



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

International Business Communications (LCBB6001) Individual Component

1: Coursework:

Individual Essay

Name of Student: Prabhjot Kaur

Student ID: 2216654

Submission Date: 28/03/2025

Lecturer: Rexon Nting

Table of Content

Introduction.....	3
Main Body	4
Conclusion	12
References.....	13

List of Tables

Table 1. <i>Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions of UK and Japan</i>	4
Table 2. <i>High-Context vs. Low-Context Communication of UK and Japan</i>	4
Table 3. <i>Trompenaars' Cultural Framework implement on UK and japan</i>	5
Table 4. challenges related to the Business Communication in an International Context.....	5
Table 5. Japanese and UK Communication Styles	6
Table 6. Different Decision Making Approach	7
Table 7. Negotiation Strategies of These Countries	7

Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected global business environment, effective communication is a critical factor in ensuring successful collaboration across cultures. International business communication involves the exchange of information between companies operating in different countries, often requiring an understanding of cultural differences, language barriers, and diverse communication styles (Washington et al., 2012; Derudder and Witlox, 2016; Buckley et al., 2022). This essay focuses on business communication between the UK and Japan, particularly within the automotive industry, where multinational collaborations play a key role in innovation and market expansion. As the Country Director of a UK-based multinational company, task was assigned related to leading a cross-cultural team. This team can be lead with formation of recent partnership between Jaguar Land Rover (UK) and Toyota (Japan). The collaboration aims to develop and market new automotive products. Moreover, this collaboration is also beneficial in necessitating seamless communication between employees from both countries.

However, the different factors can lead to misunderstandings and inefficiencies in which communication styles, workplace norms, and cultural expectations are most prominent. Focus on these differences gives opportunities to foster productive teamwork and ensure the project's success. This essay aims to evaluate the differences in communication styles between UK and Japanese teams that could lead to potential miscommunication. By applying cultural dimension theories, such as Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions, high-context and low-context communication theory, and Trompenaars' 7 Dimensions of Culture, the analysis will provide theoretical insights into the challenges faced and propose strategies to enhance communication effectiveness. The primary research question addressed in this essay is:

- How do cultural differences between the UK and Japan impact business communication, and what strategies can be implemented to bridge these gaps?

The essay will begin by exploring key communication theories and their relevance in cross-cultural business interactions. It will then analyse the specific communication differences between UK and Japanese teams, identifying potential areas of conflict. Following this, the discussion will focus on practical strategies to enhance communication effectiveness, drawing from real-world examples in the automotive industry. Finally, the conclusion will summarise key findings and provide recommendations for overcoming communication challenges in international business settings.

Main Body

Section 1: Evaluating Divergent International Cultures: Intercultural Communication Theories

Effective international business communication requires an understanding of cultural differences and communication models that influence interactions across borders (Ting-Toomey and Dorjee, 2018). Several key theories help explain how cultural factors impact business communication

Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory

Hofstede (1980) identified six dimensions of national culture that shape communication styles (Khelif, 2016)

Table 1. *Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions of UK and Japan*

Dimension	Power Distance	Individualism	Uncertainty Avoidance
UK (Low-Context)	Low (Flat hierarchy)	High (Direct communication)	Low (Flexible approach)
Japan (High-Context)	High (Formal hierarchy)	Low (Group consensus)	High (Detailed planning)
Impact	UK prefers open discussions; Japan relies on senior decisions.	UK employees express opinions openly; Japan values harmony.	UK adapts quickly; Japan requires structured plans.

