

Student Name: Hena Rodriques

Student ID: 1921350

Module Code: LCBB5005

Module : Project Management

Assignment Title: Assessment1: Essay (Project Plan)

Module Lecturer's Name: Dr.Anupam Mazumdar

Title: Critically evaluating the Hinkley Point C Project of UK

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	2
The Body.....	2
Overview of the Hinkley Point C Project	2
a. Project background and objectives	2
b. Project stakeholders.....	2
c. Project scope.....	3
Project management principles and approaches	3
a. Project management methodologies adopted for Hinkley Point C	3
b. Review of project management tools and techniques used.....	4
Analysis of the initial project plan	5
a. Budget and cost overruns	5
b. Time management and schedule delays	6
c. Quality management and assurance	6
d. Stakeholder management and communication.....	7
e. Risk management and mitigation strategies	7
Critical evaluation of project challenges.....	7
a. Factors contributing to budget overruns.....	7
b. Factors contributing to schedule delays	8
c. Quality issues and their impact on the project.....	8

d. Stakeholder concerns and their influence on the project progress	8
e. Effectiveness of risk management strategies.....	8
Conclusion	9
References.....	11

Introduction

The Hinkley Point C Project in the United Kingdom aims to generate low-carbon electricity for around 6 million households, making it the world's most expensive nuclear power plant with an estimated cost of £25-26 billion. The project also intends to create employment opportunities but has encountered significant obstacles, such as budget overruns and schedule delays, which could impact its financial viability (Aldama-Bustos et al., 2019). In this essay, we will examine the Hinkley Point C Project and assess the key factors such as the budget, time, quality, stakeholders, and risk factors that impacted the project's progress. Our objective is to identify the root causes of the issues that arose during the project and evaluate what went wrong.

The Body

Overview of the Hinkley Point C Project

a. Project background and objectives

The Hinkley Point C Project is a nuclear power plant construction project situated in Somerset, England. EDF Energy and China General Nuclear Power Group (CGN) are jointly developing the project, which is scheduled for completion by the mid-2020s. The objective of this initiative is to supply low-carbon electricity to roughly 6 million households in the UK, as well as create numerous job opportunities. (Barkatullah and Ahmad, 2017). The plant's construction involves installing two European Pressurized Reactors (EPR) capable of generating 3.2 GW of electricity. The project is part of the UK government's efforts to reduce carbon emissions and increase the country's energy security.

b. Project stakeholders

The Hinkley Point C Project has several stakeholders, including the UK government, EDF Energy, CGN, local communities, environmental groups, and regulatory bodies. The UK government is the main stakeholder, as it has invested significant resources in the project to ensure its success. EDF Energy and CGN are responsible for the construction and operation of the plant (Butcher et al., 2019). Local communities near the project site are also stakeholders, as the project is expected to create job opportunities and provide economic benefits to the region. Environmental groups are concerned about the project's potential environmental impact and have raised concerns about nuclear safety and waste management. The Office for Nuclear

Regulation (ONR) and other regulatory bodies are responsible for the safety and security of the nuclear power plant. They are responsible for ensuring that all safety and security measures are followed and implemented to prevent potential accidents.

c. Project scope

The Hinkley Point C Project's scope involves constructing a nuclear power plant with two EPR reactors and associated infrastructure and facilities. The project also involves the development of a new high-voltage electricity transmission network to transport the power generated by the plant to the national grid (Butcher et al., 2021). The project scope includes the plant's design, construction, and commissioning, as well as the plant's operations and maintenance management. The project also involves engaging with stakeholders, including local communities, to address their concerns and ensure the project benefits the region.

Project management principles and approaches

a. Project management methodologies adopted for Hinkley Point C

The Hinkley Point C Project has adopted several project management methodologies to ensure its success. One of the main methodologies used is the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK), which provides a framework for project management and includes processes, tools, and techniques for managing projects (Carpenter and Energy, 2019). The Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) methodology is one of the world's most widely used project management methodologies. It provides a comprehensive framework for project management that includes all the processes, tools, and techniques required to manage a project successfully. The PMBOK methodology has five stages: initiation, planning, execution, monitoring and controlling, and closure. The initiation stage involves identifying the project's goals, scope, and stakeholders. The planning stage includes creating a comprehensive project plan that outlines the project's scope, schedule, budget, quality standards, risk management, and stakeholder management (Castor et al., 2020). During the execution stage, the project plan is put into action.

