

MARKING CRITERIA AND STUDENT FEEDBACK

MODULE: L6 Systems Engineering QinetiQ (M6X00947)

ASSIGNMENT: Design, Development and Evaluation – Report

STUDENT: Harry McAuliffe

DATE: 10/05/17 (submission)

This section details the assessment criteria. The extent to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Research-informed Literature Extent of research and/or own reading, selection of credible sources, application of appropriate referencing conventions.		
60-69%: Inclusion of a range of research-informed literature, including sources retrieved independently. Selection of relevant and credible sources. Very good use of referencing conventions, consistently applied. You have clearly researched this area in detail. Although the citations are limited in number, they are from credible and appropriate sources.	10	6.5
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject Extent of knowledge and understanding of concepts and underlying principles associated with the discipline.		
60-69%: Knowledge is extensive. Exhibits understanding of the breadth and depth of established views. Your report demonstrates an excellent level of knowledge and understanding of the subject area. It was particularly pleasing to see that you discussed the reasons/rational for the processes being employed. This reflection, has allowed you to achieve higher marks in this section.	20	12.6
3. Analysis Analysis, evaluation and synthesis; logic, argument and judgement; analytical reflection; organisation of ideas and evidence		
50-59%: Evidence of some logical, analytical thinking and synthesis. Can analyse new and/or abstract data and situations without guidance. An emerging awareness of different stances and ability to use evidence to support the argument. Valid conclusions and recommendations, where relevant Additional marks for analysis could have been awarded if you had used some of the recognised analytical techniques such as Failure Modes Effects Analysis or Quality Function Deployment.	30	16.5
4. Practical Application and Deployment Deployment of methods, materials, tools and techniques; application of concepts; formulation of innovative and creative solutions to solve problems.		
50-59%: A good and appropriate application of standard methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Good appreciation of the context of the application, with some use of examples, where relevant.	30	17.7
5. Skills for Professional Practice Attributes in professional practice: individual and collaborative working; deployment of appropriate media; presentation and organisation.		
60-69%: Can communicate well, confidently and consistently in a suitable format. Work is coherent and fluent and is well structured and organised.	10	6.4

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		59.7	%
	Up to one-week late			
	Over one week late			