

Feedback	
Student's Name	SILVIA STOIANOVA
Student's SurName	SETTLE
Student's Email	1708386@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	NOSS - WS4
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
Literatures referred to the work. However could have added more academic credible literatures. Few literatures referred to the work are from online sources. Referring to text books are good, but more academic credible literatures and whitepapers could have added value to your work. References to practical task done. Summaries on the literatures and critical appraisals need to be focused.	8.8

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
Benefits and drawbacks of T1 &T2 hypervisor, Cloud Computing stated with examples. However, they could have been more elaborately discussed. Could have added Illustrations for DNS. The quality of the report is good with proper numbering and sub chapters. However, avoid writing long paragraphs in your report. Could have added more description on comparing the functionalites and operations of those terms with different OS's on discussing the terminologies. IEEE formatting not done.	12.2

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
Adequate justification of practical tasks were provided. Analysis of the outcome done. The reflection on the task completed. Could have added more critical analysis and justification on observations stated. Proper/ valid screen shots documented. However, all those screenshots were not necessary. (Downloading Oses, Selecting for Installation could have been avoided). You came across an error while installing Server 1. However, no documentation was done on how the error was rectified. Is that because of the error, Server 1 was cloned from Server 2? If so, could have troubleshooted and documented that. These troubleshooting steps are evidences on Critical analysis.	17.2

Practical Application Skills	40
Feedback	Mark
Practical Tasks executed appropriately. Screenshots and descriptions were adequate.	40

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	Mark
The flow of the report is good. Could have added definitions and abbreviations on Technical Terms used in the report.	4
Overall Mark	82