

APPLICATION FOR ETHICAL APPROVAL

In order for research to result in benefit and minimise risk of harm, it must be conducted ethically. A researcher may not be covered by the University's insurance if ethical approval has not been obtained prior to commencement.

The University follows the OECD Frascati manual definition of **research activity**: "creative work undertaken on a systematic basis in order to increase the stock of knowledge, including knowledge of man, culture and society, and the use of this stock of knowledge to devise new applications". As such this covers activities undertaken by members of staff, postgraduate research students, and both taught postgraduate and undergraduate students working on dissertations/projects.

The individual undertaking the research activity is known as the "principal researcher".

Ethical approval is not required for routine audits, performance reviews, quality assurance studies, testing within normal educational requirements, and literary or artistic criticism.

Please read the notes for guidance before completing ALL sections of the form.

This form must be completed and approved prior to undertaking any research activity. Please see Checklist for details of process for different categories of application.

Delete the Guidance Notes at the end of the form BEFORE submitting your application

SECTION A: About You (Principal Researcher)

Full Name:		Sinead Marie John			
Tick all boxes which apply:		Member of staff:	☐	Honorary research fellow:	☐
Undergraduate Student	☒	Taught Postgraduate Student	☐	Postgraduate Research Student	☐
Faculty/School/Centre:		Institute of Education and Humanities			
Campus:		SA1 Swansea			
E-mail address:		1904662@student.uwtsd.ac.uk			
Contact Telephone Number:		07584416447			
For students:					
Student Number:		1904662			
Programme of Study:		Early Yeas Education and Care: Early Years Practitioner Status			
Director of Studies/Supervisor:		Paul Darby			

SECTION B: Approval for Research Activity

Has the research activity received approval in principle? (please check the Guidance Notes as to the appropriate approval process for different levels of research by different categories of individual)	YES	☒	NO	☐
			Date	
If Yes, please indicate source of approval (and date where known): Approval in principle must be obtained from the relevant source prior to seeking ethical approval	Research Degrees Committee	☐		
	Faculty Research Committee	☐		
	Other (write in)	☒	Paul Darby	

SECTION C: Internal and External Ethical Guidance Materials

Please list the core ethical guidance documents that have been referred to during the completion of this form (including any discipline-specific codes of research ethics, and also any specific ethical guidance relating to the proposed methodology). Please tick to confirm that your research proposal adheres to these codes and guidelines.	
UWTSD Research Ethics & Integrity Code of Practice	☒
GDPR – General Data Protection Regulation	☒
BERA- British Educational Research Association	☒

SECTION D: External Collaborative Research Activity

Does the research activity involve collaborators outside of the University?	YES	☐	NO	☒	
If Yes, please provide the name of the external organisation and name and contact details for the main contact person and confirmation this person has consented to their personal data being shared as part of this collaboration.					
Institution					
Contact person name					
Contact person e-mail address					
Has this individual consented to sharing their details on this form?	YES	☐	NO	☒	
Are you in receipt of a KESS scholarship?	YES	☐	NO	☒	
Is your research externally funded	YES	☐	NO	☒	
Are you specifically employed to undertake this research in either a paid or voluntary capacity?	Voluntary	YES	☐	NO	☒
	Employed	YES	☐	NO	☒
Is the research being undertaken within an existing UWTSD Athrofa Professional Learning Partnership (APLP)	If YES then the permission question below does not need to be answered.	YES	☐	NO	☒
Permission to undertake the research has been provided by the partner organisation	(If YES attach copy) If NO the application cannot continue	YES	☐	NO	☒

Where research activity is carried out in collaboration with an external organisation

Does this organisation have its own ethics approval system?	YES	☐	NO	☒
If Yes, please attach a copy of any final approval (or interim approval) from the organisation				

