



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

University of Wales Trinity St Davids

Bachelor of Arts : Islamic Finance

Semester : January 2024

Module Code: BMLW5009 Contract Law

Level 5

Evaluate the Role of Consideration in Contract Law

Name	Imran Shah Norman Nathan
Student ID	2226204
Email	2226204@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Lecturer	Zita Draper

Consideration is one of the most important elements in a contract. Thus, this assignment will follow the steps of contract formation with the purpose of evaluating whether the presence of consideration is legitimate in a legally binding contract. Based on that, there are different types of consideration which will be discussed in the paragraphs below to achieve the assignment's said objective. Moreover, certain issues with consideration will be brought up to show when a consideration is valid. These issues are: the peppercorn issue as well as past consideration. With that, examples of cases will be used to prove the concept of consideration. Contracts without consideration may be unenforceable or regarded unjust or inequitable. As a result, reformed suggestions will be made to address the issue while still highlighting the importance of consideration as a cornerstone of contract law. Offer, acceptance, consideration and intention to create legal relations must be in a contract, therefore, is legitimate.

A contract is formed when one side makes an offer and the other accepts it, resulting in a mutual agreement. Consideration is a transaction of value between parties [1]. It normally consists of many fundamental components, including an offer, acceptance, consideration, and a desire to establish legal relations. First, one party extends an offer to enter into a specific arrangement, stating the terms and circumstances of the proposed agreement. The other party then accepts the offer, indicating that they agree to the terms offered. Acceptance must be stated clearly, with no limitations or revisions to the original offer. Consideration, as previously stated, is the exchange of anything of value between the parties. Finally, both parties must intend for the agreement to be legally binding, which means they understand the legal ramifications of their decisions. [2] When these parts are present, the contract is deemed established, and both parties are bound to complete their respective tasks as stipulated.

In contract law, consideration refers to the value exchanged between parties that forms the basis of their agreement. It is a key factor that links partners together, guaranteeing that everyone provides something in exchange for something. [3] The reciprocal trade guarantees that contracts are entered into voluntarily, with both parties eager to assume their duties. Consideration can take several forms, including money, services, and commitments not to exercise specific rights. While the compensation does not have to be of identical value, it must be adequate to sustain the contract. [4] Without consideration, a contract is unenforceable, making it an essential component of commercial agreements. Its existence

indicates mutual purpose and serves as evidence of an agreed-upon trade, separating contracts from mere promises. Finally, consideration protects the integrity of contracts, fostering fairness and clarity in business transactions by requiring parties to keep their commitments and duties.

In contract law, consideration is important and may be divided into two types: executed and executory. Executed consideration refers to instances in which the promised activity is already completed at the time the contract is signed. [5] This is common in deals in which one party supplies products or services in exchange for fast payment or another kind of recompense. For example, if Person A delivers commodities to Person B and Person B pays immediately upon receipt, the consideration is considered executed because the promised activity (delivery of goods) has been performed. On the other hand, executory consideration entails promises or obligations to carry out a future action. In such circumstances, one party agrees to supply something of value, such as products or services, in return for the other party's commitment to pay or perform later. For example, if Person A promises to give things to Person B in exchange for payment at a later date, the consideration is valid until the products are delivered and payment is received. It is vital to remember that consideration must be sufficient, which means that it must have some value, but it does not have to be commensurate to the value of the promise received. As long as there is some exchange of value between the parties, the consideration is deemed genuine and adequate to establish the contract.

Consideration is considered legitimate when it entails the exchange of anything of value, such as an act, forbearance, or commitment made in response to another party's pledge. [6] Furthermore, consideration must be negotiated for, which means it is traded as part of a consensual agreement among the persons concerned. Both parties must engage into the contract voluntarily and offer something of value in exchange for the promises or duties contained in the agreement [7]. Furthermore, consideration must be adequate, which means that it is fair and reasonable in relation to the value of the trade. [8] However, the law normally does not care about the appropriateness of consideration as long as it is legally sufficient and there is a reciprocal exchange of value. Finally, consideration cannot be predicated on actions or occurrences that occurred before to the formation of the contract. As long as consideration fits these requirements, it is deemed legitimate, and they are usually legally enforceable.

