



Student name: Nedyalko Radev

Student number: 2128093

Module code: BMSW5101

Module title: Workplace Critical Thinking

Assignment title: Task 3 & 4

Task 3 - Critical Evaluation of a chosen management theory

Task 4 – Reflection of own beliefs, values, and attitudes in the workplace

Lecturer's name: Ehsan Khajeh

Table of Contents

Task 3.....	3
Introduction	3
Taylor's Scientific Management Theory.....	4
Critical Analysis of Theory	5
Conclusion	8
Reference.....	9
Task 4.....	10
Introduction	10
Gibbs' Reflective Cycle.....	10
Conclusion	11
Reference.....	12
Figure 1: Taylors' Scientific Management Theory.....	4

Task 3

Introduction

The goal of this report was to examine Taylor's Scientific Management theory in depth. Frederick W. Taylor created Scientific Management in the late 19th century to boost organisational output via the integration of empirical research into day-to-day operations. Taylor advocated a structure based on standardization, specialization, and the division of labor to improve productivity. The purpose of this study is to dissect Scientific Management in order to better understand its inner workings, its applicability in the modern business world, and its impact on employee output. This study would also discuss Scientific Management's detractors and constraints, like how it can dehumanize and disconnect workers and its inability in taking into account the varied nature of human behaviour at work. The paper would also investigate how effectively the theory fits into contemporary companies, taking into account how job evolves and how new management strategies are forming. An in-depth knowledge of Taylor's Scientific Management concept can be attained by this vital review, allowing enlightened perspectives on its real-world applications.

Taylor's Scientific Management Theory



Figure 1: Taylors' Scientific Management Theory

Source: (Nelson,, 2012)

Taylorism refers to the management style pioneered by Frederick W. Taylor in the late 1800s and is also known as Scientific Management. Taylor applied scientific methods to the workplace to boost output and cut down on waste. The individual reasoned that managers could find the best methods and set the right goals if they used scientific evaluations of workplace activity. The division of labor is fundamental to Scientific Management because it allows for massive projects to be broken down into more manageable parts and given to specialists. As a result, employees are better able to give their whole attention to their work and improve both their efficiency and output (Grönroos, 2014). Taylor also underlined the importance of systematic training and hiring practices for creating competent employees.

Taylor's concepts had a significant impact on the development of management theory and practice, especially in the corporate sector. The ideas he proposed set the path for the creation of cutting-edge methods of operations management including lean production and continuous quality improvement. Taylor's emphasis on uniformity and efficiency influenced the development of assembly-line production and the implementation of pay based on performance. The scientific management approach advocated by Taylor has been questioned. The pervasive alienation and dehumanization of the workforce is a major source of discontent (Merkle, 2022). It has been argued that putting an excessive emphasis on efficiency and task specialization could lead to a decline in employee passion within an organisation. Taylor's method undervalues the role of intangibles like staff morale, innovation, and job satisfaction in fostering productive organizations.

Critical Analysis of Theory

Advantages of Scientific Management Theory

Enhanced effectiveness: The goal of Scientific Management is to improve productivity by analyzing present practices in a systematic way to identify and eliminate inefficiencies. Organizations might maximize efficiency and output by instituting standards and dividing up tasks.

Robust performance standards: Taylor's theory advocates for the utilisation of empirically supported objective performance benchmarks. This aids managers in setting fair objectives, maintaining objectivity in monitoring employee growth, and giving constructive criticism (Raduan et al., 2019). One of the best ways to ensure that workers put out their best effort is to establish and communicate clear performance expectations.

Streamlined operations: Scientific management promotes uniformity in procedure and the separation of duties to increase output. By putting individuals to work in their areas of expertise, businesses can save on training costs, boost specialization, and decrease error rates. Both efficiency and effectiveness have increased because of the change.

Cost minimization: Taylor argues that eliminating wasteful procedures and boosting output will help bring down costs. Process optimization, reducing idle time, and eliminating unnecessary procedures can save time and resources, allowing businesses to produce more profit with the same amount of output.

Scientific decision-making: Taylor stresses the need of relying on evidence when making decisions. Time and motion studies provide valuable information for managers looking to streamline processes in the workplace (Selimović, 2022). Decisions based on evidence are helpful for streamlining processes, decreasing errors, and increasing output.

Disadvantages of Scientific Management Theory

Employee alienation: Workers' dissatisfaction is often used as an argument against the Taylorist approach to management. Workers' interest and motivation may decline as a result of the monotony of performing repetitive or specialized activities. If workers don't feel like their opinions and efforts are valued, they may be less invested in their positions and more likely to quit.