High-Context vs. Low-Context Communication Theory

Hall (1976) classified cultures based on how much context influences communication (Levitt, 2022)

Table 2. *High-Context vs. Low-Context Communication of UK and Japan*

Factor	Style	Meetings	Written Communication
UK (Low-Context)	Direct explicit	Task-focused quick decisions	Concise clear
Japan (High-Context)	Indirect relies on non-verbal cues	Relationship-based consensus-driven	Polite requires extensive context

Trompenaars' Cultural Framework

Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner (1997) proposed seven cultural dimensions relevant to business communication (Sattorovich, 2020) One key contrast between the UK and Japan is:

Table 3. *Trompenaars' Cultural Framework implement on UK and japan*

Dimension	United Kingdom (UK)	Japan	Effect on Business Communication
Universalism vs. Particularism	Universalism – Rules apply to all	Particularism – Relationships matter	UK teams expect contracts to define business relations, while Japanese teams value long-term trust.
Achievement vs. Ascription	Achievement – Performance-based	Ascription – Seniority-based	UK promotions depend on performance, while Japan considers seniority and loyalty.

Section 2: Business Communication in an International Context (Intercultural communication)

Intercultural communication plays a vital role in global business by ensuring mutual understanding among diverse teams. Digital communication tools have further globalised business interactions, reducing geographical constraints but introducing new challenges such as language barriers and misinterpretations due to cultural differences (Hua, 2018).

Table 4. challenges related to the Business Communication in an International Context

Challenge	UK	Japan	Potential Miscommunication
Language	Direct, idiomatic	Indirect, honorifics	UK can misinterpret politeness as hesitation.
Decision-Making	Quick, task-focused	Slow, group consensus	UK may see delays as inefficiency; Japan may view UK as impatient.
Digital Communication	Clear, direct emails	Prefers non-verbal cues	UK reliance on emails may lead to misinterpretation.

Studies on global business communication highlight the significance of cultural adaptation. Hong (2021) emphasised that expatriate managers must develop cross-cultural competence to bridge communication gaps effectively. Similarly, Presbitero (2020) found that virtual teams require cultural intelligence to navigate communication barriers successfully. A real-world case study of Toyota and Jaguar Land Rover reveals how cultural misalignment can impact business collaboration. In a joint venture between Japanese and Western firms, Japanese teams prioritised harmony and indirect communication, while UK teams preferred direct and explicit instructions, leading to initial friction in project execution (Pathak, 2016). Over time, training on cultural awareness and adaptive communication strategies helped resolve these challenges.

Communication Styles: Direct vs. Indirect

Cultural differences between the UK and Japan impact communication styles, decision-making, and workplace dynamics, leading to potential misunderstandings in business collaborations between companies like Jaguar Land Rover and Toyota.

Table 5. Japanese and UK Communication Styles

Japanese Issues with UK Approach	UK Issues with Japanese Approach
UK employees talk too much in meetings, even when unnecessary.	Japanese employees don't speak up in meetings, making it unclear why they attend.
UK professionals make decisions too quickly with insufficient information.	The Japanese decision-making process is slow due to excessive focus on details.
UK teams come to meetings with decisions already made, leaving no room for discussion.	Japanese teams don't prepare adequately for meetings, making decision-making difficult.
The UK work culture prioritises work over personal life.	Japanese employees prioritise personal life, which UK teams may see as lacking commitment.
The UK expects straightforward answers (e.g., "no" means "no").	Japanese communication is more nuanced, and "yes" does not always mean agreement.

Such misalignments can lead to frustration, inefficiency, and miscommunication. A case study of Toyota and Jaguar Land Rover demonstrated how UK managers expected immediate, decisive

action, while their Japanese counterparts preferred careful, long-term decision-making, initially causing project delays (Pivotal Marketing and PhilH, 2018).

Decision-Making and Problem-Solving Approaches

Table 6. Different Decision Making Approach

UK Approach	Japanese Approach
Fast decisions based on available information.	Slow, consensus-driven decision-making (ringi system).
Quick decisions, but also quick to change them if needed.	Once a decision is made, it is rarely changed.
Focuses on immediate problem-solving.	Prioritises long-term, stable relationships.

According to Presbitero (2020), global virtual teams struggle when cultures have differing decision-making speeds, requiring structured communication strategies to bridge these gaps.

