

BA (Hons) Leadership and Management Skills for the Workplace

Task 3 of 4 - Written Report

Task 4 of 4 - A reflective report

Module name and code: Workplace Critical Thinking BMSW5101_BIRM

Name of the Students and ID Numbers: Asen Chipilov 2128091

Lecturer: Ehsan Khajeh

Title: Task 3 – Critical Evaluation of a chosen management theory.

Task 4 – Reflection of own beliefs, values and attitudes in the workplace.

Content table

Task 3 of 4 - Written Report

1. Introduction	3
2. Management Theory.....	3
3. Critical Analysis of theory.....	4
3.1 The benefits and disadvantages of your chosen theory.....	4
3.2 An example of a workplace context in which your chosen theory would have relevance.	5
3.3 An example of a workplace context in which your chosen theory would not have reference	6
4. Conclusion.....	6
Reference list	8

Task 4 of 4 – A reflective report

1. Introduction	9
2. Your experience of learning on the module	9
3. How you felt during your assessment on the module	9
4. How you will use your critical skills in the future.....	9
5. Future professional development you would like to relate to management.	10
6. Conclusion	10
A reference list.....	11

Task 3 of 4 - Written Report

1. Introduction

In the 20th century, Frederick Winslow Taylor established his Scientific Management theory, which completely changed the field of organizational management. It aimed to maximize efficiency and productivity by applying scientific methods to industrial processes. However, over time, this theory has received both acclaim and criticism, prompting a critical evaluation of its purpose and content.

In order to evaluate Taylor's Scientific Management theory and determine its influence on contemporary management practices, this study will delve into its fundamental principles. By examining the theory's objectives and underlying assumptions, we can critically analyze its relevance, strengths, limitations, and implications for contemporary organizations. The purpose of this evaluation is twofold. Firstly, it seeks to ascertain the degree to which Taylor's theory aligns with present-day management ideologies, given the changes in work, employee expectations, and technological advancements. Secondly, it aims to identify potential drawbacks and unintended consequences that may arise from the application of this theory, such as dehumanization of workers, monotony, and resistance to change. By undertaking a comprehensive critical evaluation of Taylor's Scientific Management theory, we can foster a deeper understanding of its historical significance, its enduring relevance, and the need for adaptation or alternative approaches to suit the complexities of the modern workplace.

2. Management Theory

Taylor's Scientific Management theory, often referred to as Taylorism, was invented by Frederick Winslow Taylor in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Through the use of scientific techniques, it sought to increase industrial production and efficiency. The theory's core principles revolved around the systematic study and optimization of work processes, the selection and training of workers, and the establishment of a clear division of labor.

The idea of time and motion research lay was at the core of Taylor's theory. He believed that by analyzing and breaking down tasks into smaller components, managers could identify the most efficient ways of performing them. This involved determining the "one best way" to perform a task, which could then be standardized and replicated throughout the organization. Taylor emphasized the importance of using time and motion studies to eliminate unnecessary movements, reduce inefficiencies, and increase output.

Furthermore, Taylor advocated for the scientific selection and training of workers. He proposed that managers should carefully match employees to specific tasks based on their abilities and skillsets. Additionally, workers should be trained to perform their tasks precisely as instructed, minimizing variations and errors. Taylor believed that by scientifically selecting and training workers, organizations could achieve higher productivity and reduce the need for skilled workers.

Taylor's theory also emphasized the importance of a clear division of workforce. He encouraged breaking up challenging tasks into smaller, more targeted ones so that workers could concentrate on particular production-related steps, thus boosting productivity through efficiency and standardization.

Overall, Taylor's Scientific Management theory sought to optimize efficiency by applying scientific principles to work processes, worker selection, and task division. While it aimed to increase productivity, the theory has faced criticism for its potential to dehumanize workers, limit creativity, and neglect the social and psychological aspects of work.

3. Critical Analysis of theory

3.1 the benefits and disadvantages of the chosen theory

Benefits of Taylor's Scientific Management Theory:

1. **Increased Efficiency:** By analyzing work processes and eliminating unnecessary movements, Taylor's theory aimed to increase efficiency and productivity. This led to improved output and reduced waste of time, effort, and resources.
2. **Standardization:** The significance of standardizing work practices and procedures was encouraged by Taylor. This allowed for consistency and predictability in performance, making it easier to train new employees and maintain quality control.
3. **Clear Division of Labor:** Taylor promoted the division of work into specialized and straightforward parts. This division of labor facilitated specialization and skill development, leading to higher productivity and expertise in specific areas.
4. **Increased Output:** Through scientific management techniques, organizations could achieve higher levels of output with the same or fewer resources. This enabled companies to meet customer demands and gain a competitive edge.

