Feedback for ACCB5029

Date of Assessment: 12/11/2024 Date of Feedback: 03/12/2024

Assessor: Dr. Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy Student Name: Thomas Reynolds

Engagement with Literature Skills

Total Marks: 4 out of 17

Comments:

To excel in this section, you are required to demonstrate proficiency in using proper citation conventions throughout your work. Adherence to academic standards in referencing is critical. Your submission should reflect the integration of diverse, credible, and academically valid sources for Task 2. Additionally, for Task 1, it is essential to incorporate and reference resources specifically provided within the DevNet course materials.

- Very poor work here. Your work lacks appropriate and adequate referencing
- Could have referred to the resources in the netacad
- Could have also referred to more academically valid sources.
- More references and intext citations required

Knowledge and Understanding Skills

Total Marks: 22.1 out of 31

Comments:

To achieve high marks in this section, you must provide a comprehensive discussion of the technical concepts explored in Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of the DevNet Course. Your analysis should reflect a clear understanding of the DevNet resources, including effective navigation and utilization of sandbox environments and identifying appropriate approaches for various scenarios. Highlighting specific insights or unique observations from these resources will distinguish your work. Furthermore, your submission must document a clear and detailed understanding of software development methodologies, showcasing your understanding on how these methods apply within the context of the course in today's development scenario. Attention to these detailed expectations will enhance the depth, contributing to a higher grade.

- Reflection on Chapters 2, 3 and 4 done well.

- Good understanding on DevNet resources documented.

- Key Insights highlighted.

- Discussion on Software Development methodology could have been more in-detail like what you have done for the API's you could have reflected similarly

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

Total Marks: 24 out of 40

Comments:

To perform well in this section, you are expected to critically engage with the available resources outlined in the DevNet Resources section. Your analysis should demonstrate a thoughtful consideration of where these skills can be applied professionally, supported by examples. Additionally, provide a critical reflection on the challenges you encountered throughout including, but not limited to, difficulties in working with the resources, navigating and searching for information, or understanding complex technical concepts. Incorporate insights gained from group discussions, specifically addressing how your understanding of DevNet Resources, Sandbox environments, or technical methodologies evolved after collaborative engagement with your peers. Highlight any areas you had previously overlooked during individual study but gained clarity on after class interactions.

Furthermore, propose an optimal software development approach for your team to implement in the upcoming coursework. Explain your rationale, considering the practicalities of implementation and collaboration. Also, identify and discuss tools similar to GIT, exploring their applicability for local, centralized, and distributed version control systems to demonstrate an in-depth understanding of development tools.

- More detailed discussions on Real world relevance and challenges required

- More critical reflection on the challenges and Insights gained from Group Discussion could have added value.

- SD approach discussed well

- Similar tools discussed.

Practical Application Skills

Total Marks: 0 out of 0

Comments:

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Total Marks: 9.6 out of 12

Comments:

A professional structure is critical to achieving high marks in this section. Your report should include a clear introduction that sets the context, a logically organized content section where ideas are cohesively developed, a conclusion that summarizes key findings, and a properly formatted references section. The report should flow seamlessly from beginning to end, ensuring the progression of ideas is clear, well-articulated, and professional. Adhering to these expectations will not only improve the readability and impact of your report but also highlight your ability to present technical content effectively.

- The flow of ideas in the report is ok. Formatting needs attention. Adding images and screenshots adds value. Add chapter numbers could have helped in easy follow up

- Well Structured.

Overall Total Marks: 59.7 out of 100

Kindly note that all marks are subject to 2nd verification and exam board verification as outlined by the University's Quality Assurance procedures.