

Feedback	
Student's Name	JACOB JOHN
Student's SurName	HOPKINS
Student's Email	2003836@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB6000
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills 30

Feedback	Marks
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible and relevant diversity of sources for threats, vuln., attacks & defense strategies, lab outcomes, similar tools used & mitigation strategies discussed. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. This section was well done. Appropriate referencing done. Required literatures cited. Discussion on threats, vuln, attacks and defense strategies done well. More citations on lab outcomes and its discussions, similar tools used could have added more value to your work. More critical appraisals and summaries required.	23

Knowledge and Understanding Skills 20

Feedback	Mark
To score high marks you need to demonstrate systematic understanding of various technologies (your MCQ Test marks applies here). The strength of statements given, and critical understanding of concepts covered in finding the outcomes using various tools, understanding technical weaknesses & addressing appropriate recommendations contributed to the score on this section Generic question removed from marking (MCQ Test). Results/ outcomes on lab activities well documented. Security aspects addressed appropriately.	16.78

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills 15

Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the threats, observations made on the results gathered, analysing the pros and cons of similar tools and mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why , how and when , questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole assignment. Very good work. Discussion on evaluating the threats, observations on gathered results done well. Adequate comparison of the pros and cons between similar tools. Observations on the results documented. Could have focused more on why, how and when approach in addressing the threats, vulnerabilities and lab outcomes.	13

Practical Application Skills 30

Feedback	Mark
To excel in this part, you need to provide evidence of the practical activities done by giving appropriate screenshots on the implementation and results. A description of the new similar tool and its implementation that will convince the examiner on the work done. Screenshots/ evidences on Lab activities were adequate. The outcomes/ results discussed. New tools well discussed and implemented.	28.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice 5

Feedback	Mark
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. Could have added page numbers and chapter numbers. Rather than writing big paragraphs, it would be easy to understand the flow of the work if documented with bullet points and considerably small paragraphs. Appropriate use of tabulated inputs (in comparisons etc) could have added value.	4.3
Overall Mark	86

