



Module name: Introduction to Independent Research Skills

Module code: BMHP5019

Student name: Jacquelyn Charles

I confirm that this assignment is entirely my own work and that I have not used any AI tools in its creation. I have not submitted any AI-generated content as my own. Additionally, I have retained copies of my drafts, notes, and all supporting materials, which I can present as evidence if requested.

Topic: Investigating the Impact of Lifestyle Interventions for Managing Type 2 Diabetes in Older Adults within UK Health and Social Care: A Scoping Review

Abstract	7
Introduction	7
Definition of Main Concepts	7
Type 2 diabetes is a chronic condition characterised by insulin resistance and elevated blood glucose levels. It is strongly associated with modifiable lifestyle factors such as diet and physical inactivity.	7
Lifestyle interventions refer to structured, non-pharmacological approaches aimed at improving health outcomes. These typically include dietary changes, increased physical activity, and self-management education.	7
Older adults, in this context, are individuals aged 60 years and above. This group often experiences multi-morbidity, reduced mobility, and social challenges that may influence disease management.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Rationale of the Research	8
Type 2 diabetes accounts for approximately 90% of diabetes cases in the UK (Diabetes UK, 2023), with prevalence increasing significantly with age. Older adults are more likely to experience complications such as cardiovascular disease and reduced independence.	8
Lifestyle interventions are widely promoted as a primary management strategy. However, older adults face unique barriers, including physical limitations and reduced access to services. This raises concerns about whether current interventions are equally effective for this population.	8
This scoping review aims to explore how lifestyle interventions are working in practice for older adults within UK health and social care.	8
Review Question	8
How effective are lifestyle interventions such as diet, exercise, and self-management support in helping older adults with Type 2 diabetes manage their condition within the UK health and social care system?	8
Objectives	8
1. Background	8
6. Methods	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Methods	9
Research Design	9
A scoping review methodology was used, following Arksey and O'Malley (2005), to map existing evidence on lifestyle interventions for older adults with Type 2 diabetes in the UK.	9
	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Search Strategy	9

Electronic databases searched included PubMed, Google Scholar, and ProQuest. Keywords included:.....	9
• “Type 2 diabetes UK older adults”	9
• “lifestyle interventions diabetes UK”	9
• “self-management elderly diabetes UK”	9
Inclusion Criteria	9
Exclusion Criteria	9
Data Analysis	10
7. Results	10
Table 1: Overview of Reviewed Sources	10
Results	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 1: Overview of Studies	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Author	10
Aim	10
Method	10
Key Findings	10
Valabhji et al. (2020).....	10
Evaluate NHS programme.....	10
Quantitative	10
Improved glucose and weight	10
Hawkes et al. (2021).....	10
Behaviour theory	10
Mixed methods	10
Improved adherence.....	10
Katangwe-Chigamba et al. (2023).....	10
Intervention strategies	10
Qualitative.....	10
Barriers impact success	10
Thematic Analysis	10
All studies demonstrated that lifestyle interventions can improve glycaemic control and overall wellbeing. Structured programmes were particularly effective in supporting weight loss and improving self-management.	10
However, effectiveness was not consistent across all participants. Valabhji et al. (2020) showed positive outcomes, but these were more significant among individuals who fully engaged with the programme. This suggests that	