SECTION E: Details of Research Activity

Indicative title:	What evidence is there to support the importance of outdoor play for Young children's development?		
Proposed start date:	15/06/20	Proposed end date:	09/07/20
Introduction to the Research (maximum 300 words) Ensure that you write for a Non-Specialist Audience when outlining your response to the three points below: • Purpose of Research Activity • Proposed Research Question • Aims of Research Activity • Objectives of Research Activity Demonstrate, briefly, how Existing Research has informed the proposed activity and explain • What the research activity will add to the body of knowledge • How it addresses an area of importance.			
Purpose of Research Activity The research proposal explores the benefits on the importance of outdoor play for young children's development. The intention of this study is to analyse and understand the levels of interconnectivity to nature in children, practitioners and parents and how their levels influence a child's relationship with the outdoors. To measure nature relatedness in practitioners, parents and children using various methods. Through quantitative and qualitative methods this research could potentially clarify how parents and practitioners connection to nature could set limitations or enhance how children interact with nature.			
Research Question What evidence is there to support the importance of outdoor play for Young children's development?			
Aims of Research Activity To understand how the levels of nature relatedness in parents and practitioners and how these levels influence children in the early years and how the children relate to nature themselves.			
Objectives of Research Activity • To highlight the nature relatedness levels of practitioners and parents enhance or limit children's relationships to nature and what can be done to improve practise. • To understand how children perceive their outdoor environments • To understand how parents and practitioners connectedness to nature could influence how children behave in the natural environments			

- To understand how parents and practitioners connectedness to nature could influence how children relate to their natural environments

(this box should expand as you type)

Proposed methods (maximum 600 words)

Provide a brief summary of all the methods that **may** be used in the research activity, making it clear what specific techniques may be used. If methods other than those listed in this section are deemed appropriate later, additional ethical approval for those methods will be needed.

Methodologies used:

- Semi Structured 1:1 interviews with parents and practitioners to gather an understanding of their connectivity to nature. These interviews will be used as a qualitative method to deepen the understanding of the research.
- The Nature Relatedness Scale by Nisbet, Zelenski and Murphy 2009- this scale identifies an individuals level of connection to nature through 21 statements where the Participants respond to statements using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *strongly disagree*, 5 = *strongly agree*)
- NEP The *New Ecological Paradigm Scale* (Dunlap et al., 2000) is considered a measure of environmental world view. Participants rate 15 statements on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly agree*) to 5 (*strongly disagree*).
- Connectedness to Nature- Parents of Preschool Children scale by Sobko, Jia and Brown 2018 is a 16-item scale rating how parents perceive their children's connection to nature. This scale captures four major dimensions: enjoyment of nature, empathy for nature, responsibility toward nature, and awareness of nature.
- The Mosaic Approach (Clark and Moss, 2001) will be used with the children to ensure the voice of the child is heard. Children will use a range of photographs, maps, tours and informal discussions to communicate their thoughts and feelings towards nature.

(this box should expand as you type)

Location of research activity

Identify all locations where research activity will take place.

- A school setting within the Bridgend area
- An office within the school setting

(this box should expand as you type)

Research activity outside of the UK

If research activity will take place overseas, you are responsible for ensuring that local ethical considerations are complied with and that the relevant permissions are sought. Specify any local guidelines (e.g. from local professional associations/learned societies/universities) that exist and whether these involve any ethical stipulations beyond those usual in the UK (provide details of any licenses or permissions required). Also specify whether there are any specific ethical issues raised by the local context in which the research activity is taking place, for example, particular cultural and/or legal sensitivities or vulnerabilities of participants.

N/A

(this box should expand as you type)

Use of documentation not in the public domain: Are any documents NOT publicly available?	NO	☒
	YES	☐

If Yes, please provide details here of how you will gain access to specific documentation that is not in the public domain and that this is in accordance with prevailing data protection law of the country in question and England and Wales.