Disadvantages of Taylor's Scientific Management Theory:

1. **Worker Dissatisfaction:** Taylor's theory often led to monotonous and repetitive work, which could contribute to worker dissatisfaction and disengagement. The strict adherence to standardized procedures and lack of autonomy reduced worker motivation and creativity.
2. **Dehumanization of Workers:** Critics argue that Taylor's approach treated workers as mere components of the production process, focusing solely on their physical abilities and ignoring their social and psychological needs. This dehumanization could lead to alienation and a dissatisfaction in the workplace.
3. **Resistance to Change:** Implementing scientific management required significant changes to work processes and employee roles. Workers and unions often resisted these changes due to concerns about job security, loss of control, and the perceived threat to their livelihoods.

4. Ignoring Individual Differences: Taylor's theory overlooked the uniqueness and individual capabilities of workers. It assumed that workers were interchangeable and failed to consider their diverse skills, experiences, and perspectives. This approach limited opportunities for personal growth and development.
5. Limited Applicability: Taylor's theory was primarily developed for manufacturing industries and assembly line work. Its principles may not be directly applicable to knowledge-based or creative work, where flexibility, adaptability, and collaboration are crucial.
6. While Taylor's Scientific Management theory offered advantages in terms of efficiency and productivity, its drawbacks, such as worker dissatisfaction and dehumanization, cannot be ignored. Contemporary management practices often incorporate elements of scientific management while recognizing the importance of empowering and engaging employees to achieve sustainable success.

3.2 an example of a workplace context in which the chosen theory would have relevance.

One workplace context in which Taylor's Scientific Management theory would have relevance is a fast-food restaurant.

In a fast-food restaurant, efficiency and standardization are key factors for success. Taylor's principles can be applied to optimize processes and increase productivity. For example, time and motion studies can be conducted to analyze the steps involved in preparing different food items, from assembling burgers to frying French fries. By identifying the most efficient ways of performing these tasks, unnecessary movements and time wastage can be eliminated. This can lead to faster service, shorter customer wait times, and increased customer satisfaction. Taylor's theory stresses the significance of a distinct division of labor. In a fast-food restaurant, tasks can be divided among employees to maximize specialization and efficiency. For instance, one employee can be responsible for taking orders at the counter, while others focus on food preparation, grilling, or cashier duties. By assigning specific tasks to individuals based on their skills and training, the restaurant can ensure smooth operations and minimize errors.

Standardization is another aspect of Taylor's theory that is relevant in a fast-food context. By establishing standardized procedures for food preparation, portioning, and packaging, the restaurant can ensure consistent quality across all orders. This not only helps maintain customer satisfaction but also facilitates employee training, as new hires can be taught standardized methods from the start.

While Taylor's Scientific Management theory can provide benefits in a fast-food restaurant by improving efficiency, reducing errors, and increasing output, it is important to balance these advantages with the potential drawbacks. Ensuring employee engagement, providing opportunities for skill development, and addressing concerns of worker dissatisfaction and dehumanization are crucial in maintaining a positive work environment.

Overall, Taylor's principles of efficiency, standardization, and division of labor can be applied effectively in a fast-food restaurant context, where speed, accuracy, and consistency are critical factors for success.

3.3 an example of a workplace context in which your chosen theory would not have relevance.

One workplace context in which Taylor's Scientific Management theory would not have relevance is a creative advertising agency.

In a creative advertising agency, the work primarily involves generating innovative ideas, developing unique campaigns, and fostering a culture of creativity. Unlike manufacturing or assembly line environments, the tasks in an advertising agency are often complex and require flexibility, collaboration, and autonomy.

Taylor's theory emphasizes standardization and the "one best way" of doing tasks, which may stifle the creative process. Advertising professionals rely on their expertise, intuition, and diverse perspectives to come up with creative solutions. Imposing rigid procedures and predetermined methods can hinder their ability to think outside the box and limit their creative potential.

Additionally, Taylor's theory neglects the importance of individual differences and the unique skills and strengths of employees. In an advertising agency, the success of campaigns often relies on the diverse talents and perspectives of the creative team. Everyone brings their unique background, experiences, and creativity to the table, which cannot be standardized or reduced to a predetermined set of procedures.

