



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

Task 2: Individual Presentation on Dr Hart's Disappearance

Title: Case Report on the Disappearance of Dr Hart

Student Name: Cosmin Arman Moldovan

Student ID:2119253

Module Name: BMSW4013 Academic Skills for the Digital Era

Submission Date

Table of Content

Slide 3: Introduction

Slide 4: Key Evidence & Findings

Slide 5: Theories & Analysis

Slide 6: Conclusion &
Recommendations

Slides 7: References

Introduction



Dr Hart – AI ethics researcher



Worked on a controversial AI project



Raised concerns about AI abuse



Disappeared under mysterious conditions



This presentation discusses leading evidence and theories



Key Evidence & Findings



- Participated in a high-risk AI ethics project
 - Voiced concerns over unethical application of AI
 - Leaked emails show workplace tensions
 - Other researchers like her were silenced
 - Some of the evidence may be biased or unfounded
- 



Theories & Analysis

Deontological Ethics Theory – Dr. Hart might have had an ethical responsibility to stop developing military AI if she felt it was unethical (Dilbar, 2024).

Whistleblower Theory – She might have tried to whistleblowing on unfair workplace practice and consequently faced threats or intimidation (Lee *et al.*, 2021).

Burnout Theory – Long hours at work, excessive stress, and ethical demands might have compelled her to get issues in her mental health and therefore went missing (Edú-Valsania *et al.*, 2022).

Conclusion & Recommendations



DR HART'S VANISHING
DUE TO AI ETHICS
CONTROVERSIES



RETALIATION AGAINST
WHISTLEBLOWERS OR
CORPORATE COVER-
UP EXTREMELY LIKELY



INVESTIGATE
INTERNAL
COMMUNICATION AND
RESEARCH DATA



IMPROVE LEGAL
PROTECTION OF AI
RESEARCHERS



IMPROVE
TRANSPARENCY AND
ACCOUNTABILITY IN AI
DEVELOPMENT

References

- Dilbar, K., (2024). The Principles of Deontological Ethics. Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research, 2(5), pp.56-59. <http://webofjournals.com/index.php/1/article/view/1299>
- Edú-Valsania, S., Laguía, A. and Moriano, J.A., (2022). Burnout: A review of theory and measurement. International journal of environmental research and public health, 19(3), p.1780. <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/19/3/1780>
- Kraczla, M. and Wziątek-Staśko, A., (2024). Management, conflict resolution and strategy: coping with stress at work. Taylor & Francis. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GfEEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1995&dq=++Creating+a+secure+work+environment+allows+scientists+to+freely+voice+concerns+without+fear+of+ethical+conflicts+and+stress-related+vanishing+acts.&ots=YMKgQMaLI9&sig=Y2JQ061rBqky05l6Lvv4_w_Nt4E
- Lee, H., Kang, M.M. and Kim, S.Y., (2021). A psychological process of bureaucratic whistleblowing: applying the theory of planned behavior. The American Review of Public Administration, 51(5), pp.374-392. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/02750740211003345>
- Ngcamu, B.S. and Mantzaris, E., (2021). Biased and falsified reporting: The Government's perspectives. In Addressing xenophobia in South Africa: Drivers, responses and lessons from the Durban untold stories (pp. 109-123). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/978-1-80262-479-320211006/full/html>