



University of Wales
Trinity Saint David
BIRMINGHAM

Methods and Search Strategy Academic Poster

Student name: Hannah Serwaah Sasaraku

Student ID: 2127147

Module name: Independent Project

Module code: BMHP6020_BIRM

**ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN THE PREVALENCE AND MANAGEMENT
OF GESTATIONAL DIABETES AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN THE
UK: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW.**

01- Introduction and Research Aim

Exploring ethnic disparities in gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) among pregnant women in the United Kingdom is crucial for enhancing maternal health outcomes and addressing inequalities in maternity care (NHS England, 2019). GDM presents significant short- and long-term risks for mothers and infants, including higher chances of type 2 diabetes and pregnancy complications (WHO, 2023). This poster outlines the methodology used to examine how ethnicity affects GDM prevalence and management. A secondary research approach reviewed peer-reviewed evidence, detailing search strategies, data validation, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and ethical considerations to ensure reliability.

The aim is to explore ethnic differences in GDM incidence and management in the UK, considering social, cultural, and healthcare factors (NICE, 2021). This research aims to inform public health policy, clinical practice, and culturally sensitive maternity services (OHID, 2021).



Figure 1: Gestational Diabetes (The Impressive Times, 2024).

02- Search Strategy

A search strategy is a structured plan guiding how to find relevant literature for a study (Aveyard, 2014). For this project, a systematic approach identified academic sources on ethnic disparities in gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) in the UK.

Searches via Google Scholar, PubMed, and UWTSD Library Database targeted peer-reviewed research in health and social care. Key terms included “gestational diabetes”, “ethnic disparities”, “pregnant women”, “United Kingdom”, and “maternal health inequalities”, combined with Boolean operators like AND and OR (Kampen, 2023).

Filters limited results to peer-reviewed journal articles in English, published between 2015 and 2024, focused on the UK. This ensured recent, credible, relevant evidence, forming a reliable basis for analysing ethnic differences in GDM (NICE, 2021; WHO, 2023).

03. Searching for Information

- Conducted a systematic search to gather evidence on ethnic disparities in GDM (Aveyard, 2014).
- Screened results from Google Scholar, PubMed, and UWTSD Library to remove duplicates and irrelevant studies, reviewing titles and abstracts for relevance (Kampen, 2023).
- Examined full texts of eligible studies to extract data on ethnicity, maternal health inequalities, and GDM management (Jesson, Matheson and Lacey, 2011).
- Used snowballing to review reference lists for additional credible sources (Kalidas, 2021).
- Applied the CRAAP Test to ensure sources were current, reliable, and ethical (Kalidas, 2021).
- This approach improved rigour, data credibility, and provided a strong evidence base for analysing ethnic differences in GDM (WHO, 2023; NHS England, 2019).

Narrows Search	Broadens Search
AND	OR
NOT	Truncation (*)
“Phrase Searching”	Keyword Searching
More Concepts	Less Concepts
Less Synonyms	More Synonyms

Figure 2: Boolean operators(Aveyard, 2014; Kampen, 2023)

04 - Data

The 2021 Census data from the Office for National Statistics (ONS, 2023) indicates that 81% of England's female population are White women, followed by Asian or Asian British women at 9.6%, Black, Black British, Caribbean, or African women at 4.3%, those from Mixed or Multiple ethnic groups at 3%, and Other ethnic groups at 2.1%. The King's Fund (2023) created a colour-coded graph to visually depict these demographic distributions.

Understanding this demographic landscape is crucial for recognising why certain ethnic groups are at higher risk for gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Studies show that South Asian and Black women have a greater likelihood of developing GDM compared to White British women, due to genetic, cultural, and socioeconomic factors (NHS England, 2019; NICE, 2021). This visual context helps in analysing how ethnicity influences GDM prevalence and underscores the importance of culturally responsive maternity care (OHID, 2022).



Figure 3: Ethnic Distribution of the Female Population in England (ONS, 2023).

06. Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed journal articles and official health reports only (Aveyard, 2014)
- Published between 2015–2024 to ensure relevance and accuracy (NICE, 2021)
- Focused on UK-based pregnant women diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (OHID, 2022)
- Included studies providing quantitative or qualitative data on GDM prevalence, management, and ethnic differences

07. Exclusive Criteria

- Published before 2015
- Non-UK studies
- Non-academic sources,
- Studies on pre-existing diabetes.