Furthermore, the dynamic nature of the advertising industry requires adaptability and quick responses to changing market trends and consumer preferences. Taylor's theory focuses on stability and predictability, which may hinder the agency's ability to be agile and responsive in a fast-paced, ever-evolving industry.

In a creative advertising agency, employee satisfaction and motivation are crucial for generating innovative ideas. Taylor's theory, with its emphasis on control and strict supervision, may lead to disengagement and decreased job satisfaction among employees. This, in turn, can lead to a negative effect on creativity and agency performance.

In summary, Taylor's Scientific Management theory would not have relevance in a creative advertising agency where flexibility, collaboration, autonomy, and individual creativity are important. The unique nature of creative work requires an environment that fosters innovation, encourages individuality, and allows for dynamic responses to market demands.

4. Conclusion (150 words)

In conclusion, while Taylor's Scientific Management theory has made significant contributions to the field of organizational management, its relevance in a modern workplace is limited. The theory's emphasis on efficiency, standardization, and a hierarchical structure overlooks the complexities and nuances of today's work environments.

Modern workplaces value employee engagement, autonomy, creativity, and adaptability. They require collaborative and agile approaches that encourage innovation, problem-solving, and continuous learning. Taylor's theory, with its focus on task fragmentation, may hinder employee satisfaction, limit creativity, and fail to address the social and psychological aspects of work.

However, certain principles of Taylor's theory, such as the systematic analysis of work processes and the elimination of waste, can still be applied in specific contexts. Organizations may find value in optimizing certain routine or repetitive tasks. Nevertheless, in the overall scheme of a modern workplace, a more holistic and human-centric approach to management, one that considers individual differences, fosters collaboration, and promotes employee well-being, is essential for long-term success.

Reference list

1. Appel-Meulenbroek, R. and Danivska, V., 2022. *A handbook of management theories and models for office environments and services* (p. 270). Taylor & Francis.
2. Bevans-Gonzales, T.L. and Nair, A.T., 2004. The strengths and weaknesses of ISO 9000 in vocational education. *Journal of vocational education and training*, 56(2), pp.163-180.
3. Huang, K.P., Tung, J., Lo, S.C. and Chou, M.J., 2013. A review and critical analysis of the principles of scientific management. *International Journal of Organizational Innovation (Online)*, 5(4), p.78.
4. Merkle, J.A., 2022. *Management and ideology: The legacy of the international scientific management movement*. Univ of California Press.
5. Mumford, M.D. and Moertl, P., 2003. Cases of social innovation: Lessons from two innovations in the 20th century. *Creativity research journal*, 15(2-3), pp.261-266.
6. Rowlinson, M., 1988. The early application of scientific management by Cadbury. *Business History*, 30(4), pp.377-395.
7. Su, Y., 2017. Taylor scientific management theory carding and significance of organization management. *Social Sciences*, 6(4), pp.102-107.
8. Taksa, L., 1992. Scientific management: Technique or cultural ideology?. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 34(3), pp.365-395.
9. Taylor, F.W., 1914. Scientific management: reply from Mr. FW Taylor. *The Sociological Review*, 7(3), pp.266-269.
10. Taylor, F.W., 2004. *Scientific management*. Routledge.
11. Turan, H., 2015. Taylor's "scientific management principles": Contemporary issues in personnel selection period. *Journal of economics, business and management*, 3(11), pp.1102-1105.
12. Zilahy, G., 2016. Sustainable Business Models-What Do Management Theories Say?. *Vezetéstudomány*, 47(10), pp.62-72.

Task 4 of 4 – A reflective report

1. Introduction

In this reflective report, I'll go over how I define critical thinking and how it relates to management in the workplace. I will explore the key principles of critical thinking, its application in decision-making, problem-solving, and how it contributes to effective leadership and organizational success.

2. Your experience of learning on the module

During my experience of learning in the Workplace Critical Thinking module, I gained valuable insights into the importance of critical thinking in the workplace. I learned various techniques and strategies for analyzing information, evaluating arguments, and making well-informed decisions. The module emphasized the significance of questioning assumptions, considering multiple perspectives, and seeking evidence-based solutions. I also developed my skills in problem-solving and logical reasoning, enabling me to approach workplace challenges with a more analytical mindset. Overall, the module provided me with a solid foundation in critical thinking, equipping me with essential skills applicable to management roles and fostering a more thoughtful and effective approach to decision-making in the workplace.