(this box should expand as you type)

SECTION F: Scope of Research Activity

Will the research activity include:	YES	NO
Use of a questionnaire or similar research instrument?	☒	☐
Use of interviews?	☒	☐
Use of diaries?	☐	☒
Participant observation with their knowledge?	☒	☐
Participant observation without their knowledge?	☐	☒
Use of video or audio recording?	☐	☒
Access to personal or confidential information without the participants' specific consent?	☐	☒
Administration of any questions, test stimuli, presentation that may be experienced as physically, mentally or emotionally harmful / offensive?	☐	☒
Performance of any acts which may cause embarrassment or affect self-esteem?	☐	☒
Investigation of participants involved in illegal activities?	☐	☒
Use of procedures that involve deception?	☐	☒
Administration of any substance, agent or placebo?	☐	☒
Working with live vertebrate animals?	☐	☒
Other primary data collection methods, please explain in this box For example, 'focus groups'. Please indicate the type of data collection method(s) in this box and tick the accompany box.	☐	☒
Details of any other primary data collection method: (this box should expand as you type)		

If NO to every question, then the research activity is (ethically) low risk and **may** be exempt from **some** of the following sections (please refer to Guidance Notes).

If YES to any question, then no research activity should be undertaken until full ethical approval has been obtained.

SECTION G: Intended Participants

Who are the intended participants:	YES	NO
Students or staff at the University?	☐	☒
Adults (over the age of 18 and competent to give consent)?	☒	☐
Vulnerable adults?	☐	☒
Children and Young People under the age of 18? (Consent from Parent, Carer or Guardian will be required)	☒	☐
Prisoners?	☐	☒
Young offenders?	☐	☒
Those who could be considered to have a particularly dependent relationship with the investigator or a gatekeeper?	☐	☒
People engaged in illegal activities?	☐	☒
Others (please identify specifically any group who may be unable to give consent) please indicate here and tick the appropriate box.	☐	☒
Other – please indicate here: (this box should expand as you type)		

Participant numbers and source	
Provide an estimate of the expected number of participants. How will you identify participants and how will they be recruited?	
How many participants are expected?	30 children 30 parents 4 teaching staff 64 in total
Who will the participants be?	Teaching staff from a year 1 class Children from the year 1 class Parents of children in the year 1 class
How will you identify the participants?	Through the Gatekeeper in this case the headteacher of the school.

Information for participants:	YES	NO	N/A
Will you describe the main research procedures to participants in advance, so that they are informed about what to expect?	☒	☐	☐
Will you tell participants that their participation is voluntary?	☒	☐	☐
Will you obtain written consent for participation?	☒	☐	☐
Will you explain to participants that refusal to participate in the research will not affect their treatment or education (if relevant)?	☒	☐	☐
If the research is observational, will you ask participants for their consent to being observed?	☒	☐	☐
Will you tell participants that they may withdraw from the research at any time and for any reason?	☒	☐	☐
With questionnaires, will you give participants the option of omitting questions they do not want to answer?	☒	☐	☐
Will you tell participants that their data will be treated with full confidentiality and that, if published, it will not be identifiable as theirs?	☒	☐	☐
Will you debrief participants at the end of their participation, in a way appropriate to the type of research undertaken?	☒	☐	☐
If NO to any of above questions, please give an explanation			
<i>(this box should expand as you type)</i>			

Information for participants:	YES	NO	N/A
Will participants be paid?	☐	☒	☐
Is specialist electrical or other equipment to be used with participants?	☐	☒	☐
Are there any financial or other interests to the investigator or University arising from this study?	☐	☒	☐
Will the research activity involve deliberately misleading participants in any way, or the partial or full concealment of the specific study aims?	☐	☒	☐
If YES to any question, please provide full details			
(this box should expand as you type)			

SECTION H: Anticipated Risks

Outline any anticipated risks that may adversely affect any of the participants, the researchers and/or the University, and the steps that will be taken to address them.

If you have completed a full risk assessment (for example as required by a laboratory, or external research collaborator) you may append that to this form.

Full risk assessment completed and appended?

Yes

☐

No

☒

Risks to participants

For example: emotional distress, financial disclosure, physical harm, transfer of personal data, sensitive organisational information

Risk to Participant:

- The participant may feel as though they should answer in a positive manner.
- The participant may feel embarrassed as they may feel their could be a right or wrong answer.
- The participant may feel angry as they may feel as though their professionalism is being questioned.
- The participant may feel angry as they may feel as though their parenting style is being questioned.

