Feedback

Student's Name	JAMES DANIEL
Student's SurName	HARRIS
Student's Email	1902641@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	Advanced Cybersecurity
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	30
Feedback	**Marks**
In this section you need to do in-text referencing from academic credible and relevant diversity of sources. Where possible you are expected to critically appraise the literature engaged. You are expected to apply appropriate referencing conventions. NB Academic Credible literatures score high. Recent attacks discussed. However,could have discussed on attacks in 2022. More technical observations could have added value to the work. Could have compared similar kind of attacks instead of comparing the two discussed attacks. More academic credible literatures required. Could have added more summaries on the literatures and critical appraisals.	13.1

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	30
Feedback	**Mark**
Based on Part 1 activity, the scores are reflected. To score high marks strong knowledge and clear understanding of various terminologies and technologies that were used, referred to, installed during lectures/practical tasks etc. Note that the MCQ test you took was out of 35 marks. That is calculated for 30%. Just for you understanding and to avoid confusion, the MCQ marks are displayed in Knowledge and Understanding Section for 30 Marks. However, Originally, they are considered 20 Marks in Knowledge and Understanding section and 10 Marks in Congnitive and Intellectual Skills.	25.72

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	5
Feedback	**Mark**
To do well in this section you must demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the security measures/mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why , how and when , questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole work. You did well in this section. Could have critically analysed the mitigation strategies.	2.5

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	**Mark**
To excel you need to provide evidence of 2 tools used in their lab activities with adequate screenshots. A discussion on similar kind of tools with implemented outcomes with adeqaute screenshots is required. Strong reasons on the similarity, pros and cons of both the tools need to be narrated along with the screenshots. No tools discussed	0

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	**Mark**
To score high you must ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Flow of ideas is ok.	3.6
Overall Mark	45