



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

Protecting and Supporting Families in Health and Care

Name: Aysha Hussain

Course name: Protecting and supporting families in health and care settings

Lecturer name: Gillian weaver

Student ID:2319032

Introduction

The Early Help system has become an essential part of the UK system of safeguarding because it is aimed at offering preventative and proactive care to children and families at the earliest stage to ensure that no further complications arise. The Early Help services will target cases like family disintegration, financial, or early cases of neglect to make sure that children and youths are interceded as soon as possible. This intervention at an earlier stage is key to avoiding later and more radical statutory action. The system offers families the help they require to attain success through any difficulty by intervening at an early stage before the negative consequences become long-term (Chambers, Cantrell, and Booth, 2021). This report is an evaluation of how three local governments, Leeds City Council, Norfolk County Council, and Nottinghamshire County Council utilise their websites to spread information about Early Help services to families. The main concern is the convenience of the information, which is available on such websites, its understanding, and its efficiency. The report will examine the ways in which each of the authorities promotes its Early Help services and the means in which families can access them. The theses like the Early Help System Guide and Working Together to Safeguard Children 2023 will be read and utilized as the key sources all through the report since it will help to align with the system of governmental recommendations and situate the explanation of Early Help into the issue of safeguarding (Buivydaite et al., 2022).

The Early Help System

Early Help system is the practice that is designed to help families who might be in trouble to avoid the development of problems to a more serious level. The system is meant to come in at an early stage of the life of a child or family and provide a personalized care, which considers challenges like poor psychological well-being, domestic violence, low academic performance and drug abuse. It does not only target the child but the entire family, meaning that all the well-being of a family is covered (El-Asam et al., 2021). One of such issues is the importance of Early Help which is aimed at avoiding timing children and families to need statutory help. Through the way it offers specific assistance during the initial phases, the chances of children having to get into the care system are minimized. This matter is crucial, especially taking into account the long-term effects of children being placed into the care system that may affect their emotional, social and educational progress. Early Help means that children have an opportunity to develop in the stable and supportive atmosphere with families having both help and support to overcome the origin of their problems before they become severe (Cocker, Cooper, Holmes, and Bateman, 2021). The Early Help System Guide gives clear guidelines of how and what is required in the provision of Early Help. This advice will see to it that the services are synchronized and availed and emphasis is laid on ensuring that the problems become fixed. Working Together to Safeguard Children 2023 also emphasizes that a coordinated approach to early intervention is the only way to ensure that the agencies combine their efforts into offering holistic assistance to families. Parenting support, family therapy, health services, and education support are some of the various services included under this system of support to initiate collaboration to see to it that families receive the needed help when they are the right time (Langdon-Shreeve, Nickson, and Bright, 2021).

Multi-Agency Working

The multi-agency working represents one of the key elements of the Early Help system because it involves coordinating collaborators to support children and families with the help of many different professionals. Health, education, social care and other health-related professionals cooperate with each other to exchange information, expertise, and resources, which will help coordinate the reaction to the needs of the family. Multi-agency working is aimed at ensuring that families get the most suitable and timely help without needing to find their way into the maze of diversified services (Cocker et al., 2021). Among sensemeal advantages of multi-agency working, it is possible to note that this allows the professionals to focus on the whole spectrum of needs that could be met by families. As an instance, a family with domestic violence might require psychological help and housing services, as well as to take care of the educational and emotional growth of the children. Collaboration may enable professionals to develop a holistic support plan, covering all the areas of the family, so that the interventions can be timely and beneficial (Buivydaite et al., 2022). The multi-agency working is especially significant in safeguarding since an early detection of any risk to children can be made. Through collaboration, the professionals will be able to recognize the evidence of abuse or neglect at an early stage and act correspondingly. According to the Working Together to Safeguard Children 2023 guidelines, information sharing and collaboration in working contribute to the preservation of security of children because the situation in the family can be viewed and the risk factors can be noticed more accurately. The given collaborative method also helps the families to receive uninterrupted assistance to minimize the risks of repeat problems (El-Asam et al., 2021). Effective working of multi-agencies depends on effective communication and the provision of established guidelines on information exchange. It also involves the professionals to comprehend their roles, and duties as part of the safeguarding framework and to cooperate with each other in achieving shared objectives. Multi-agency working is not only more effective in

improving the outcomes of children and families but it also decreases the risks of children that can be taken into care or have serious long-term outcomes (Langdon-Shreeve et al., 2021).