In contrast, the monitoring and controlling stage involves monitoring and managing the project's progress, risks, and issues. The closure stage is the final stage, which involves delivering the

project outputs to the stakeholders. The PMBOK methodology emphasizes timely delivery, cost control, and quality assurance to ensure project success.

In addition to the PMBOK methodology, the Hinkley Point C Project has adopted the Agile methodology. Agile is a flexible and iterative approach to project management focused on delivering the project in increments (Daniel and Daniel, 2019). The Agile methodology involves regular feedback from stakeholders, allowing for greater flexibility and adaptability in the project delivery. Agile is particularly useful in projects with uncertainty or change, as it allows for regular adjustments to the project scope, schedule, and requirements based on stakeholder feedback. The Agile methodology is also focused on delivering value to the stakeholders throughout the project rather than just at the end of the project. The adoption of the Agile methodology by the Hinkley Point C Project reflects the project team's recognition of the need for flexibility and adaptability in the project delivery process. The Agile methodology allows the project team to respond quickly to changes and deliver value to stakeholders throughout the project (Glasson, 2017).

b. Review of project management tools and techniques used

The Hinkley Point C Project has employed several project management tools and techniques to manage the project effectively (Hemmings, 2017). These tools and techniques include:

1. Project scheduling: The project team has used tools like Microsoft Project and Primavera to develop and manage the project schedule. These tools allow the team to track project progress and identify potential delays.
2. Risk management: The project team has employed a risk management framework to identify, assess, and manage potential risks associated with the project (Hill et al., 2018). This includes regular risk assessments and the development of risk mitigation strategies.
3. Stakeholder management: The project team has developed a plan to effectively identify and engage with stakeholders. This includes regular communication and engagement to address concerns and ensure the project benefits the local community.

4. Quality management: The project team has established a system to ensure the project meets the required quality standards. This includes regular quality audits and the implementation of corrective actions where necessary.
5. Change management: The project team has employed a change management framework to manage changes to the project scope and requirements (Jenkins et al., 2017). This includes a change request process and regular change control board meetings to review and approve changes.

Analysis of the initial project plan

a. Budget and cost overruns

The Hinkley Point C Project is the world's most expensive nuclear power plant, estimated at around £25-26 billion. This cost is significantly higher than the initial project plan, which estimated a total cost of £18 billion. The cost overruns have been attributed to several factors, including design changes, regulatory approval delays, and the impact of COVID-19 on the project timeline (Langley, 2018). One of the major factors contributing to the cost overruns is the fixed price contract for the electricity generated by the plant. In addition to the fixed price contract, the project has faced several supply chain issues, particularly with delivering key components such as the reactor pressure vessel. These supply chain issues have led to delays in the project timeline and additional costs (McKie, 2020). The impact of COVID-19 on the Hinkley Point C Project has also been significant, with disruptions to supply chains and construction activities leading to additional costs and delays. The cost overruns and delays in the Hinkley Point C Project have led to concerns about the project's financial viability. Some critics have suggested that the project may not be cost-effective in the long run, particularly given the increasing costs of renewable energy and declining energy storage costs (Ogunmakin et al., 2021). Despite facing challenges, the Hinkley Point C Project has the potential to provide eco-friendly electricity to roughly 6 million households and create numerous job opportunities.

b. Time management and schedule delays

The Hinkley Point C Project has faced significant schedule delays throughout its construction phase, with the project timeline currently behind schedule. The initial project plan estimated a

construction period of 10 years, but the project is now expected to take at least 12 years to complete (Putti and Tóth, 2017). The schedule delays have been attributed to several factors, including changes in design, regulatory approval, and supply chain issues. The project underwent several design changes during the planning and early construction phases, impacting the project timeline and leading to regulatory approval delays. Regulatory approval for the project was also delayed due to concerns over the nuclear reactors' safety and environmental impact. The delays in regulatory approval resulted in additional costs for the project and further schedule delays. Supply chain issues have also impacted the project timeline, particularly with delivering key components such as the reactor pressure vessel. These supply chain issues have led to delays in the project timeline and additional costs for the project (Rabe and Gippner, 2017). The schedule delays in the Hinkley Point C Project have significant implications for the project's financial viability. The longer construction period will lead to additional costs, impacting the project's profitability and financial sustainability (Reader, 2018).

c. Quality management and assurance

The Hinkley Point C Project has established a quality management system to ensure the project meets the required quality standards. However, the project has faced several quality issues, including defects in the construction of the reactor pressure vessel and concerns about the quality of the welding work (Smyth et al., 2018). These quality issues have led to delays and additional costs for the project, with EDF Energy and CGN working to address the issues and improve the quality of the construction work.