The "peppercorn" problem in contract law emphasises the notion that consideration does not have to be of significant value to legitimise a contract; even minimum or trivial recompense is sufficient. [9] This notion emphasises the flexibility and practicality inherent in contract creation, allowing agreements to be made by the exchange of small gestures or symbolic acts. The word "peppercorn" represents this concept, implying that something as little as a single peppercorn might be considered sufficient consideration to form a binding contract. This approach recognises that parties may engage into agreements for a variety of reasons, and the law should not unnecessarily scrutinise the adequate consideration as long as there is proof of a reciprocal exchange of value.

In the 1960 case of *Chappell & Co Ltd v. Nestle Co Ltd*, Nestle launched a campaign where consumers could acquire a record by mailing in three chocolate bar wrappers and a modest amount of money. Chappell & Co Ltd, the copyright holders of the tunes on the albums, claimed that the wrappers did not represent legitimate compensation. However, the House of Lords determined that the wrappers were genuine consideration since they offered a contractual advantage to Nestle by aiding advertising and increasing chocolate bar sales. This scenario demonstrates that even little consideration, such as the return of wrappers, might be sufficient to establish a contract. [10]

Consideration involves applying the "peer-to-peer principle." In *Thomas v. Thomas* (1842), a widow remained to dwell in her late husband's house. She promised to pay a yearly rent of one shilling to the administrator of her husband's will. The court determined that, notwithstanding the small amount of rent, there was legitimate consideration for the agreement since the widow had previously contributed payment in the form of waiving her rights to dower (a widow's portion of her late husband's inheritance). This case provides an exception to the peppercorn issue, indicating that even minimal consideration may be unnecessary if the other party has previously provided genuine consideration. [11]

Contract law reform in response to possible difficulties raised by the peppercorn case entails exploring a variety of ideas to enhance justice, simplicity, and effectiveness in contractual agreements. One method is to codify the standards for consideration, offering explicit statutory advice on what sorts of considerations are appropriate. Furthermore, broadening the concept of legitimate consideration to embrace non-economic or intangible

advantages may increase the scope of contractual responsibilities, promoting greater flexibility and justice. Furthermore, revisions should prioritise fairness and equitable issues, protecting against unethical contracts or unjust conditions, especially in situations involving minimal compensation. Promoting education and understanding of consideration concepts and contract law can help parties understand their rights and duties, lowering the chance of problems. Giving judges more leeway in interpreting and implementing consideration criteria can also lead to more nuanced decision-making, taking into account the distinctive facts of every situation and the parties' intents. Contract law may more effectively cope with the complex nature of current transactions while retaining basic concepts of fairness and equality by modernising consideration criteria and increasing their flexibility in application.

The second critical issue to address is past consideration, in which an agreement is made in exchange for an act or payment that the promisee has previously performed [12]. Past consideration is often regarded invalid since it lacks the element of discussion or exchange at the time of the pledge. However, there are exceptions to this rule.

In the famous case of *Lampleigh v. Brathwait* (1615), Lampleigh helped Brathwait get a royal pardon. Following the completion of this assignment, Brathwait promised to reimburse Lampleigh monetarily. However, when Brathwait failed to keep this commitment, Lampleigh filed a lawsuit charging breach of contract. Despite the fact that the consideration was delivered prior to the pledge, the court maintained Brathwait's commitment as enforceable. The court reasoned that Brathwait's guarantee was made in acknowledgment of Lampleigh's earlier efforts and was meant to compensate for the services performed, thereby confirming the contract's legality. [13]

In *Re Casey's Patents* (1892), the peer-to-peer principle applies. The defendant, Casey, secured patents for innovations and transferred them to a firm. The corporation eventually agreed to pay Casey charges for the use of the copyrights. Casey claimed that there was no legal compensation for the commitment since the inventions had already been issued to the corporation when the pledge was made. Despite the earlier transfer of the patents, the court determined that there was legitimate consideration since the pledge to pay fees was made in honour of Casey's previous services and experience in creating the ideas. [14] As a result, the guarantee was binding despite the previous consideration.