intervention success depends not only on design but also on participant engagement.	10
A key finding across all studies was the presence of barriers that limit engagement. Older adults often face physical limitations, such as reduced mobility, which restrict participation in exercise programmes.	11
Social factors, including isolation and lack of support, also play a significant role. Katangwe-Chigamba et al. (2023) highlight that access to services and socioeconomic status can influence participation.	11
This suggests that lifestyle interventions may unintentionally exclude those who need them most.	11
Theme 3- Role of Behaviour Change Theories	11
Hawkes et al. (2021) emphasise the importance of behaviour change theories in improving adherence. Interventions that incorporated goal-setting and self-efficacy were more successful.	11
However, not all interventions consistently applied these theories. This limits their effectiveness and highlights a gap between theory and practice.	11
Discussion	11
Importance for Health and Social Care	12
Lifestyle interventions have the potential to reduce healthcare costs and improve quality of life. Supporting older adults in managing their condition can reduce hospital admissions and complications.	12
Healthcare professionals play a key role in delivering interventions, highlighting the need for training and resources.	12
Limitations of the Research	12
This scoping review has explored the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in managing Type 2 diabetes among older adults within the UK health and social care system. The findings indicate that interventions focusing on diet, physical activity, and structured self-management support can lead to meaningful improvements in glycaemic control, weight management, and overall wellbeing. These outcomes highlight the importance of non-pharmacological approaches in the long-term management of chronic conditions.	13
However, the review also demonstrates that the success of lifestyle interventions is not solely determined by their design but is significantly influenced by individual, social, and systemic factors. Older adults face unique challenges, including reduced mobility, social isolation, and limited access to tailored services, which can hinder engagement and long-term adherence. This reinforces the need for interventions that are not only evidence-based but also context-sensitive and adaptable to the needs of this population.	13
A key finding of this review is the importance of behaviour change theories in supporting sustained lifestyle modification. Interventions that incorporate elements such as self-efficacy, goal setting, and ongoing support are more likely to achieve positive outcomes. However, the inconsistent application of these	

theoretical frameworks in practice represents a missed opportunity to maximise effectiveness.	13
From a health and social care perspective, the findings underline the need for a more integrated and person-centred approach to diabetes management. Lifestyle interventions should be embedded within broader care pathways, ensuring that older adults receive continuous support rather than short-term interventions. This aligns with current UK health policy, which emphasises prevention, self-management, and community-based care (NHS England, 2019).	13
Overall, while lifestyle interventions are a valuable tool in managing Type 2 diabetes, their effectiveness depends on how well they are tailored, implemented, and supported within real-world settings.	13
Recommendations	13
1. Development of Tailored Interventions	14
2. Increased Accessibility and Community-Based Support	14
There is a need to improve access to lifestyle interventions through community-based programmes. Local health services, community centres, and social care providers should play a more active role in delivering interventions. This approach can reduce barriers related to transport, cost, and social isolation (Public Health England, 2018).	14
3. Integration of Behaviour Change Theories	14
Healthcare professionals should consistently incorporate behaviour change theories into intervention design and delivery. Techniques such as goal-setting, self-monitoring, and motivational interviewing have been shown to enhance adherence and improve outcomes (Hawkes et al., 2021). Training should be provided to ensure these approaches are effectively implemented in practice. .	14
4. Strengthening Healthcare Professional Training	14
Healthcare professionals require additional training to support older adults in lifestyle modification. This includes understanding the specific challenges faced by this population and developing skills in communication, behavioural support, and patient-centred care (NICE, 2022).	14
5. Addressing Health Inequalities	14
Future interventions must consider health inequalities, particularly among individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds or minority ethnic groups. Targeted strategies should be developed to ensure equitable access to support and reduce disparities in health outcomes (Hill-Briggs et al., 2021).	14
6. Further Research	14
There is a need for further UK-based research focusing specifically on older adults. Future studies should include larger and more diverse samples, as well as longitudinal designs to assess long-term effectiveness. Research should also explore the role of digital interventions and how they can be adapted to suit older populations.	14

Abstract

Type 2 diabetes is a major public health concern in the UK, particularly among older adults who are at increased risk of complications and reduced quality of life. This report presents a scoping review examining the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions, including diet, physical activity, and self-management support, in managing Type 2 diabetes among older adults within UK health and social care.

A systematic search of peer-reviewed UK-based literature identified three relevant studies. The findings were analysed using thematic analysis, resulting in three key themes: effectiveness of structured lifestyle interventions, barriers to engagement among older adults, and the role of behaviour change theories in supporting long-term adherence.

The findings suggest that lifestyle interventions can significantly improve glycaemic control and wellbeing. However, their effectiveness is often limited by factors such as reduced mobility, social isolation, and access to services. The report concludes that while lifestyle interventions are beneficial, they must be tailored to the specific needs of older adults. Recommendations include improving accessibility, integrating behavioural theories more consistently, and enhancing support within health and social care systems.