3. How you felt during your assessment on the module

During the assessment on the Workplace Critical Thinking module, I felt challenged and engaged. The assessment required me to apply critical thinking skills to real-world scenarios and analyze complex situations. It pushed me to think critically, evaluate different perspectives, and provide well-reasoned arguments. While the assessment was demanding, it also provided a valuable opportunity for me to demonstrate my understanding of critical thinking principles and showcase my ability to apply them in practical settings. Although I felt a certain level of pressure, I also felt a sense of accomplishment and growth as I successfully tackled the assessment and further honed my critical thinking abilities.

4. How you will use your critical skills in the future

In the future, I will actively apply my critical thinking skills in various aspects of my professional life. I will use these skills to analyze complicated issues, weigh other viewpoints, and make better decisions. Critical thinking will assist me in identifying biases, questioning assumptions, and seeking reliable evidence to support my arguments and proposals. It will also enable me to approach challenges with a more systematic and logical mindset, fostering innovation and creative problem-solving. By utilizing my critical thinking skills, I aim to contribute

effectively to my team, make sound judgments and drive positive change in the workplace.

5. Future professional development you would like to relate to management

In terms of future professional development in management, there are several areas I would like to focus on. Firstly, I would like to enhance my leadership skills, including effective communication, team building, and conflict resolution. Developing a strong understanding of strategic management, including organizational planning, goal setting, and decision-making, is also a priority. Additionally, I aim to deepen my knowledge of change management and adaptability to navigate the dynamic business landscape. Lastly, I would like to explore emerging trends in management, such as agile methodologies and data-driven decision-making, to stay abreast of industry advancements. Continuous learning and development in these areas will enable me to excel in managerial roles and drive organizational success.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, my reflective report on critical thinking and management in the workplace has deepened my understanding of the vital role of critical thinking in effective decision-making and problem-solving. I have realized the importance of incorporating critical thinking skills into management practices to foster innovation, overcome challenges, and achieve success in a dynamic and complex business environment.

Reference list

1. Albanese, J. and Paturas, J., 2018. The importance of critical thinking skills in disaster management. *Journal of business continuity & emergency planning*, 11(4), pp.326-334.
2. Braun, N.M., 2004. Critical thinking in the business curriculum. *Journal of education for Business*, 79(4), pp.232-236.
3. Edwards, S., 2017. Reflecting differently. New dimensions: reflection-before-action and reflection-beyond-action. *International Practice Development Journal*, 7(1).
4. Elliott, C. and Turnbull, S. eds., 2004. *Critical thinking in human resource development* (Vol. 12). Routledge.
5. Errington, A. and Bubna-Litic, D., 2015. Management by textbook: The role of textbooks in developing critical thinking. *Journal of Management Education*, 39(6), pp.774-800.
6. Gold, J., Holman, D. and Thorpe, R., 2002. The role of argument analysis and story telling in facilitating critical thinking. *Management Learning*, 33(3), pp.371-388.
7. Natale, S. and Ricci, F., 2006. Critical thinking in organizations. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 12(7/8), pp.272-277.
8. Noruzi, M.R. and Hernandez, J.G.V., 2011. Critical thinking in the workplace: characteristics, and some assessment tests. In *3rd International Conference on Information and Financial Engineering* (Vol. 12, pp. 19-20).
9. Sandars, J., 2009. The use of reflection in medical education: AMEE Guide No. 44. *Medical teacher*, 31(8), pp.685-695.
10. Schermerhorn Jr, J.R., Bachrach, D.G. and Wright, B., 2020. *Management*. John Wiley & Sons.
11. Sherman, S.J., 2009. *The correlation between critical thinking, emotional intelligence, and conflict management modes of financial services managers*. University of Phoenix.
12. Smith, G.F., 2003. Beyond critical thinking and decision making: Teaching business students how to think. *Journal of Management Education*, 27(1), pp.24-51.
13. Tripathy, M., 2020. Dimensions of critical thinking in workplace management & personal development: a conceptual analysis. *Multidisciplinary Journal for Education, Social and Technological Sciences*, 7(2), pp.1-19.
14. Zechmeister, E.B. and Johnson, J.E., 1992. *Critical thinking: A functional approach*. Thomson Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.