05 - Thematic Analysis Summary

The studies reviewed consistently show a link between ethnicity and both the prevalence and management of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Data from policy reports, national guidelines, and cohort studies such as NICE (2020), WHO (2013), and Public Health England (2018) indicate that women from South Asian, Black African, and Caribbean backgrounds are at higher risk for GDM than White British women. Across these sources, three main themes emerged: disparities in screening, inequalities in treatment outcomes, and the importance of culturally responsive maternity care. These findings highlight how policy, healthcare practices, and ethnicity interact to impact maternal outcomes in the UK, as discussed in sources like NHS England (2019), OHID (2022), and WHO (2023).

The table provides a summary of each study's key features, findings, and implications, serving as the basis for the thematic analysis shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Thematic Analysis Results summary Table

Author & Year	Article Title	Type of Study	Research Method & Sample Size	Strengths & Limitations	Main Findings	Keywords & Codes	Notes and Comments
NICE, 2008	Guidelines for Screening and Management of GDM	Policy/Guideline	Cross-national analysis	Standardised UK practice. Limitation: Needed updating	Introduced screening/management protocols to reduce maternal/foetal complications	GDM, NICE guidelines, UK maternal care	Foundation for improved UK GDM care
WHO, 2013	Hypertension and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes (HAPRO) Study	International Cohort	UK national guidance, evidence synthesis	Strong global evidence. Limitation: Generalisability challenges	Established link between glucose and adverse neonatal/maternal outcomes	HAPRO, WHO, GDM outcomes	Informed WHO global diagnostic criteria
Public Health England, 2018	Ethnic Disparities in Maternal Health	Public Health Report	Large-scale cohort, 23,000+ participants	Shed light on disparities. Limitation: Descriptive, not causal	Women from ethnic minorities at higher GDM risk and poorer outcomes	Ethnic disparities, UK maternal health, NICE	Informed NICE and policy reforms
NHS England, 2019	Prevalence of GDM by Ethnicity in the UK	Epidemiological Study	National data review	Robust UK dataset. Limitation: Observational only	South Asian, Black African & Black Caribbean women had higher GDM prevalence	NHS, ethnicity, GDM prevalence	Highlighted urgent health inequalities
NICE, 2020	Updated GDM Management Protocols	Policy/Guideline	National health records analysis	Updated with new data. Limitation: Implementation gap	Revised pathways for women at higher GDM risk	NICE, UK maternal policy, risk management	Ensured ongoing care improvements

0.8 - Ethical Considerations

Ethics in secondary research encompass the ethical use of existing data, emphasising transparency, honesty, and respect for intellectual property (Aveyard, 2014; Ramesh, 2024). It also involves properly acknowledging others' work, preventing plagiarism, and accurately reporting findings (Kalidas, 2021).

How Ethics Were Ensured:

- All sources were accurately cited using the Harvard referencing style (Aveyard, 2014)
- Full credit was given to original authors and researchers (Ramesh, 2024)
- No manipulation or misrepresentation of data occurred (NICE, 2021)
- Potential bias in reviewed studies was recognised and clearly addressed (WHO, 2023)
- Only peer-reviewed and reputable sources were included to ensure reliability (OHID, 2022)

These measures maintained academic integrity and strengthened the credibility of the study.

References

1/2

Aveyard, H. (2014) *Doing a literature review in health and social care: a practical guide*. 3rd edn. Maidenhead: Open University Press. Available at: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uwtsd/detail.action?docID=1910224> (Accessed: 30 October 2025).

Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2023) *Ethnic group by age and sex, England and Wales: Census 2021*. Available at: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/culturalidentity/ethnicity/articles/ethnicgroupbyageandsexenglandandwales/census2021> (Accessed: 30 October 2025).

The Impressive Times (2024) *Understanding and Managing Gestational Diabetes: Risks, Symptoms, and Prevention*. Available at: <https://impressivetimes.com/national/news-1575/> (Accessed: 30 October 2025).