How will you mitigate the Risk to Participant

- The participants will be reassured that they do not have to answer any questions that they do not feel comfortable with at the start of any interview.
- The participants will be ensured that the questions are purely for research and there answers are respected.
- The questions will be carefully thought through.
- Ensure the participants feel at ease during the study.

(BERA, 2018 p.19)

If research activity may include sensitive, embarrassing or upsetting topics (e.g. sexual activity, drug use) or issues likely to disclose information requiring further action (e.g. criminal activity), give details of the procedures to deal with these issues, including any support/advice (e.g. helpline numbers) to be offered to participants. Note that where applicable, consent procedures should make it clear that if something potentially or actually illegal is discovered in the course of a project, it may need to be disclosed to the proper authorities

N/A

(this box should expand as you type)

Risks to investigator

For example: personal safety, physical harm, emotional distress, risk of accusation of harm/impropriety, conflict of interest

Risk to Investigator:

- Accused of inappropriate behaviour
- Accused of causing distress to participant
- Accused of inappropriate questioning
- Witness inappropriate practise

How will you mitigate the Risk to Investigator:

- Behave with full professionalism at all times.
- Minimise distress by putting the participants at ease and reminding them of their right to withdraw (BERA, 2018).
- Ensure to follow interview questions and brief the participants and gatekeeper on the research questions fully before conducting interviews. Ensure questions are ethically robust. (BERA, 2018).
- Report immediately to appropriate professional following the Safeguarding procedures of this setting.

University/institutional risks For example: adverse publicity, financial loss, data protection	
Risk to University: - Accused of behaving in an inappropriate manner that brings the Universities reputation into disrepute - The loss of data - Not abiding by ethical guidelines <i>(this box should expand as you type)</i>	How will you mitigate the Risk to University: - Take full responsibility for researching with integrity whilst representing the university in a respectful and professional manner (UWTSD, 2020). - Ensure all data is locked away safely and devices are encrypted and also kept in a safe place to minimise data being compromised (GDPR, 2018, p170). - Adhere to the ethical guidelines at all times during research study. (BERA, 2018, p.1). <i>(this box should expand as you type)</i>

Disclosure and Barring Service			
If the research activity involves children or vulnerable adults, a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) certificate must be obtained before any contact with such participants.	YES	NO	N/A
Does your research require you to hold a current DBS Certificate?	☒	☐	☐

SECTION I: Feedback, Consent and Confidentiality

Feedback

What de-briefing and feedback will be provided to participants, how will this be done and when?

This will be completed when the results of the findings are finalised. The participants of the research will be invited into the school setting for a discussion to discuss in detail the findings of the study.

(this box should expand as you type)

Informed consent

Describe the arrangements to inform potential participants, before providing consent, of what is involved in participating. Describe the arrangements for participants to provide full consent before data collection begins. If gaining consent in this way is inappropriate, explain how consent will be obtained and recorded in accordance with prevailing data protection legislation.

A detailed information letter of the research project will be passed through the Gatekeeper to the participants. An invitation will be sent to those willing to participate to attend a meeting to discuss in further detail what the research will entail. The voluntary participants will be provided with a consent form and reminded of their right to withdraw at any time (BERA, 2018).

Consent forms will be stored in a safe and locked space in an office within the setting. Computer used will be password protected and encrypted to ensure security (BERA, 2018; GDPR, 2018).

(this box should expand as you type)

Confidentiality / Anonymity

Set out how anonymity of participants and confidentiality will be ensured in any outputs. If anonymity is not being offered, explain why this is the case.

Geographical location of the school will be used, school name will be kept anonymous.

Participants will be kept anonymous by being identified through pseudonymous identities (ERIC, 2013) to ensure confidentiality is protected.

Research work will be kept in a locked cupboard in a secure office within the school (GDPR, 2018).

