

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Joanne Griffith
Title of Project:	To create a robust failsafe process for diabetic eye screening Wales
Supervisor:	B Holland
2nd marker:	N Whitehead
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS CNetS CSE Electrical AC Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review Experiment Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> Very good overall project. Very interesting topic area. The student has investigated the software and also presented additional software by way of future work to look at exploration of the failsafe data for the NHS.	68
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	67.5
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u> Good overall project with some very interesting individual hints	68 NW



23-05-2018

Signature: Date:

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	4/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	10/15
Comments: The suitable introduction outlining project aims and objectives – maybe is trying to do too much of GIS and tableau to analyse data could be thought of as an additional project however suitable merit should be given to these. Good overall challenges that I feel this could have been placed in your literature review section and expanded upon – it's very interesting current topic area. Good framework in the introduction to some original challenges. Literature review: a very good overview of the failsafe procedures as used by the NHS and a coherent description of how they work within diabetic screening. However, this is a literature review and that you feel it's been written from a work report viewpoint – maybe some additional references for comparisons with other NHS establishments would have helped. Session summaries would also help with the overall flow through subject matter in this chapter. Although I have mentioned MapInfo and tableau I do feel expanded upon it here.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	3/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	3.5/5

Comments:

Research methods: A good explanation of research techniques and the reflection of the overall study and how different researcher approaches proved relevant. Sometimes however we slip into the analysis of said data which may have fitted better in a later chapter or section.

Research and requirement strategy: again as this is work-related the write-up sometimes reflects that and not an academic document however all information been well presented and is relevant.

Competitive overview of data gathering techniques. Interviewing, overview on the complications of NHS data and uses. Some timescale considerations presented.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	17/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	6/10
Comments: Very well written summary of findings is interesting to see software in action in the workplace. This suggests that our program is covering the correct industry requirements and some excellent results in presented.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	12/15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:

Design: good reference to Weber software and investigation but this chapter is a brief the implementation flowchart of the lottery. However we have a good explanation of the failsafe process.

Again the student demonstrates a good understanding of the structure of the data that this should be documented in the design chapter also. Layout to describe process in a script table is presented in an easy read fashion join insight into the development. Good overview of SQL design documentation and a sound understanding is demonstrated this process also. It will overview of the GIS used within the structure and some interesting results are presented through GIS again good use of tableau to look at alternative ways of analysing the layers of data.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	6/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	6.5/10

Comments:

The overall report was well presented however you select been improvements to the overall write-up – the student is part-time and this is a work-related project therefore sometimes we do slip into report writing for Senior management.

The student is provided suitable reflection of how the project went for her. Generally a good overall report.

TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	/100
---------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