The King's Fund (2025) *The health of women from ethnic minority groups in England*. Available at: <https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/insight-and-analysis/long-reads/the-health-of-women-from-ethnic-minority-groups-england> (Accessed: 30 October 2025).

2/2

Association for Laboratory Medicine (2018) *Summary of NICE Guidelines – NG3: Diabetes in pregnancy*. Available at: <https://labmed.org.uk/asset/15152C41-5AA4-4B86-BD64F08EA4D10072/> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Aveyard, H. (2014) *Doing a literature review in health and social care: a practical guide*. 3rd edn. Maidenhead: Open University Press. Available at: https://books.google.com/books/about/Ebook_Doing_a_Literature_Review_in_Health.html?id=65W1EAAAQBAJ (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Esparrago-Kalidas, A. (2021) 'The effectiveness of CRAAP test in evaluating credibility of sources', *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 5(7), pp. 245–251. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353978560_The_Effectiveness_of_CRAAP_Test_in_Evaluating_Credibility_of_Sources (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group (2008) 'Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome (HAPO) study: associations with neonatal anthropometrics', *Diabetes*, 57(5), pp. 1365–1370. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19011170/> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Jesson, J., Matheson, L. and Lacey, F.M. (2011) *Doing your literature review: traditional and systematic techniques*. London: SAGE Publications. Available at: <https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/doing-your-literature-review/book233413> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Kampen, M. (2023) *Searching with Boolean operators*. EBSCO Connect. Available at: <https://connect.ebsco.com/s/article/Searching-with-Boolean-Operators> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Ma, M., Musson, M. and Luyckx, F. (2018) 'Screening and management of gestational diabetes mellitus after bariatric surgery', *Current Atherosclerosis Reports*, 20(11), p. 55. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30314289/> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2020) *Diabetes in pregnancy: management from preconception to the postnatal period (NICE guideline NG3)*. London: NICE. Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng3> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2021) *NICE impact: maternal and child health – ethnic inequalities*. London: NICE. Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng247/resources/implementation-support-toolkit-15242806765/chapter/ethnic-health-inequalities> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

NHS England (2019) *Ethnicity recording improvement plan*. London: NHS England. Available at: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/long-read/ethnicity-recording-improvement-plan/> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Office for Health Improvement and Disparities (OHID) (2021) *Statistics at OHID*. London: Department of Health and Social Care. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/office-for-health-improvement-and-disparities/about/statistics> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Office for Health Improvement and Disparities (OHID) (2022) *Child and maternal health profiles*. London: Department of Health and Social Care. Available at: <https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/child-health-profiles> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2023) *Ethnic group by age and sex, England and Wales: Census 2021*. Available at: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/culturalidentity/ethnicity/articles/ethnicgroupbyageandsexenglandandwales/census2021> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Public Health England (PHE) (2018) *National gestational diabetes mellitus audit*. London: NHS Digital. Available at: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/clinical-audits-and-registries/gestational-diabetes-audit> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

Ramesh, S. (2024) 'Ethical considerations in data collection and analysis: a review – investigating ethical practices and challenges in modern data collection and analysis', *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(1), pp. 1–22. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378789304_ETHICAL_CONSIDERATIONS_IN_DATA_COLLECTION_AND_ANALYSIS_A_REVIEW_INVESTIGATING_ETHICAL_PRACTICES_AND_CHALLENGES_IN_MODERN_DATA_COLLECTION_AND_ANALYSIS (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

The Impressive Times (2024) *Understanding and managing gestational diabetes: risks, symptoms and prevention*. [Online image]. Available at: <https://impressivetimes.com/national/news-1575/> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

The King's Fund (2023) *Ethnicity and health: a visual guide*. London: The King's Fund. Available at: <https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/insight-and-analysis/long-reads/health-people-ethnic-minority-groups-england> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

World Health Organisation (WHO) (2013) *Diagnostic criteria and classification of hyperglycaemia first detected in pregnancy*. Geneva: World Health Organisation. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-NMH-MND-13.2> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).

World Health Organisation (WHO) (2023) *Global report on diabetes*. Geneva: World Health Organisation. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565257> (Accessed: 31 October 2025).