(this box should expand as you type)

SECTION J: Data Protection and Storage

In completing this section refer to the University's Research Data Management Policy and the extensive resources on the University's Research Data Management web pages (<http://uwtsd.ac.uk/library/research-data-management/>).

Does the research activity involve personal data (as defined by the General Data Protection Regulation 2016 "GDPR" and the Data Protection Act 2018 "DPA")?	YES	NO
"Personal data" means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person ('data subject'). An identifiable natural person is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identifier such as a name, an identification number, location data, an online identifier or to one or more factors specific to the physical, physiological, genetic, mental, economic, cultural or social identity of that natural person.	☐	☒
If YES, provide a description of the data and explain why this data needs to be collected:		
(this box should expand as you type)		
Does it involve special category data (as defined by the GDPR)?	YES	NO
"Special category data" means sensitive personal data consisting of information as to the data subjects' – (a) racial or ethnic origin, (b) political opinions, (c) religious beliefs or other beliefs of a similar nature, (d) membership of a trade union (within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992), (e) physical or mental health or condition, (f) sexual life, (g) genetics, (h) biometric data (as used for ID purposes),	☐	☒
If YES, provide a description of the special category data and explain why this data needs to be collected:		
(this box should expand as you type)		
Will the research activity involve storing personal data and/or special category data on one of the following:	YES	NO
Manual files (i.e. in paper form)?	☒	☐
University computers?	☐	☒
Private company computers?	☐	☒
Home or other personal computers?	☐	☒
Laptop computers/ CDs/ Portable disk-drives/ memory sticks?	☒	☐
"Cloud" storage or websites?	☐	☒
Other – specify:	☐	☒
For all stored data, explain the measures in place to ensure the security of the data collected, data confidentiality, including details of password protection, encryption, anonymisation and pseudonymisation:		
Participants will be kept anonymous by being identified through pseudonymous identities (ERIC, 2013) to ensure confidentiality is protected.		

Consent forms will be stored in a safe and locked space in an office within the setting. Computer used will be password protected and encrypted to ensure security (BERA,2018; GDPR, 2018). Research work will be kept in a locked cupboard in a secure office within the school (GDPR, 2018).

(this box should expand as you type)

All Data Storage

Will the research activity involve any of the following activities:	YES	NO
Electronic transfer of data in any form?	☐	☒
Sharing of data with others at the University?	☐	☒
Sharing of data with other organisations?	☐	☒
Export of data outside the European Union or importing of data from outside the UK?	☐	☒
Use of personal addresses, postcodes, faxes, emails or telephone numbers?	☐	☒
Publication of data that might allow identification of individuals?	☐	☒
Use of data management system?	☐	☒
Data archiving?	☐	☒

If YES to any question, please provide full details, explaining how this will be conducted in accordance with the GDPR and DPA (and/or any international equivalent):

(this box should expand as you type)

List all who will have access to the data generated by the research activity:

The Early Years Module Team for ECEY5001

(this box should expand as you type)

List who will have control of, and act as custodian(s) for, data generated by the research activity:

(this box should expand as you type)

Give details of data storage arrangements, including security measures in place to protect the data, where data will be stored, how long for, and in what form. Will data be archived – if so how and if not why not.

(this box should expand as you type)

Please indicate if your data will be stored in the UWTSD Research Data Repository (see <https://researchdata.uwtsd.ac.uk/>). If so please explain. *(Most relevant to academic staff)*

(this box should expand as you type)

Confirm that you have read the UWTSD guidance on data management (see https://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/library/research-data-management/)	YES	☒
	NO	☐
Confirm that you are aware that you need to keep all data until after your research has completed or the end of your funding	YES	☒
	NO	☐

Reference List

Bento, G. Dias, G. (2017) 'The importance of outdoor play for young children's healthy development', *Porto Biomedical Journal*, 2(5), pp. 157-160. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbj.2017.03.003.

British Educational Research Association (2018) *Ethical Guidelines for Educational Research*. Available at: <https://www.bera.ac.uk/resources/all-publications/resources-for-researchers> (Accessed on: 5th July 2020).