Contract law reform to address concerns of prior attention encompasses a number of different techniques aimed at improving justice, clarity, and economic integrity. One solution is to provide clearer standards or criteria for deciding when past consideration is valid. This might require defining the situations under which previous acts or benefits establish legitimate consideration, especially where the parties plainly intended for the prior conduct to be reimbursed. Furthermore, giving courts more leeway to weigh the merits of each case separately allows for more complex assessments of previous consideration difficulties. Furthermore, enhancing educational materials and communication efforts that inform parties on the consequences of previous considerations might assist to avoid disagreements and misunderstandings. Contract law may adapt to new commercial operations by modernising consideration principles and providing clearer advice while retaining key principles of justice and contract integrity. These improvements guarantee that contractual agreements represent the parties' objectives while keeping contracts legitimate and enforceable in a continually changing legal context.

In conclusion, consideration is a foundation of contract law, providing the foundation for the formation and enforcement of commercial agreements. This paper highlights the significance stems from its position as the method by which parties establish their mutual consent and purpose to be obligated by the conditions of a contract. Consideration guarantees that contracts are not simply free promises, instead they are arrangements based on a mutually agreed-upon exchange of value. Consideration promotes justice, equality, and validity in contractual agreements by compelling each party to offer something of value in exchange for the other party's obligations. Furthermore, consideration promotes clarity and predictability in economic transactions by clearly defining each party's rights and duties. It offers a foundation for courts to determine the legitimacy of contracts and handle any disputes that may occur between parties. Beyond its legal relevance, consideration encourages confidence and collaboration among contractual parties, resulting in advantageous transactions and economic prosperity. Thus, consideration is a basic element that supports the utility and honesty of contract law, ensuring that contracts are made intentionally, willingly, and with a full knowledge of each party's duties. [15]

References

- [1] Robinson, C. (2023, October 26). *Contract Law: Key Principles in Consideration*. How to Get a First in Law. Retrieved from <https://www.howtogetafirstinlaw.com/blogs/free-law-resources/contract-law-key-principles-in-consideration>
- [2] *Formation of Contract: Elements, Stage & Meaning* | StudySmarter. (n.d.). StudySmarter UK. Retrieved from <https://www.studysmarter.co.uk/explanations/law/contract-law/formation-of-contract/>
- [3] *Forming enforceable contracts—Consideration* | Legal Guidance | LexisNexis. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.lexisnexis.co.uk/legal/guidance/forming-enforceable-contracts-consideration>
- [4] *bits of law* | Contract | Formation | Consideration: Overview. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.bitsoflaw.org/contract/formation/revision-note/degree/consideration-overview>
- [5] *Consideration: Contract law: Meaning and types in commercial law*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://hallelis.co.uk/contractual-consideration/>
- [6] Kati, E. (2022, August 26). *What is Consideration in Contract Law?* | LegalVision UK. Retrieved from <https://legalvision.co.uk/commercial-contracts/consideration-contracts/>
- [7] *Consideration in Contracts: Everything You Need to Know*. (n.d.). UpCounsel. Retrieved from <https://www.upcounsel.com/consideration-in-contracts>
- [8] *Consideration: Exceptions, Types & Adequacy* | StudySmarter. (n.d.). StudySmarter UK. Retrieved from <https://www.studysmarter.co.uk/explanations/law/contract-law/consideration/>
- [9] *Peppercorn (Legal)*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/32254>
- [10] *Chappell v Nestlé [1960] AC 87—Case Summary*. (2020, January 2). Oxbridge Notes. Retrieved from https://www.oxbridgenotes.co.uk/law_cases/chappell-v-nestle

[11] *Thomas v Thomas*. (n.d.). vLex. Retrieved from <https://vlex.co.uk/vid/thomas-v-thomas-793516349>

[12] McKeown, C. L. F.-S. (2021, September 22). *Contract Law—Past Consideration*. Lexology. Retrieved from <https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=a5755091-1a7e-4b4b-9eb2-5ac201c6d07b>

[13] *Lampleigh v Braithwaite [1615] Hob 105, 80 ER 255—Case Summary*. (2020, January 2). Oxbridge Notes. Retrieved from https://www.oxbridgenotes.co.uk/law_cases/lampleigh-v-braithwaite

[14] *Re Casey's Patents [1892] 1 Ch 104—Case Summary*. (2020, January 2). Oxbridge Notes. Retrieved from https://www.oxbridgenotes.co.uk/law_cases/re-casey-s-patents

[15] *What Is Conclusion on Consideration of Contract Law?* (n.d.). UpCounsel. Retrieved from <https://www.upcounsel.com/conclusion-on-consideration-of-contract-law>