Introduction

Definition of Main Concepts

Type 2 diabetes is a chronic condition characterised by insulin resistance and elevated blood glucose levels. It is strongly associated with modifiable lifestyle factors such as diet and physical inactivity.

Lifestyle interventions refer to structured, non-pharmacological approaches aimed at improving health outcomes. These typically include dietary changes, increased physical activity, and self-management education.

Older adults, in this context, are individuals aged 60 years or older. This group often experiences multimorbidity, reduced mobility, and social challenges that may affect disease management.

Rationale of the Research

Type 2 diabetes accounts for approximately 90% of diabetes cases in the UK (Diabetes UK, 2023), with prevalence increasing significantly with age. Older adults are more likely to experience complications such as cardiovascular disease and reduced independence.

Lifestyle interventions are widely promoted as a primary management strategy. However, older adults face unique barriers, including physical limitations and reduced access to services. This raises concerns about whether current interventions are equally effective for this population.

This scoping review aims to explore how lifestyle interventions are working in practice for older adults within UK health and social care.

Review Question

How effective are lifestyle interventions such as diet, exercise, and self-management support in helping older adults with Type 2 diabetes manage their condition within the UK health and social care system?

Objectives

- Identify types of lifestyle interventions used in the UK
- Examine their impact on health outcomes
- Explore barriers and facilitators to engagement
- Identify the role of behaviour change theories

1. Background

Type 2 diabetes is a growing health concern in the UK, with increasing prevalence among older adults. According to Diabetes UK (2023), age is a significant risk factor, with many individuals developing the condition later in life.

Lifestyle interventions are central to diabetes management. The NHS Diabetes Prevention Programme has demonstrated that structured interventions focusing on diet and physical activity can improve weight and blood glucose levels (Valabhji et al., 2020). However, these programmes may not be equally accessible or effective for older adults.

Older individuals often experience challenges such as reduced mobility, cognitive decline, and social isolation. These factors can limit participation in lifestyle programmes and affect long-term adherence. Additionally, digital interventions may exclude older adults due to limited digital literacy.

Behaviour change theories, such as Social Cognitive Theory, are often used to support lifestyle interventions. These theories emphasise self-efficacy and motivation, which are important for sustaining behaviour change (Hawkes et al., 2021). However, the extent to which these theories are effectively applied in practice varies.

2. Methods

Research Design

A scoping review methodology was used, following Arksey and O'Malley (2005), to map existing evidence on lifestyle interventions for older adults with Type 2 diabetes in the UK.

Search Strategy

Electronic databases searched included PubMed, Google Scholar, and ProQuest. Keywords included:

- “Type 2 diabetes UK older adults”
- “lifestyle interventions diabetes UK”
- “self-management elderly diabetes UK”

Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed UK-based studies (2016–2026)
- Participants aged 60+
- Lifestyle interventions (diet, exercise, self-management)

Exclusion Criteria

- Non-UK studies
- Type 1 diabetes
- Non-peer-reviewed sources

Data Analysis

- Thematic analysis was used to identify patterns across studies. Data was extracted on intervention type, outcomes, and key findings.
- Outcomes or impacts (health, occupational, psychological)

3. Results

Table 1: Overview of Reviewed Sources

Author	Aim	Method	Key Findings
Valabhji et al. (2020)	Evaluate programme	NHS Quantitative	Improved glucose and weight
Hawkes et al. (2021)	Behaviour theory	Mixed methods	Improved adherence
Katangwe-Chigamba et al. (2023)	Intervention strategies	Qualitative	Barriers impact success

Thematic Analysis

Three key themes have been identified through the analysis of the three selected publications.

Theme 1 - Effectiveness of Lifestyle Interventions

All studies demonstrated that lifestyle interventions can improve glycaemic control and overall wellbeing. Structured programmes were particularly effective in supporting weight loss and improving self-management.

However, effectiveness was not consistent across all participants. Valabhji et al. (2020) showed positive outcomes, but these were more significant among individuals who fully engaged with the programme. This suggests that intervention success depends not only on design but also on participant engagement.

