

Feedback	
Student's Name	TALWINDER
Student's SurName	KAUR
Student's Email	2227879@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCA7031
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
In-text citations done well. Valid Points discussed. Adequate literatures referred to the work. The comparison tables for tools is appreciated. Summaries and critical analysis could have added value to your work. More critics on statements like "as of 2018, there were fewer papers written", "not many tools are available to drive the analysis phase" required. Critics on this could have added value.	10.3

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
Discussions on current state of field done well. The insights on National and International market needs more contribution. Could have discussed different roles available and its salaries to gain more insights. Comprehensive understanding of tools documented. Tabulated work with classification is appreciated. Tools compared for open source and commercial could have been used in discussing the features and capabilities. Both seems different. Team Formed as per the criteria. However, the team performance was not documented. Self reflection for task 3 and 4 could have added value to the work. Appropriate Theme based scenario given. Very elaborate. The description is clear and adequate. Roles and responsibilities could have been documented appropriately. Thorough understanding on the given scenario is exhibited. Key challenges identified and objectives well set. Presented (Task 3) well. However, a presentation (ppt) could have added more value and made it easy to	11.1

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
Very well discussed the significance of Digital Forensics, emerging trends and its key challenges. Point wise description adds value. Analysis on open source and commercial tools done. As a team, articulated the analysis and the investigation within the drafted scenario. The Chain of Custody was very brief. Measures taken to maintain the integrity and admissibility of the evidence. Data extraction done as per merits. Evidences identified. The discussion on the findings well documented.	16.4

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
Listed the collected data - Task 3. The process of acquiring data and setup evidences described/ documented well. Different types of files collected for Task 3. Well handled the conflicts and challenges. The group was very collaborative and communication was very good. Comprehensive analysis on the acquired hdd done. The documentation was discussed well. Appropriate tools used. Used different tools. Could have documented more details about the tools like VeraCrypt. Could have used various other tools as well to support the evidences. Could have used more techniques to analyse the evidence. Why limiting only with Cellebrite? Successful extraction of the relevant data.	24.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20
Feedback	Mark
Well structured work. Presentation could have been more clear and concise. More highlight on individual	

contribution could have added value. Excellent team work and collaboration. Personal Reflections and individual contribution is missing details.	16.1
Overall Mark	78