


MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Aaron Cowley	
Title of Project:	Security penetration testing in virtualised wireless network	
Supervisor:	Catherine Campbell	
2 nd marker:	Kaplan Radhakrishnan	
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)	
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)	

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> Low of discussion, brief in nature	50%
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u>	

Signature:  Date: 13/5/12

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	2.5 /5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	10 /20	/15

Comments:

The intro outlines background and aim & objectives clearly. more discussion on key area (i.e security attacks) would be good.

Lit. review just jumps to attacks. little bit background on security & importance of it would be good. Expand the lit. review more to include key topics on security & attacks.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	2.5 /5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5

Comments:

Brief, but covers what is needed for this project.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	13 /25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	4 /10	/10
Comments: Demonstrated various attacks and outcomes from these attacks, but missing analysis / critical evaluation. Very brief conclusion & recommendation, use the findings and draw a conclusion based on evidence.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	8 /15	-
Comments: Again brief, more detailed explanation on experiment setup would be good. Explain the technical details.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	5/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	5/10	/10
Comments: Good reflection on how the project was conducted and what was learned. Good report. structure but lot of minor error could have been avoided by proper proof reading. e.g. Page no on TBC. are not updated correctly				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	50/100	/100