Theme 2 - Barriers to Engagement

A key finding across all studies was the presence of barriers that limit engagement. Older adults often face physical limitations, such as reduced mobility, which restrict participation in exercise programmes.

Social factors, including isolation and lack of support, also play a significant role. Katangwe-Chigamba et al. (2023) highlight that access to services and socioeconomic status can influence participation.

This suggests that lifestyle interventions may unintentionally exclude those who need them most.

Theme 3- Role of Behaviour Change Theories

Hawkes et al. (2021) emphasise the importance of behaviour change theories in improving adherence. Interventions that incorporated goal-setting and self-efficacy were more successful.

However, not all interventions consistently applied these theories. This limits their effectiveness and highlights a gap between theory and practice.

Discussion

The findings indicate that lifestyle interventions are effective but not universally successful. While improvements in health outcomes are evident, engagement remains a major challenge.

A key strength of the reviewed studies is their focus on real-world interventions. However, the evidence also highlights inconsistencies in implementation. For example, while behaviour change theories are recognised as important, they are not always fully integrated into practice.

The findings align with wider literature suggesting that older adults require tailored approaches. Generic interventions may not account for physical, social, and economic barriers.

From a health and social care perspective, there is a need for more inclusive and accessible services. This includes community-based programmes and personalised support.

.

Importance for Health and Social Care

Lifestyle interventions have the potential to reduce healthcare costs and improve quality of life. Supporting older adults in managing their condition can reduce hospital admissions and complications.

Healthcare professionals play a key role in delivering interventions, highlighting the need for training and resources.

Limitations of the Research

This study has several limitations which should be considered when interpreting the findings. Firstly, the scoping review included only three peer-reviewed studies, which limits the breadth of evidence and reduces the generalisability of the conclusions. While this aligns with the scope of the research proposal, it restricts the ability to capture the full range of lifestyle interventions and their varied outcomes across different UK populations.

Secondly, the reliance on secondary data means that the analysis is dependent on the quality and design of the original studies. Differences in methodology, sample size, and intervention design across the included studies may affect the consistency and comparability of findings. For example, Valabhji et al. (2020) used a large quantitative dataset, whereas Katangwe-Chigamba et al. (2023) adopted a qualitative approach. While this provides a broader perspective, it also introduces variability that can limit the strength of conclusions.

Another limitation is the lack of representation of diverse populations within the reviewed studies. Older adults are not a homogenous group, and factors such as ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and comorbidities significantly influence engagement with lifestyle interventions (Hill-Briggs et al., 2021). The limited focus on these variables means that the findings may not fully reflect the experiences of marginalised or underserved groups.

Additionally, many studies rely on self-reported data, which can introduce bias. Participants may overestimate adherence to lifestyle changes or underreport challenges due to social desirability bias (Glasgow et al., 2012). This can affect the accuracy of reported outcomes and the perceived effectiveness of interventions.

Finally, the scoping review methodology itself does not involve a formal quality appraisal of included studies (Arksey and O'Malley, 2005). While this approach is useful for mapping evidence, it limits the ability to critically assess the strength and reliability of individual studies. As a result, the findings should be interpreted with caution.

Conclusion & Recommendations

This scoping review has explored the effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in managing Type 2 diabetes among older adults within the UK health and social care system. The findings indicate that interventions focusing on diet, physical activity, and structured self-management support can lead to meaningful improvements in glycaemic control, weight management, and overall wellbeing. These outcomes highlight the importance of non-pharmacological approaches in the long-term management of chronic conditions.

However, the review also demonstrates that the success of lifestyle interventions is not solely determined by their design but is significantly influenced by individual, social, and systemic factors. Older adults face unique challenges, including reduced mobility, social isolation, and limited access to tailored services, which can hinder engagement and long-term adherence. This reinforces the need for interventions that are not only evidence-based but also context-sensitive and adaptable to the needs of this population.

A key finding of this review is the importance of behaviour change theories in supporting sustained lifestyle modification. Interventions that incorporate elements such as self-efficacy, goal setting, and ongoing support are more likely to achieve positive outcomes. However, the inconsistent application of these theoretical frameworks in practice represents a missed opportunity to maximise effectiveness.

