

Feedback	
Student's Name	THERESA ALEXANDRA EILEEN
Student's SurName	DARZINS
Student's Email	1909721@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB6000
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	30
Feedback	Marks
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible and relevant diversity of sources for threats, vuln., attacks & defense strategies, lab outcomes, similar tools used & mitigation strategies discussed. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. Very good work to start with. Using one of the lab activity's work as a guidance is highly appreciated. Could have considered choosing a recent attack for your case study. That could have added more value to your work. More diversified sources could have referred to your work. That could have helped you to gain more knowledge and understanding on the attacks. When asked for a research, you must look at different academic credible sources/ industry whitepapers and write your understanding on your own words and not copying from the internet sources. It would result in Plagiarism. Mitigation strategies discussed. Could have discussed more appropriately linking with the scenario.	17.6

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
To score high marks you need to demonstrate systematic understanding of various technologies (your MCQ Test marks applies here). The strength of statements given, and critical understanding of concepts covered in finding the outcomes using various tools, understanding technical weaknesses & addressing appropriate recommendations contributed to the score on this section Generic question removed from marking (MCQ Test). Results/ outcomes on lab activities documented. Scenario based security recommendations was addressed in general. Implementation and analysis is based on your lab activities and the similar tools you identify. More focus on discussions related to tools used in the lab and similar tools could have helped in better understanding.	12.12

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the threats, observations made on the results gathered, analysing the pros and cons of similar tools and mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why, how and when, questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole assignment. Adequate discussion on observations made on the results, analysis of pros and cons of similar tools required. Mitigation strategies could have been based on why, how and when questions with possible assumptions. Mitigations discussed well. However, they were generic and they need to be in-line with the scenario stated.	7.8

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
To excel in this part, you need to provide evidence of the practical activities done by giving appropriate screenshots on the implementation and results. A description of the new similar tool and its implementation that will convince the examiner on the work done. Screenshots and outcomes documented. More discussion on similar tools required. Not all the outcomes documented and discussed. Most of the implementation discussion was a summary of a text book referred.	14

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	Mark
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done.	

There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. Well structured and numbered. Easy to follow. However most of the information tabulated are in images. Are they from external sources? If so they need to be cited. Not necessary to document images from text books. Could have added only if required.	3.7
Overall Mark	55