Higher focus on efficiency: With Taylor's approach, efficiency and output are prioritized over things like workers' health and happiness (Stone and Rosopa, 2017). One risk of focusing just on efficiency is that it may divert attention away from other, more complete measures of organisational effectiveness.

Avoiding Human impact: Taylor's method often overlooks the nuances of human conduct and the significance of interpersonal connections. The strategy places too much focus on numerical indicators, which can obscure the importance of intangibles like cooperation, teamwork, and staff satisfaction to organisational success.

Resistance to change: Many employees resist the implementation of Scientific Management out of fear for their jobs as workloads increase. Slower progress towards goals could result from a lack of trust and cooperation between management and staff if decisions are made from on high.

To be effective, Taylor's Scientific Management theory must be adapted to the unique conditions of a given industry and region. Its usefulness is context dependent; it can considerably improve efficiency and production in some circumstances but may fall short in others (Meredith, 2018). Organisations should weigh the benefits and drawbacks of this theory and modify its tenets as necessary to meet the needs of their employees and their field. It is essential to put both efficiency and employee happiness first in today's competitive business world.

Personal Views Regarding Scientific Management Theory

I believe that Taylor's Scientific Management theory requires cautious application in order to be successful. Productivity increases are possible, especially in businesses and organisations where efficiency and standardisation are valued. Finding a happy medium between these goals and the morale, contentment, and culture of the organization's staff is essential. The key to successful business management is striking a balance between productivity and the needs of employees. Managers notice a rise in employee engagement and creativity when they give workers greater autonomy, emphasise teamwork, and reward individuals for their contributions (El Hussein et al., 2014). In order to boost productivity and morale in the workplace, managers must acknowledge

the flaws in Taylor's theory and find ways to work around them. In addition, the complexity and volatility of today's business environment calls for a more adaptable and flexible management approach. In fields where quick change, specific knowledge, or novelty are paramount, Taylor's theory may not be applicable. Management theories such as human relations theory and systems thinking are useful in understanding how to best meet the demands of today's diverse workforce.

An instance of Relevance: Manufacturing Sector

The principles of Scientific Management are very applicable to the manufacturing industry, and especially to high-throughput assembly lines. For instance, a car factory could use Taylor's theories to increase assembly line efficiency. The factory can increase efficiency and output by conducting time and motion studies, standardising procedures, and cutting the manufacturing process down into smaller, more manageable chunks. When managers have objective criteria to use, they are better able to track and assess employee performance (Omalaja and Eruola, 2011). Applying the theory's primary focus on doing more with less to increase profits by getting rid of inefficient methods.

An instance of Irrelevance: Creative Firm

Taylor's Scientific Management theory would be less applicable in an organisation that focuses on visual communication and marketing. Employees in such environments need to be able to think on their feet and find solutions to problems. Taylor's push for uniformity and task specialisation could limit the agency's ability to generate and implement novel ideas. Because of its emphasis on efficiency and quantitative measures, the approach may fail to take into account less measurable factors such as innovation and employee engagement (Sampson and Laub, 2017). Management approaches that place more value on teamwork, collaboration, and individual autonomy may be better suited to fostering innovation and adaptability in the information economy.

Conclusion

Taylor's scientific approach to management has both positive and negative outcomes. The theory's focus on effectiveness, standardisation, and defined performance objectives can boost productivity, streamline operations, and reduce costs. The theory's insisting on quantitative metrics, its lack of relevance in dynamic sectors, and the obstacles to its execution are all potential drawbacks, as is the risk of alienating employees. The continued relevance of Scientific Management theory in today's competitive business world depends on the prosperity of specific sectors. However, its utility decreases in knowledge-based industries like the manufacturing industry, where employees undertake essentially identical activities over and over again, and where creativity, flexibility, and teamwork are key. Companies in the modern era recognise the importance of employee voice and agency, as well as the need for a more holistic approach to management.