Business Negotiation and Teamwork

Table 7. Negotiation Strategies of These Countries

UK	Japan
Prefers direct negotiation, focusing on facts and contracts.	Prefers relationship-building and trust over rigid contracts.
Expects quick responses and flexibility.	Requires internal alignment before responding, causing delays.
Criticism is openly given and accepted.	Negative feedback is softened to avoid loss of face.

This insight is further supported by a 2024 survey conducted in Japan, where 30 percent (30%) of respondents believed that international cultural exchange plays a critical role in improving Japan's image globally through enhanced cultural understanding and internationalisation (Japan: opinion on positive impact of international cultural exchange 2023 | Statista, 2023). Additionally, a similar proportion of respondents argued that stable international relationships are built upon better mutual understanding and trust, aligning with the views expressed by Hong (2021) and Presbitero (2020) on the necessity of cultural competence in fostering successful international collaborations. The survey's findings reinforce the importance of cultural intelligence in global business interactions, especially when collaborating across different cultural contexts such as Japan and the UK.

Section 3: Analysis & Discussion

Effective international business communication is important for success in a globalised world. However, companies operating across borders often face key communication challenges. The major barriers to international business success arise from language differences between parties and social traditions between teams and technical challenges.

Language Barriers

An international business faces one of its most important barriers from language differences being present in operations. The language skills of global team members impact message clarity which results in communication issues and less efficient work processes. A research investigation by Podhovnik (2013) showed that language barriers regularly produce message misinterpretations during communication exchanges. This utilises idioms or cultural references unknown to the different cultural groups involved. The complexity of language in UK and Japanese communication patterns produces major confusion in both decision-making and negotiation processes (Kameda, 2001).

Cultural Differences

Multinational teams develop their communication patterns based on the significant effect of cultural variations. Hofstede's (1980) Cultural Dimensions Theory shows the UK as a low power distance nation that supports egalitarian methods in decision-making but Japan utilises hierarchical communication because of its high power distance characteristics. Japanese employees tend to avoid confronting their superiors and withholding contrary opinions under Japanese norms but UK employees expect open senior-level feedback during interactions. Differing business practices between these countries create negotiation obstacles that block creative communication and diminish teamwork effectiveness as well as innovation output (Zhang and Lin, 2019)

Non-Verbal Communication

Non-verbal communication serves as an essential communication aspect which tends to produce misinterpretations. Culture creates meaningful differences in nonverbal communication signals through body language alongside eye contact and gestures according to Hall (1976). Non-verbal signals in Japan as a high-context culture bear profound meanings so simple nonverbal expressions or periods of silence in communication hold important significance. The UK being a low-context culture focuses heavily on direct word-based communication which creates opportunities for wrong interpretations between members. According to Okoro (2013) and Fiset et al. (2023),

misinterpretation of body language and facial expressions produces frustration mostly when people from different cultures interact with each other.

Technology in Communication

When it comes to digital communication platforms, it serves as a bridge for enhancing international business interactions. Kameda (2001) mentioned that virtual teams act as an effective platform utilised in international business. Sometimes they face challenges in terms of synchronous communication. He further mentioned that they experience obstacles when teams are dispersed across time zones. Miscommunication can arise due to a lack of visual cues, delayed responses, and difficulty in interpreting tone. Same as other countries, UK and Japanese teams are expedient these changes due to the cultural differences in communication styles. Japanese teams' preference for indirect communication. On the other hand, UK teams' direct approach. Therefore, it creates conflict between them particularly in written communication. Just because tone and intent can be easily misinterpreted in written communication. In addition, miscommunication can effects business operations and relationships between UK and Japanese teams. The following sections explore these impacts in detail:

Negotiations

Miscommunication in negotiations can have serious consequences. international communication fails between UK and Japanese professionals due to their differing use of directness and politeness as interaction styles. UK professionals commonly interpret Japanese communication approach by viewing indirectness combined with opposition to confrontation as signals of avoidant behaviour and unclear communication. Japanese professionals perceive UK directness in negotiations as disrespectful which results in communication breakdowns between parties as mentioned by Du-Babcock and Tanaka (2013) and Zakaria (2017) research.