Brown, N. (2017) *The Mosaic approach according to Clark and Moss*. Available at: <http://www.nicole-brown.co.uk/the-mosaic-approach-according-to-clark-and-moss/> (Accessed on: 21st June 2020).

Burriss, K. G. and Burriss, L. (2011). 'Outdoor play and learning: Policy and practice', *International Journal of Education Policy and Leadership*, 6(8). Available at: <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ963739.pdf> (Accessed on: 4th July 2020).

Clark A and Moss P (2001) *Listening to young children: The Mosaic approach*. London: National Children's Bureau.

Clark A and Moss P (2005) *Spaces to play: More listening to young children using the Mosaic approach*. London: National Children's Bureau.

Clark A and Moss P (2011) *Listening to Young Children* (2nd edn). London: National Children's Bureau.

Clark, A. (2005) 'Ways of seeing: using the Mosaic approach to listen to young children's perspectives', in Clark, A., Kjørholt and Moss, P. (eds.) *Beyond Listening. Children's perspectives on early childhood services*. Bristol: Policy Press, pp. 29–49.

Department for Children, Education, Lifelong Learning and Skills [DCELLS] (2008), *Foundation Phase Framework for Children's Learning for 3 to 7-year-olds in Wales*. Cardiff: Welsh Assembly Government

Dillon, J. et al. (2006). 'The value of outdoor learning: evidence from research in the UK and elsewhere', *School Science Review*, 87(320), pp. 107-111. Available at: https://www.univie.ac.at/freilandidaktik/literatur/Dillon_School%20Sc.%20Rev._2006_The%20value%20of%20outdoor%20learning.pdf (Accessed on: 2nd July 2020).

Donnelly, J.E. et al. (2016) 'Physical Activity, Fitness, Cognitive Function, and Academic Achievement in Children: A Systematic Review', *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 48(6), pp.1197-1222. DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000901.

Dunlap R. E. et al. (2000) 'Measuring endorsement of the new ecological paradigm: a revised NEP scale', *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), pp. 425–442. DOI: 10.1111/0022-4537.00176

Durham University (2020) *Research Involving a 'Gatekeeper'*. Available at: <https://www.dur.ac.uk/redurhamsearch.innovation/governance/ethics/considerations/people/gatekeeper/> (Accessed on: 2nd July 2020).

Forest Schools Wales (2020) *Early Years and Primary at Forest School*. Available at: <https://www.forestschoolorwales.org.uk/ysgol-goedwigforest-school/early-years-primary/> (Accessed on: 1st July 2020).

Frost, J.L. and Shutterby, J.A. (2017) 'Outdoor Play Is Essential to Whole Child Development', *YC Young Children*, 72(3), pp. 82-85. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/90013690> (Accessed on 2nd July 2020).

General Data Protection Regulation (2018). Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/711097/guide-to-the-general-data-protection-regulation-gdpr-1-0.pdf (Accessed on: 2nd July 2020).

Gill, T. (2010) *Nothing Ventured... Balancing risks and benefits in the outdoors*. Available at: <https://www.englishoutdoorcouncil.org/wp-content/uploads/Nothing-Ventured.pdf> (Accessed on: 5th July 2020).

Harris, F. (2017) *Outdoor learning spaces: the case of forest school*. Hertfordshire: Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences. DOI: 10.1111/area.12360

Heary, C. and Guerin, S. 'Research with Children in Psychology: The Value of a Child-Centred Approach', *The Irish Journal of Psychology*, 27(1-2), pp. 6-7. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03033910.2006.10446222> (Accessed on: 19th June 2020).

Johnson, M. et al. (2017) 'Multiple triangulation and collaborative research using qualitative methods to explore decision making in pre-hospital emergency care', *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 17(11). Available at: <https://bmcmmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12874-017-0290-z> (Accessed on: 25th June 2020).

Joyce, R. (2012) *Outdoor Learning: Past and Present*. London: Open University Press.

Knight, S. (2009), *Forest Schools and Outdoor Learning in the Early Years*. London: Sage Publications Limited.

