


1. Heuristic Evaluation (3 Participants; 100 Questions Each (See the file: *Heuristic_Evaluation_Criteria.pdf*))

Heuristic _ Participants							
	Date	Participant	Agent	IP Address	Completion	Inclusiuon	Comments
1	Thu, May 21, 2020, 8:09 am	Contact: 105045195@qq.com (Lisa)	Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_13_3) AppleWebKit/604.5.6 (KHTML, iike Gecko) Version/11.0.3 Safari/604.5.6	82.8.19.11	100%	Included	
2	Thu, May 21, 2020, 8:22 am	Contact: yi66ang@gmail.com (Zeyi Wan)	Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, iike Gecko) Chrome/81.0.4044.138 Safari/537.36	5.151.27.168	100%	Included	
3	Thu, May 21, 2020, 8:38 am	Unregistered user	Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_13_2) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, iike Gecko) Chrome/81.0.4044.122 Safari/537.36	5.151.27.168	100%	Included	

Heuristic _ Evaluation _ Summary Data				
Summary Data		Radar Graph	Harvey Balls Ideogram	
		XLS CSV		
Category	Score	Questions	Answers	Percentage
Home Page	34	60	49	85 %
Task Orientation	57	132	116	75 %
Navigation & Information Architecture	51	87	76	84 %
Forms & Data Entry	-1	85	1	0 %
Trust & Credibility	0	48	0	N/A
Writing & Content Quality	15	72	56	63 %
Page Layout & Visual Design	21	114	108	60 %
Search	10	60	53	59 %
Help, Feedback & Error Tolerance	20	114	82	62 %



2. SUS Test (4 Participants; 10 Questions Each)

Questionnaire preview

1. I think that I would like to use WISA-Hub frequently.

2. I found WISA-Hub unnecessarily complex.

3. I thought WISA-Hub was easy to use.

4. I think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use WISA-Hub.

5. I found the various functions in WISA-Hub were well integrated.

6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in WISA-Hub.

7. I would imagine that most people would learn to use WISA-Hub very quickly.

8. I found WISA-Hub very cumbersome (awkward) to use.

9. I felt very confident using WISA-Hub.

10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with WISA-Hub.

SUS Tests - Participants							
				heuristic_participants (1)			
	Date	Participant	Agent	IP Address	Completion	Inclusiuon	Comments
1	Thu, May 21, 2020, 8:16 am	Contact: 105045195@qq.com (Lisa)	Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_13_3) AppleWebKit/604.5.6 (KHTML, iike Gecko) Version/11.0.3 Safari/604.5.6	82.8.19.11	100%	Included	
2	Thu, May 21, 2020, 8:25 am	Contact: antoine.des@yahoo.fr (antoine)	Mozilla/5.0 (Mobile; Windows Phone 8.1; Android 4.0; ARM; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0; IEMobile/11.0; Microsoft; RM-1113) like iPhone OS 7_0_3 Mac OS X AppleWebKit/537 (KHTML, iike Gecko) Mobile Safari/537	217.69.11.45	100%	Included	
3	Thu, May 21, 2020, 8:35 am	Contact: yi66ang@gmail.com (Zeyi Wan)	Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, iike Gecko) Chrome/81.0.4044.138 Safari/537.36	5.151.27.168	100%	Included	
4	Thu, May 21, 2020, 9:04 am	Unregistered user	Mozilla/5.0 (Linux; Android 9; SM-A105FN Build/PPR1.180610.011; vv) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, iike Gecko) Version/4.0 Chrome/78.0.3904.62 XWEB/2258 MMWEBSDK/200301 Mobile Safari/537.36 MMWEBID/670 M	5.151.27.168	100%	Included	

SUS Test Results - Summary												
Summary		Data										
GRADE ⓘ 13% percentile		PARTICIPANTS ⓘ complete responses: 4		SUS score:		50	ⓘ					
				Learnability:		43.8	ⓘ					
				Usability:		51.6	ⓘ					
				Standard deviation:		28.5	ⓘ					
				Cronbach's alpha:		0.946	ⓘ					

SUS Test Results - Data												
Summary		Data										
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	SUS	Usability	Learnability
4	4	5	3	4	2	3	2	4	3	65.0	68.8	50.0
3	2	4	2	4	1	4	1	5	1	82.5	81.3	87.5
3	3	2	4	3	5	2	4	2	4	30.0	31.3	25.0
4	4	2	4	3	4	1	5	1	5	22.5	25.0	12.5