

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Rumen Chowdhury
Title of Project:	Network Security
Supervisor:	Dr Carlene Campbell
2nd marker:	Dr Kapilendra Radhakrishnan
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
In parts, it is good. Need to do more background research and focus on home network.	50%
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u>	

Signature: Date:



	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	2 /5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	10 /20	/15

Comments: from intro, it is difficult to understand the project background. pick one area and focus on it. It is all over the place, do not set clear path. Initial part of lit. review with basic information is reasonable, related work need to be more focused. Lot of research has been done in this area but only limited discussion. widening the research would help.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	3 /5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5

Comments:

outlined methodology followed clearly. more justification on choice of implementation would be good.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	12/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	4/10	/10

Comments:

Results & discussion is weak section. Experiment proved attans worked as expected but no critical analysis was done.

conclusion is general, should be based on research findings → practical outcome.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	8/15	-

Comments:

There is no discussion of why this type of experiment was conducted or how attans were identified.

Three different attans were carried out and detailed them in step by step explanation.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	5/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	6/10	/10
Comments: Good quality report. Important, put the title correctly. There are some minor errors, spelling mistakes & grammatical errors that can be avoided by proof reading few times. It may be better if you write reflection as first person writing to give full details and highlight what you learned. It is brief, did not discuss any transferable skills learned.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	/100