From a health and social care perspective, the findings underline the need for a more integrated and person-centred approach to diabetes management. Lifestyle interventions should be embedded within broader care pathways, ensuring that older adults receive continuous support rather than short-term interventions. This aligns with current UK health policy, which emphasises prevention, self-management, and community-based care (NHS England, 2019).

Overall, while lifestyle interventions are a valuable tool in managing Type 2 diabetes, their effectiveness depends on how well they are tailored, implemented, and supported within real-world settings.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this review, several recommendations can be made for health and social care practice, policy, and future research.

1. Development of Tailored Interventions

Lifestyle interventions should be specifically designed to meet the needs of older adults. This includes adapting physical activity programmes to account for mobility limitations and incorporating flexible dietary guidance that considers cultural and socioeconomic factors. Personalisation is essential to improve engagement and long-term adherence (Hill-Briggs et al., 2021).

2. Increased Accessibility and Community-Based Support

There is a need to improve access to lifestyle interventions through community-based programmes. Local health services, community centres, and social care providers should play a more active role in delivering interventions. This approach can reduce barriers related to transport, cost, and social isolation (Public Health England, 2018).

3. Integration of Behaviour Change Theories

Healthcare professionals should consistently incorporate behaviour change theories into intervention design and delivery. Techniques such as goal-setting, self-monitoring, and motivational interviewing have been shown to enhance adherence and improve outcomes (Hawkes et al., 2021). Training should be provided to ensure these approaches are effectively implemented in practice.

4. Strengthening Healthcare Professional Training

Healthcare professionals require additional training to support older adults in lifestyle modification. This includes understanding the specific challenges faced by this population and developing skills in communication, behavioural support, and patient-centred care (NICE, 2022).

5. Addressing Health Inequalities

Future interventions must consider health inequalities, particularly among individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds or minority ethnic groups. Targeted strategies should be developed to ensure equitable access to support and reduce disparities in health outcomes (Hill-Briggs et al., 2021).

6. Further Research

There is a need for further UK-based research focusing specifically on older adults. Future studies should include larger and more diverse samples, as well as longitudinal designs to

assess long-term effectiveness. Research should also explore the role of digital interventions and how they can be adapted to suit older populations.

References

- Arksey, H. and O'Malley, L. (2005) 'Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework', *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(1), pp. 19–32.
- Diabetes UK (2023) *Diabetes prevalence 2023*. London: Diabetes UK.
- Glasgow, R.E., Wagner, E.H., Schaefer, J., Mahoney, L.D., Reid, R.J. and Greene, S.M. (2012) 'Development and validation of the Patient Assessment of Chronic Illness Care (PACIC)', *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 38(6), pp. 256–266.
- Hawkes, R.E., Miles, L.M. and French, D.P. (2021) 'The theoretical basis of a nationally implemented Type 2 diabetes prevention programme', *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 18(1).
- Hill-Briggs, F., Adler, N.E., Berkowitz, S.A., Chin, M.H., Gary-Webb, T.L., Navas-Acien, A., Thornton, P.L. and Haire-Joshu, D. (2021) 'Social determinants of health and diabetes: a scientific review', *Diabetes Care*, 44(1), pp. 258–279.
- Katangwe-Chigamba, T., et al. (2023) 'Theory-informed strategies to influence Type 2 diabetes prevention in England', *BMC Public Health*, 23(1).
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2022) *Type 2 diabetes in adults: management (NG28)*. London: NICE.
- NHS England (2019) *The NHS Long Term Plan*. Available at: <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk> (Accessed: 1 May 2026).
- Public Health England (2018) *Health matters: preventing Type 2 diabetes*. London: Public Health England.
- Tricco, A.C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K.K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., Moher, D., Peters, M.D.J., Horsley, T., Weeks, L. and Hempel, S. (2018) 'PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation', *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 169(7), pp. 467–473.
- Valabhji, J., Barron, E., Bradley, D., Bakhai, C., Fagg, J., O'Neill, S., Young, B. and Wareham, N. (2020) 'Early outcomes from the English National Health Service Diabetes Prevention Programme', *Diabetes Care*, 43(1), pp. 152–160.