Reference

- El Hussein, M., Hirst, S., Salyers, V. and Osuji, J., (2014). Using grounded theory as a method of inquiry: Advantages and disadvantages. *Qualitative Report*, 19(27).
- Grönroos, C., (2014). From scientific management to service management: a management perspective for the age of service competition. *International Journal of service industry management*, 5(1), pp.5-20.
- Meredith, J., (2018). Building operations management theory through case and field research. *Journal of operations management*, 16(4), pp.441-454.
- Merkle, J.A., (2022). *Management and ideology: The legacy of the international scientific management movement*. Univ of California Press.
- Nelson, D. ed., (2012). *A mental revolution: Scientific management since Taylor*. Ohio State University Press.
- Omalaja, M.A. and Eruola, O.A., (2011). Strategic management theory: Concepts, analysis and critiques in relation to corporate competitive advantage from the resource-based philosophy. *Economic analysis*, 44(1-2), pp.59-77.
- Raduan, C.R., Jegak, U., Haslinda, A. and Alimin, I.I., (2019). Management, strategic management theories and the linkage with organizational competitive advantage from the resource-based view. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(3), pp.402-418.
- Sampson, R.J. and Laub, J.H., (2017). A life-course theory of cumulative disadvantage and the stability of delinquency. *Developmental theories of crime and delinquency*, 7, pp.133-161.
- Selimović, I., (2022). Advantages and Disadvantages of Principles of Scientific Management and Lean Organisation. *Challenges of the Future*, 7(1), pp.40-41.
- Stone, D.L. and Rosopa, P.J., (2017). The advantages and limitations of using meta-analysis in human resource management research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(1), pp.1-7.

Task 4

Introduction

This paper will address my comprehension of critical thinking and its implementation within the setting of workplace management. The analysis could be organized according to a renowned reflection model Gibbs' Reflective Cycle consisting of six phases: description, feelings, evaluation, analysis, conclusion, and action plan (Tripathy, 2020), allowing for an in-depth review of my learning background, evaluation, future application of critical abilities, and goals for career growth in management.

Gibbs' Reflective Cycle

My learning from this module has been highlighted using the Gibbs reflection cycle:

Description: The module's lessons helped me better appreciate the value of critical thinking in good management. After learning this, I began to question assumptions, look at data, and consider alternative perspectives. The module's instances and case studies reinforced my understanding of critical thinking and its application to real-world managerial problems (Dagostino and Carifio, 2017). Working in groups and participating in projects where numerous perspectives were presented helped me develop my critical thinking skills.

Feelings: I originally viewed the course with both curiosity and anxiety. I was nervous to hone my critical thinking since I was so intrigued by the subject. Yet, the integration of critical thinking into complex managerial situations remains a concern. The assessment was hard for me since I had to prove that I could think critically and make good decisions under stress (Elliott and Turnbull, 2014). Although periodic doubts, I tackled the evaluation with passion and an interest to show my ability to think critically.

Evaluation: It has endowed me with the abilities needed for analysing problems, assessing alternatives, and making well-informed choices. I may discover novel approaches and foresee problems by challenging presumptions and exploring diverse views. I improved my critical thinking skills by completing the module's test.

Analysis: In the workplace, I hope to use my capacity for critical thinking to analyse problems, weigh potential responses, and craft effective strategies (Sherman, 2019). My goal is to create a space where everyone on my team is comfortable voicing their opinions and challenging established norms. To further the development and prosperity of my business, I employ critical thinking skills in order to arrive at wise conclusions.

Action plan: Developing my interpersonal and group management skills will make me a stronger leader. With these abilities, I can inspire my team to reach our full potential as a group. The pace of change in the business world is dizzying, therefore I'm also keen to learn about forward-thinking management techniques like digital transformation and sustainability (Noruzi and Hernandez, 2011). In order to achieve my goals, I plan to seek out a mentor, participate in relevant workshops, and earn the appropriate qualifications.

Conclusion

This course has equipped me with knowledge and skills that will serve me well throughout my professional life. After taking the test, I had more faith in my ability to apply critical thinking in real-world situations. My long-term goal is to become a better manager by learning to think strategically about my options. I intend to improve as a manager and make significant contributions to the company's success by challenging myself intellectually and actively seeking out appropriate possibilities for professional progress.

Reference

- Dagostino, L. and Carifio, J., (2017). Stimulating adult development in the workplace through critical thinking. *Work*, 8(2), pp.181-187.
- Elliott, C. and Turnbull, S. eds., (2014). *Critical thinking in human resource development* (Vol. 12). Routledge.
- Noruzi, M.R. and Hernandez, J.G.V., (2011). Critical thinking in the workplace: characteristics, and some assessment tests. In *3rd International Conference on Information and Financial Engineering* (Vol. 12, pp. 19-20).
- Sherman, S.J., (2019). *The correlation between critical thinking, emotional intelligence, and conflict management modes of financial services managers*. University of Phoenix.
- Tripathy, M., (2020). Dimensions of critical thinking in workplace management & personal development: a conceptual analysis. *Multidisciplinary Journal for Education, Social and Technological Sciences*, 7(2), pp.1-19.