d. Stakeholder management and communication

The Hinkley Point C Project has faced challenges in stakeholder management and communication, particularly with local communities and environmental groups. Stakeholders involved in the Hinkley Point C Project have expressed concerns regarding the project's environmental impact. As a result, they have requested that the project team be more transparent and involve them more in the process. (Thomas, 2016). The project team has established a stakeholder management plan to engage with stakeholders effectively and address their concerns. However, there have been criticisms that the project team has not done enough to engage with stakeholders and address their concerns.

e. Risk management and mitigation strategies

The Hinkley Point C Project has established a risk management framework to identify, assess, and manage potential risks associated with the project. However, the project has faced several risks, including delays in regulatory approval, supply chain disruptions, and quality issues (Butcher et al., 2019). The project team has employed several risk mitigation strategies, including developing contingency plans, establishing alternative supply chains, and implementing corrective actions where necessary. However, the effectiveness of these strategies has been limited, with the project facing significant challenges and delays.

Critical evaluation of project challenges**a. Factors contributing to budget overruns**

Several factors have contributed to the budget overruns in the Hinkley Point C Project. One of the main factors is the fixed price contract for the electricity generated by the plant, which has placed significant financial risk on the project (Butcher et al., 2021). Changes in design and supply chain issues have also contributed to cost overruns. Furthermore, the impact of COVID-19 on the project timeline and costs has been significant, with disruptions to supply chains and construction activities leading to additional costs and delays.

b. Factors contributing to schedule delays

The Hinkley Point C Project has experienced several schedule delays, with several factors contributing to these delays. Regulatory approval processes and changes in design have led to delays in the project timeline (Castor et al., 2020). Supply chain issues, particularly with the delivery of key components, have also contributed to the schedule delays. Additionally, the impact of COVID-19 on the project timeline has been significant, with disruptions to supply chains and construction activities leading to additional delays.

c. Quality issues and their impact on the project

The Hinkley Point C Project has faced several quality issues, particularly with defects in the construction of the reactor pressure vessel and concerns about the quality of welding work. These quality issues have led to delays and additional costs for the project, with EDF Energy and CGN working to address the issues and improve the quality of the construction work (Daniel and

Daniel, 2019). The quality issues have also impacted the project's reputation, with stakeholders raising concerns about the safety and reliability of the plant.

d. Stakeholder concerns and their influence on the project progress

Stakeholder concerns, particularly from local communities and environmental groups, have significantly influenced the progress of the Hinkley Point C Project. Concerns about the project's potential impact on the environment, particularly in relation to nuclear safety and waste management, have led to protests and legal challenges (Hill et al., 2018). The project team has established a stakeholder management plan to engage with stakeholders effectively and address their concerns. However, there have been criticisms that the project team has not done enough to engage with stakeholders and address their concerns, leading to delays and additional costs for the project.

e. effectiveness of risk management strategies

The Hinkley Point C Project has established a risk management framework to identify, assess, and manage potential risks associated with the project. However, the effectiveness of the risk management strategies has been limited, with the project facing several risks that have led to delays and additional costs (Butcher et al., 2019). The impact of COVID-19 on the project timeline and costs was not adequately accounted for in the risk management framework, leading to significant disruptions to the project. Furthermore, the quality issues with the construction work and the stakeholder concerns have highlighted limitations in the risk management strategies.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Hinkley Point C Project is an ambitious and complex undertaking to provide low-carbon electricity to millions of homes and create thousands of jobs in the UK. However, the project has faced significant challenges and hurdles, particularly cost overruns and schedule delays. The cost overruns have been attributed to several factors, including design changes, regulatory approval delays, and the impact of COVID-19 on the project timeline. The fixed price contract for the electricity generated by the plant has also placed significant financial risk on the project, with concerns about the project's long-term financial viability. Similarly, the schedule delays have been attributed to changes in design, regulatory approval, supply chain issues, and

the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. The longer construction period will lead to additional costs, impacting the project's profitability and financial sustainability. Despite these challenges, the Hinkley Point C Project remains an important part of the UK's energy strategy, providing low-carbon electricity and creating jobs in the UK. The project team has adopted several project management methodologies and approaches, including the PMBOK methodology and Agile methodology, to manage the project effectively and ensure its successful delivery.

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Review and refine project management methodologies to better suit the complexity and scale of the Hinkley Point C Project.
2. Enhance stakeholder communication and engagement to address concerns and promote collaboration.
3. Implement robust risk management strategies to identify and mitigate potential risks proactively.
4. Periodically review and update project plans and budgets to ensure accuracy and alignment with project objectives.