Evaluation of Local Authority Websites

The efficiency of the website of a local authority in the process of informing about the Early Help services is instrumental in making sure that the families are able to utilize the help they require. Family members tend to access websites as the initial stage of seeking assistance, so it is necessary to make the information clearly displayed on such sites usable and easy-to-navigate. In this section, the websites of three local authorities, Leeds City Council, Norfolk County Council, and Nottinghamshire County Council are reviewed in the light of ensuring that the websites provide adequate information on Early Help to families. The site of the Leeds City Council is extremely clear and approachable. It also gives detailed descriptions of the services of Early Help, what kind of help such services are, and how to do it. The site is user-friendly and the layout is well formatted making the family to get information they require in a short time. Contact information of the applicable services is also available on the site to make sure that families can easily access the services to get additional assistance. Among the opportunities to improve, though, there is the lack of specific eligibility criteria, as well as the steps that should be followed to avail of the help of Early Help. Although the site is easy to use, more details on these points might make it not only more useful to families (Buivydaite et al., 2022). The site of Norfolk County Council offers more of the services but does not have clear signposting that the site of Leeds City Council has. Although it contains some of the most helpful descriptions of the services available, the information is at times covered with some general headings, and it may be harder to locate the information that would be of particular use to the users regarding Early

Help. The site needs to be better laid out and to show larger links to the major services.

Moreover, the referral procedure of the Early Help services is not always as transparent as it might be which can be confusing to the family that seeks assistance. These concerns do not eliminate the fact that the site contains some useful resources (including contact details of the corresponding agencies), which is essential in cases when families need to utilize assistance (Chambers et al., 2021). The site of the County Council of Nottinghamshire is the least effective in the issue of accessibility and clearness. Although there is all the necessary information about Early Help, the layout of the site is too crowded, and users might fail to locate the exact services required. The data is usually compiled in a congested form and this may prove overwhelming to families that need the assistance. Moreover, the instructions to access Early Help services are not very clear and the site does not have such structure as other two websites do. Better organisation, simplification of the information and explicit guidelines on how to get access to the services would highly improve the website in its functionality and ease of use (Cocker et al., 2021).

Comparative Analysis

Comparing the three websites of the local authorities, it is obvious that the most successful in conveying the Early Help services was the Leeds City Council, whose site was explicit in communication. It has a simple and convenient design, and the information is easily structured, with everyone in the family being able to find the assistance required. The site does a great job of displaying the provided services in an understandable and clear fashion, and it has contact details that families can be able to reach out to the concerned professionals with easily (Langdon-Shreeve et al., 2021). The site of Norfolk County Council has more services available but it does not provide information as user-friendly as the one provided by Leeds City Council. The information is not sign posted always and some very essential aspects of the referral

process are hard to find. Even though the site has very useful information, it can be made more functional with a more streamlined design and a more straightforward navigation (El-Asam et al., 2021). The site of Nottinghamshire County Council is the most ineffective. The site is not user-friendly and the display of information is too confusing although it does include certain information regarding the services offered by Early Help. The site might be improved by having a simpler structure and emphasizing more on the most important data, like eligibility and referral processes (Cocker et al., 2021).

Conclusion and Recommendations

Finally, the best-delivered information on Early Help services is the one offered by Leeds City Council through its website. It is easy to find the information when one requires help because the layout is clear and well organized. Nevertheless, it could use some more improving in the area of offering more specific eligibility criteria and referral guidelines. The site of the Norfolk County Council is extensive when compared to the Nottinghamshire County Council as their site has less intuitive navigation, whereas the site of County Council in Nottingham needs a great deal of refinement on ease of access and understandability. The three businesses of the local authorities are advised to simplify the layouts of their individual websites and make the most significant information readily accessible in order to strengthen communication of Early Help services. Websites ought to use signposting to important services, be more detailed on eligibility and referral, and contain multimedia (videos or interactive tutorials) to attract families. These gains would assist in ensuring the families have easy access to the Early Help services which they require and offer them the chance to receive assistance in time before issues get out of control.

References:

- Chambers, D., Cantrell, A., & Booth, A. (2021). Recognition of risk and prevention in safeguarding of children and young people: a mapping review and component analysis of service development interventions aimed at health and social care professionals. *BMC health services research*, 21(1), 1241.
- Buivydaite, R., Tsiachristas, A., Thomas, S., Farncombe, H., Perera-Salazar, R., Fitzpatrick, R., & Vincent, C. (2022). Understanding the impact of a new approach to the safeguarding of children at risk: An evaluation protocol. *International Journal of Integrated Care*, 22(4), 9.
- El-Asam, A., Katz, A., Street, C., Nazar, N. M., & Livanou, M. (2021). Children's services for the digital age: A qualitative study into current procedures and online risks among service users. *Children and youth services review*, 122, 105872.
- Langdon-Shreeve, S., Nickson, H., & Bright, C. (2021). Safeguarding and radicalisation: learning from children's social care. *London: Department for Education*.
- Cocker, C., Cooper, A., Holmes, D., & Bateman, F. (2021). Transitional Safeguarding: presenting the case for developing Making Safeguarding Personal for young people in England. *The Journal of Adult Protection*, 23(3), 144-157.