

Feedback	
Student's Name	MEHULGIRI ASHVINIRI
Student's SurName	GOSWAMI
Student's Email	2214858@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCA7031
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
No In-text citations done in this work. References listed at the end of the document. However, not adequate. Are you asking the reader to refer to those documents or is it stating that you referred to those documents	0

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
Discussions on current state of field done. However, the discussion was very generic. Could have reflected more on your understanding on the current state of the digital forensics field. "Well Known instruments? Started around 2022?" - these are very vague statements and fails to give more clarity on your understanding. Very basic work. Needs a lot of improvement. An general overview on the features. Failed to make strong statements relating them to the tools. Mentioned about Encase, FTK, Examination?, Cellebrite. - Again the statements were very generic. Needs a lot of improvement Team Formed as per the criteria. Well managed team work. Self reflection done - Could have been more specific on your work. Team member ratings for task 3 and 4 could have added more value. Appropriate Theme based scenario given. Very elaborate. The description is clear and adequate. Could have used various ranges of file types in data collection. Roles and responsibilities could have been documented appropriately. More clarity on team work could have added value to all the work/ efforts made. Thorough understanding on the given scenario is exhibited. Key challenges identified and objectives well set.	8.6

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
More discussion on the significance of Digital Forensics and cybersecurity required. key challenges discussed, but very generic and basic. Analysis on open source and commercial tools not done. As a team, articulated the analysis and the investigation within the drafted scenario. The Chain of Custody was very brief. Measures taken to maintain the integrity and admissibility of the evidence. Data extraction done as per merits. Evidences identified. The discussion on the findings well documented.	13.1

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
Listed the collected data - Task 3. The process of acquiring data and setup evidences described/ documented. Different versions of this documentation is available. Different types of files collected for Task 3. Collaboration and communication was the key challenge. Comprehensive analysis on the acquired hdd done. The documentation was discussed well. Appropriate tools used. Used different tools. Could have used more techniques to analyse the evidence. Why limiting only with Cellebrite? However, as a group managed to sort out the initial challenge of password of the evidence. Not a best method to sort this, but managed to overcome the challenge. Good Successful extraction of the relevant data.	24.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20
Feedback	Mark
The flow of your report confuses. Started with current state of the field, then added a research report - as	

part of final report. Why? Task 3 - Data collection had issues in recovering by the other team. There are many files for the same task submitted from different members in the team. Which one should be considered for marking? Team communication is the key.	12.2
Overall Mark	58