By addressing these issues and adopting best practices in project management, the Hinkley Point C Project can improve its chances of success and contribute to the UK's efforts in reducing carbon emissions and providing low-carbon electricity to millions of homes.

References

1. Aldama-Bustos, G., Tromans, I. J., Strasser, F., Garrard, G., Green, G., Rivers, L., Douglas, J., Musson, R. M., Hunt, S. & Lessi-Cheimariou, A. 2019. A Streamlined Approach For The Seismic Hazard Assessment Of A New Nuclear Power Plant In The Uk. *Bulletin Of Earthquake Engineering*, 17, 37-54.
2. Barkatullah, N. & Ahmad, A. 2017. Current Status And Emerging Trends In Financing Nuclear Power Projects. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 18, 127-140.
3. Butcher, A., Stork, A., Verdon, J. & Kendall, J. M. Seismic Evaluation Of Excavated Rock Slopes At Hinkley Point C, Uk. Agu Fall Meeting Abstracts, 2019. Ns43c-0844.
4. Butcher, A., Stork, A. L., Verdon, J. P., Kendall, J.-M., Plenkers, K., Booth, F., Boneham, M. & Koe, A. 2021. Evaluating Rock Mass Disturbance Within Open-Pit Excavations Using Seismic Methods: A Case Study From The Hinkley Point C Nuclear Power Station. *Journal Of Rock Mechanics And Geotechnical Engineering*, 13, 500-512.
5. Carpenter, A. & Energy, M. N. 2019. Investigating Surface Deformation Surrounding Hinkley Point C Using Insar.
6. Castor, J., Bacha, K. & Nerini, F. F. 2020. Sdgs In Action: A Novel Framework For Assessing Energy Projects Against The Sustainable Development Goals. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 68, 101556.
7. Daniel, E. & Daniel, P. A. 2019. Megaprojects As Complex Adaptive Systems: The Hinkley Point C Case. *International Journal Of Project Management*, 37, 1017-1033.
8. Glasson, J. 2017. Large Energy Projects And Community Benefits Agreements-Some Experience From The Uk. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 65, 12-20.
9. Hemmings, J. 2017. Safeguarding Our Systems: Managing Chinese Investment Into The Uk's Digital And Critical National Infrastructure 54. *Hentet Fra <https://henryjacksonsociety.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Hjs-Safeguarding-Our-Systems-Report-New-Bw-Inner-Web.pdf>*.
10. Hill, G., Edwards, S., Hanson, G., Kilpatrick, E. & Blythe, P. Towards An 'agile' common Evaluation Methodology For C-Its. 25th Its World Congress, 2018. Newcastle University.

11. Jenkins, K., Mccauley, D. & Warren, C. R. 2017. Attributing Responsibility For Energy Justice: A Case Study Of The Hinkley Point Nuclear Complex. *Energy Policy*, 108, 836-843.
12. Langley, P. 2018. Frontier Financialization: Urban Infrastructure In The United Kingdom. *Economic Anthropology*, 5, 172-184.
13. Mckie, R. E. 2020. An Environmental Harm Perspective To Examine Our Understanding Of Uk Nuclear Energy Expansion. *The Extractive Industries And Society*, 7, 556-564.
14. Ogunmakin, B., Koe, A. & Jean-Philippe, M. Rock Joint Scale Effects In Numerical Modelling-Hinkley Point C, A Case Study. Iop Conference Series: Earth And Environmental Science, 2021. Iop Publishing, 012115.
15. Putti, K. & Tóth, Z. Economic Analysis Of The Hinkley Point C Nuclear Power Plant Based On The Available Data. 2017 6th International Youth Conference On Energy (Iyce), 2017. Ieee, 1-7.
16. Rabe, W. & Gippner, O. 2017. Perceptions Of China's Outward Foreign Direct Investment In European Critical Infrastructure And Strategic Industries. *International Politics*, 54, 468-486.
17. Reader, D. 2018. Extending National Security In Merger Control And Investment: A Good Deal For The Uk. *Competition L. Int'l*, 14, 35.
18. Smyth, H., Lecoivre, L. & Vaesken, P. 2018. Co-Creation Of Value And The Project Context: Towards Application On The Case Of Hinkley Point C Nuclear Power Station. *International Journal Of Project Management*, 36, 170-183.
19. Thomas, S. 2016. The Hinkley Point Decision: An Analysis Of The Policy Process. *Energy Policy*, 96, 421-431.