Louv, R. (2005) *Last Child in the Woods: Saving Our Children from Nature-Deficit Disorder*. Chapel Hill: Algonquin Press.

Marshall, C. (2016) *Face-to-Face Interviews - Advantages and Disadvantages*. Available at: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/face-to-face-interviews-advantages-disadvantages-charlie-marshall> (Accessed on: 3rd July 2020).

McFarland, L. and Laird, S.G. (2017) 'Parents' and Early Childhood Educators' Attitudes and Practices in Relation to Children's Outdoor Risky Play', *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 46(2), pp. 159–168. DOI 10.1007/s10643-017-0856-8.

Merriman, B. and Guerin, S. (2012) 'Using Children's Drawings as Data in Child-Centred Research', *The Irish Journal of Psychology*, 27(1-2), pp. 48-57. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03033910.2006.10446227> (Accessed on: 19th June 2020).

Moore, R.C. (1997) 'The Need for Nature: A Childhood Right', *Social Justice*, 24(3), pp. 203-220. Available at: <https://www.slideshare.net/pd81xz/zwy340> (Accessed on: 1st July 2020).

Muñoz, S. (2009). *Children in the Outdoors: A literature review*. Scotland: Sustainable Development Research Centre. Available at: <https://www.ltl.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/children-in-the-outdoors.pdf> (Accessed on: 1st July 2020). Last Ch

Nature Days (2018) *Outdoor Learning in the New Curriculum for Wales*. Available at: <https://naturedays.co.uk/outdoor-learning-in-the-new-curriculum-for-wales/> (Accessed on: 2nd July 2020).

Nisbet, E.K., Zelenski, J.M. and Murphy, S.A. (2008) 'The Nature Relatedness Scale: Linking Individuals' Connection With Nature to Environmental Concern and Behaviour', *Environment and Behaviour*, 41(5), pp. 715-740. DOI:[10.1177/0013916508318748](https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916508318748).

Noble, H. and Heale, R. (2019) *Triangulation in research, with examples*. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ebnurs-2019-103145> (Accessed on: 28th June 2020).

Ouvry, M. (2003) *Exercising Muscles and Minds: Outdoor play and the early years curriculum*. London: National Children's Bureau.

Ouvry, M. and Furtado, A. (2019) *Exercising Muscles and Minds: Outdoor play and the early years curriculum* 2nd Ed. London: National Children's Bureau.

Peacock, A. (2006). *Changing minds: The lasting impact of school trips*. Exeter, UK: University of Exeter. Available at: <https://promiseofplace.org/research-evaluation/research-and-evaluation/changing-minds-the-lasting-impact-of-school-trips> (Accessed on: 2nd July 2020).

Rickinson, M. et al. (2004) *A review of research on outdoor learning National Foundation for Educational Research*. London: National Foundation for Educational Research and King's College London. Available at: <https://www.informalscience.org/sites/default/files/Review%20of%20research%20on%20outdoor%20learning.pdf> (Accessed on: 6th July 2020).

Rodgers, A. (2018) *The Importance of Risky Play in Childhood*. Available at: <http://www.earlyyearsresources.co.uk/blog/2018/10/risky-play-importance/> (Accessed on: 20th June 2020).

Sandseter, E.B.H. (2007) 'Categorising risky play—How can we identify risk-taking in children's play?', *Article in European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 15(2), pp. 237-252. DOI: 10.1080/13502930701321733.

Saunders, C. (2016) 'An Exploration into the Facilitation of Risky Play Indoors', *International Journal of Undergraduate Research*, 9(2). Available at: https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/cross_fac/iatl/reinvention/archive/volume9issue2/saunders (Accessed on: 2nd July 2020).

Siraj- Blatchford, I. et al. (2002) *Researching Effective Pedagogy in the Early Years (REPEY)*. London: Department of Educational Studies University of Oxford. Available at: <https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/4650/1/RR356.pdf> (Accessed on: 4th July 2020).

Sobko, T., Jia, Z. and Brown, G. 'Measuring connectedness to nature in preschool children in an urban setting and its relation to psychological functioning', 13(11). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207057.

