents who do not know much about protecting language.

Across the three authorities, supportive media vary significantly. Norfolk provides downloadable reports, review and assessment templates, which reflect transparency in the process of Early Help (Roy, 2022). Leeds relies on simplified written instructions and has fewer formal documents. The county of Leicestershire offers some general information but does not have anything visual and downloadable related to assessment and planning.

Transparency in referral processes is strongest in Norfolk, where step-by-step instructions and templates reflect best practice consistency with Early Help guidance (Corliss et al., 2022). Leeds outlines referral routes but with less procedural detail. Leicestershire explains referral expectations but does not provide substantive supporting tools.

Evidence of multi-agency working is most prominent in Leeds, which explicitly integrates Early Help through its Family Hub and Early Help Front Door models, reflecting national expectations (DfE, 2023). Multi-agency collaboration is also prefigured in Norfolk, but it is more visible in Leicestershire (Geddes et al., 2023). In terms of inclusive engagement, Leeds is the most committed in terms of interpreting and accessibility assistance, whereas Norfolk and Leicestershire offer less specific digital inclusivity options. All in all, Norfolk provides the most

detailed technical instructions, Leeds the most convenient communication, and Leicestershire the least convenient presentation.

6 Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the analysis, Norfolk County Council communicates Early Help most effectively overall. It's well defined definitions, assessment instruments and open referral systems go hand in hand with the Early Help System Guide (DfE, 2022). It has a clear model of Family Hub and practical documentation that makes it clear to the families and practitioners. Although Leeds is superb in the appropriateness of accessibility and clear presentation, the Early Help content of the site is not as detailed in certain procedures. Leicestershire presents the correct information but is not helped by the use of professional language, low visibility and accessibility capabilities.

To strengthen practice, each authority would benefit from targeted improvements. Leicestershire needs to make clearer signposting, more use of plain language explanations, and make features like translation tools and other visual aids available. Transparency would also be enhanced by providing downloadable assessment and planning resources (Lucas and John, 2021). Leeds ought to increase the amount of guidance (publicly accessible) on thresholds, assessment processes and review procedures to be more detailed without losing the clarity. Norfolk has a very good overall performance; however, user interaction can be enhanced through the use of more visual content and better multilingual accessibility.

Across all authorities, recommendations aligned with national guidance include: improving clarity around referral thresholds, enhancing digital accessibility for families with

diverse needs, offering case examples to illustrate multi-agency working, and strengthening explanations of Family Hub pathways (DfE, 2023). Implementing these improvements would support earlier engagement, promote safeguarding responsibilities and enhance families' ability to navigate Early Help services.

References

Atkinson, M. (2021) *Interagency Working in Children's Services: A Critical Review*. London: Policy Press.

Corliss, C., Addis, S., El-Banna, A., Maxwell, N., Scourfield, J., Warner, N. and Williams, A., 2022. The views of local authorities in England on how to prevent children being in care. *Child Care in Practice*, 28(4), pp.576-592.

Department for Education (DfE) (2022) *Early Help System Guide: A practical guide for local areas*. London: Department for Education.

Department for Education (DfE) (2023) *Working Together to Safeguard Children 2023: Statutory guidance on multi-agency working to safeguard and promote the welfare of children*. London: Department for Education.

Early Intervention Foundation (EIF) (2021) *Effective Early Help: Improving multi-agency responses to children and families*. London: Early Intervention Foundation.

Geddes, E., Harford, T., Hill, D., O'Keefe, R. and Skinner, A., 2023. Evaluation of the Early Help services provided as a part of the Cluster Collaborative in Leeds. *Leeds Beckett University*.

Leeds City Council (2023) *Family Hubs and Early Help Front Door Team*. Leeds: Leeds City Council.

Leeds City Council (2023) *One Minute Guide: Early Help*. Leeds: Leeds City Council.

Leicestershire County Council (2023) *Family Help Service: Early Help Offer*. Leicestershire: Leicestershire County Council.

Local Government Association (LGA) (2021) *Early Help: Learning from COVID-19 and good practice in local government*. London: LGA.

Lucas, S. and John Archard, P., 2021. Early help and children's services: exploring provision and practice across English local authorities Introduction. *Journal of Children's Services*, 16(1), pp.74-86.

NHS Digital (2020) *Digital Inclusion in Families and Communities: Accessibility and Engagement report*. London: NHS Digital.

Norfolk County Council (2023) *Early Help Services for Children and Families*. Norwich: Norfolk County Council.

O'connell, N., O'connor, K., McGrath, D., Vagge, L., Mockler, D., Jennings, R. and Darker, C.D., 2022. Early Intervention in Psychosis services: A systematic review and narrative synthesis of the barriers and facilitators to implementation. *European Psychiatry*, 65(1), p.e2.

Roy, J., 2022. Signs of safety: The view from early help. *Child Care in Practice*, 28(3), pp.482-498.

Sapiets, S.J., Totsika, V. and Hastings, R.P., 2021. Factors influencing access to early intervention for families of children with developmental disabilities: A narrative review. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 34(3), pp.695-711.

Wang, L., Norman, I., Xiao, T., Li, Y. and Leamy, M., 2021. Psychological first aid training: a scoping review of its application, outcomes and implementation. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(9), p.4594.