Teamwork

Miscommunication also affects team dynamics. At the start of their joint venture operation Japanese employees along with UK professionals had trouble adjusting between their work approaches. The British team members expressed dissatisfaction because their Japanese colleagues did not provide clear feedback and needs too much time for decisions. The quick nature of UK team decisions led Japanese employees to view these choices as foolish and ill-considered for future effects. The absence of harmony between groups generates negative impacts that weaken team spirit as well as diminish faith which eventually deteriorates productivity levels.

Leadership

The manner through which leaders communicate affects their leadership style according to cultural background. Leaders in the United Kingdom need to maintain wide accessibility while allowing feedback from their teams to create productive partnerships. The Japanese leadership relies on top-down authority which allows senior personnel to make choices before notifying their teams. The different leadership approaches expected by UK and Japanese team members create mutual conflict in multinational organisations because UK teams prefer consultation whereas Japanese teams seek directive leadership.

Section 4: Solutions & Best Practices

To ensure seamless collaboration between Jaguar Land Rover (UK) and Toyota (Japan), as the director of the UK, its important ensure seamless collaboration between Jaguar Land Rover (UK) and Toyota (Japan). Therefore, implementing effective communication strategies is essential (Effective Strategies for Global Business Communication).

Training Programs & Cross-Cultural Awareness

- Conduct intercultural training workshops for employees from both regions, focusing on cultural norms, business etiquette, and preferred communication styles.
- Use Hofstede's cultural dimensions to train UK employees on Japan's high power distance and collectivist nature, while Japanese employees can learn about the UK's lower power distance and individualistic approach.
- Organise role-playing exercises to simulate potential workplace interactions and misunderstandings, helping teams develop cultural intelligence.

Multilingual Strategies

- While English is widely used in business, incorporating Japanese language support for Toyota employees can foster better engagement.
- Provide translation assistance and bilingual facilitators during meetings to prevent misinterpretations.
- Encourage a "simple English" policy, ensuring clear, concise messaging to accommodate non-native speakers.

Given the geographic distance between the UK and Japan, technology plays a critical role in overcoming communication barriers (Technological Innovations & Digital Communication).

AI-Powered Translation Tools

- Utilise AI-driven translation platforms like DeepL and Google Translate to bridge language gaps in written communications.
- Implement real-time speech translation software during virtual meetings to improve comprehension.

Virtual Communication Platforms

- Use Microsoft Teams, Zoom, or Slack for regular updates, ensuring transparency and continuous engagement.
- Implement asynchronous communication tools like project management software (Trello, Asana) to accommodate different time zones and work styles.

Cultural Adaptation in Digital Communication

- Japanese teams often prefer structured, formal communication, while UK teams may be more direct and informal. Setting clear email etiquette and virtual meeting protocols can minimise misunderstandings.
- Provide training on non-verbal cues in virtual interactions, as cultural perceptions of silence, gestures, and hierarchy differ significantly.

To sustain effective communication and collaboration between Jaguar Land Rover and Toyota, the following policies should be implemented (Policy & Practical Recommendation)

Establish a Global Communication Framework

- Develop a joint communication protocol that outlines expectations for email responses, meeting structures, and reporting standards.
- Assign liaison officers from both companies to facilitate interdepartmental communication.

Encourage Cross-Border Team Building

- Organise cultural exchange programs where employees from the UK and Japan visit each other's offices.
- Implement mentorship programs pairing UK and Japanese employees to foster mutual understanding.

Continuous Feedback & Adaptation

- Regularly review communication strategies and collect feedback through surveys and focus groups.
- Adapt strategies based on evolving team dynamics and technological advancements.

By adopting these solutions, Jaguar Land Rover and Toyota can build a collaborative, culturally aware, and efficient global workforce, ensuring long-term success in their joint venture.