Tiley, C. (2017) *Qualitative Research: What is it and why should you use it?*. Available at: <https://www.onepoll.com/qualitative-research-what-is-it-and-why-should-you-use-it/> (Accessed on: 26th June 2020).

Tovey, H. (2007) *Playing outdoors*. London: Open University Press.

United Nations Children's Fund (2013) Ethical Research Involving Children. Available at: <https://childethics.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/ERIC-compendium-approved-digital-web.pdf> (Accessed on: 7th July 2010).

University of Wales Trinity St David (2020). *Research Ethics & Integrity Code of Practice*. Available at: <https://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/media/uwtsd-website/content-assets/documents/research/research-ethics-integrity-code-practice.pdf> (Accessed on: 6th July 2020).

Walker, R. and Solvason, C. *Success with your Early Years Research Project*. London: Sage Publications Ltd.

Welsh Government (2015) Foundation Phase Framework. Available at: <https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales-2008/foundation-phase/foundationphase-framework/> (Accessed on: 13th January 2020).

Wyver, S. and Little, H. (2008) 'Outdoor Play: Does Avoiding the Risks Reduce the Benefits?', *Australian Journal of Early Childhood*, 33(2), pp. 33-40. DOI 10.1177/183693910803300206.

SECTION K: Declaration

The information which I have provided is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge. I have attempted to identify any risks and issues related to the research activity and acknowledge my obligations and the rights of the participants. In submitting this application I hereby confirm that I undertake to ensure that the above named research activity will meet the University's Research Ethics and Integrity Code of Practice		
Signature of applicant:	S. John	Date:

For STUDENT Submissions:

Director of Studies/Supervisor:	Paul Darby	Date:
Signature:		

For STAFF Submissions:

Head of School/Assistant Dean:		Date:
Signature:		

Checklist: Please complete the checklist below to ensure that you have completed the form according to the guidelines and attached any required documentation:

☒	I have read the guidance notes supplied before completing the form.
☒	I have completed ALL RELEVANT sections of the form in full.
☒	I confirm that the research activity has received approval in principle
☒	I have attached a copy of final/interim approval from external organisation (where appropriate)
☒	I have attached a full risk assessment (and have NOT completed Section H of this form) (where appropriate) ONLY TICK IF YOU HAVE ATTACHED A FULL RISK ASSESSMENT
☒	I understand that it is my responsibility to ensure that the above named research activity will meet the University's Research Ethics and Integrity Code of Practice.
☒	I understand that before commencing data collection all documents aimed at respondents (including information sheets, consent forms, questionnaires, interview schedules etc.) must be confirmed by the DoS/Supervisor, module tutor or Head of School.
☒	I have deleted the guidance notes before submitting the PG2 for consideration

RESEARCH STUDENTS AND STAFF ONLY

All communications relating to this application during its processing must be in writing and emailed to pgresearch@uwtsd.ac.uk, with the title 'Ethical Approval' followed by your name.

You will be informed of the outcome of your claim by email; therefore it is important that you check your University and personal email accounts regularly.

STUDENTS ON UNDERGRADUATE OR TAUGHT MASTERS PROGRAMMES should submit this form (and receive the outcome) via systems explained to you by the supervisor/module leader.

This form is available electronically from the Academic Office web pages:

<http://www.uwtsd.ac.uk/academic-office/>

Application Process

All staff research projects and all research students must submit the Ethical Approval Form to the **University Ethics Committee** via the Academic Office (pgresearch@uwtsd.ac.uk). Staff research directly in relation to personal study for taught undergraduate or Masters programmes should be submitted via the Faculty procedures explained below.

Taught masters and taught undergraduate research Ethical Approval Forms are considered **within Faculties**. Faculties will provide details of the specific processes for this. Where the Ethical issues within any single ethical application are of particular concern the Faculty will refer these to the University Ethics Committee. Any student activity that involves the collection of primary data needs to undergo Ethical approval, this includes assignment work as well as dissertations.