Conclusion

Effective communication is vital for international business success. This essay examined the differences in communication styles between two famous companies Jaguar Land Rover (UK) and Toyota (Japan). This report highlights cultural variations that can cause misunderstandings. Hofstede's dimensions and the high-context vs. low-context framework reveal Japan's collectivist, high-context culture contrasts. In addition, the UK's individualist, low-context approach. These differences affect leadership, decision-making, and teamwork. Addressing them through cultural training and adaptive leadership enhances collaboration. Future research should explore digital communication's role in cross-cultural teamwork. From future perspective, research must target industry-specific challenges in global business negotiations and decision-making.

References

- Buckley, P.J., Enderwick, P. and Voss, H. eds., 2022. *International business*. Oxford University Press.
- Derudder, B. and Witlox, F., 2016. *International business travel in the global economy*. Routledge.
- Du-Babcock, B. and Tanaka, H., 2013. A comparison of the communication behaviors of Hong Kong Chinese and Japanese business professionals in intracultural and intercultural decision-making meetings. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 27(3), pp.263-287.
- Fiset, J., Bhawe, D.P. and Jha, N. (2023). The Effects of Language-Related Misunderstanding at Work. *Journal of Management*, [online] 50(1), pp.347–379. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063231181651>.
- Hong, J.H., 2021. A global strategy of a company that uses culture content as its core business. *The Journal of Industrial Distribution & Business*, 12(6), pp.37-46.
- Hua, Z., 2018. *Exploring intercultural communication: Language in action*. Routledge.
- Japan: opinion on positive impact of international cultural exchange 2023 | Statista. (2023). *Statista*. [online] doi: <https://doi.org/1065000/1066394-blank-355>.
- Kameda, N. (2001). The implication of language style in business communication: focus on English versus Japanese. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, [online] 6(3), pp.144–149. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/eum0000000005738>.
- Kameda, N., 2001. The implication of language style in business communication: focus on English versus Japanese. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 6(3), pp.144-149.
- Khelif, H., 2016. Hofstede's cultural dimensions in accounting research: a review. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 24(4), pp.545-573.
- Levitt, S.R., 2022. Intercultural competence in international teamwork: Understanding high-and low-context communication styles. *Communication and Media in Asia Pacific*, 5(1), pp.1-13.
- Okoro, E., 2013. International organisations and operations: An analysis of cross-cultural communication effectiveness and management orientation. *Journal of Business & Management (COES&RJ-JBM)*, Volume1, (1).
- Pathak, A.A., 2016. Tata Motors' successful cross-border acquisition of Jaguar Land Rover: key take-aways. *Strategic Direction*, 32(9), pp.15-18.

- Pivotal Marketing and PhilH (2018). *Business Cultural Differences Between UK & Japan* | GBC. [online] Global Business Culture. Available at: <https://www.globalbusinessculture.com/cultural-awareness/uk-japan-cultural-differences/> [Accessed 12 Mar. 2025].
- Podhovnik, E. (2013). Language in International Business. Diminishing the Cross-cultural Divide with Cultural Scripts. *ResearchGate*. [online] doi: <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.3048.4486>.
- Presbitero, A., 2020. Foreign language skill, anxiety, cultural intelligence and individual task performance in global virtual teams: A cognitive perspective. *Journal of International Management*, 26(2), p.100729.
- Sattorovich, J.U., 2020. Intercultural difference parameters: Hofstede and Trompenaars theories. *European Journal of Research and Reflection in Educational Sciences*, 8(11), pp.115-124.
- Ting-Toomey, S. and Dorjee, T., 2018. *Communicating across cultures*. Guilford Publications.
- Washington, M.C., Okoro, E.A. and Thomas, O., 2012. Intercultural communication in global business: An analysis of benefits and challenges. *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 11(2), pp.217-222.
- Zakaria, N., 2017. Emergent patterns of switching behaviors and intercultural communication styles of global virtual teams during distributed decision making. *Journal of International Management*, 23(4), pp.350-366.
- Zhang, L. and Lin, N., 2019, October. Would British Business Management Style be affected by the Japanese Approach in the Context of Globalisation?. In *2019 2nd International Conference on Education, Economics and Social Science (ICEESS 2019)* (pp. 149-152). Atlantis Press.