

Globalization and Technological Advances: A Philosophical Lens on Social Media Advertising

Lei Mao 2406288

Introduction

In today's world, shaped by rapid globalisation and technological advancements, advertising has undergone significant transformations. Social media platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, and TikTok have become pivotal tools in marketing strategies, enabling brands to reach global audiences with unprecedented precision. My research focuses on exploring "How do advertising strategies on social media attract a broader range of customers through the technological advancements of globalisation?" This study seeks to uncover the intersection between culture, technology, and human behaviour, and to understand how advertisers balance global reach with local relevance.

Research Significance and Scope

Globalisation has blurred traditional market boundaries, creating interconnected economies and shared cultural spaces. Scholars have provided contrasting interpretations of globalisation's impact. For example, Theodore Levitt (1983) predicted that global markets would become increasingly unified, reducing the importance of cultural differences. In contrast, John Tomlinson (1999) argued that globalisation often represents the expansion of Western culture, which prompts the need for cultural recognition and protection. These perspectives have shaped advertising practices in significant ways.

Levitt's view of market unification encouraged the early adoption of standardised global advertising strategies. For instance, international brands often deployed uniform images and

messages to appeal to a worldwide audience. However, this approach frequently overlooked the complexities of cultural differences, leading to cross-cultural misunderstandings and consumer backlash. Conversely, Tomlinson's argument about cultural expansion has led advertisers to prioritise localisation and cultural sensitivity in their campaigns. Successful examples, such as Coca-Cola's culturally tailored advertisements in different countries, highlight the importance of aligning brand communication with local values and traditions.

Furthermore, the rise of social media has intensified these dynamics. The speed and reach of social media advertising present brands with unprecedented opportunities while simultaneously exposing them to significant risks. A well-designed advertisement can strengthen emotional connections between a brand and its consumers, while a poorly conceived campaign can destroy trust in an instant. The immediacy of social media amplifies both positive and negative impacts, making effective crisis management more crucial than ever. This research aims to demonstrate advertising's critical role as a form of cross-cultural communication, especially in the digital era, where brands must navigate the dual challenges of globalisation and localisation.

Personal Motivation and Research Objectives

The choice to study cross-cultural advertising stems from my diverse personal experiences and a deep curiosity about the interaction between technology, culture, and consumer behaviour. My educational journey spans several countries and disciplines: I completed my undergraduate degree in journalism in China, where I gained a solid foundation in communication studies. Later, I pursued a master's degree in journalism in Japan, where the discipline is classified under the Faculty of Law. This unique context encouraged me to analyse media and communication issues from a legal and regulatory perspective. Currently, in the United Kingdom, I am studying art and design, which offers fresh opportunities to explore the intersection of creativity and technology.

My professional experiences are equally varied. I worked as a copywriter and planner in a 4A advertising agency in Shenzhen, gaining hands-on experience in creating and implementing

advertising campaigns. In Tokyo, I worked in the legal department of a Sony subsidiary, which enhanced my understanding of industry regulations and ethical considerations. Presently, I teach in the School of Communication at a Chinese university, combining practice and research to mentor future communication professionals.

These experiences have shaped my understanding of advertising's critical role in cross-cultural communication. In the age of social media, the speed and scale of advertising create unparalleled opportunities while posing significant challenges. A successful advertisement can forge deep emotional connections, whereas a poorly executed one can erode consumer trust almost instantly. My research seeks to demonstrate advertising's importance as a form of cross-cultural communication and explore how technologies such as big data and artificial intelligence can support advertising strategies. I aim to analyse how these innovations help brands balance globalisation and localisation to build trust and expand their influence worldwide.

Section 1: Significance of Philosophical Foundations

Understanding the philosophical foundations of one's thinking is crucial for creative and research practices. As Denscombe (2010, p. 125) argues, reflexivity—the ability to critically examine one's assumptions and beliefs—ensures that practitioners maintain a clear and coherent approach to their work. This critical engagement not only helps in addressing potential biases but also fosters authenticity and intentionality in creative outputs.

Philosophical reflection aligns methodologies with ethical and intellectual priorities, ensuring that work remains meaningful and relevant.

The Online World and the Real World

A worldview is inherently multifaceted, encompassing an individual's cultural, social, and

personal experiences. It would be reductive to define someone's worldview solely based on their perspective within the digital realm. However, as the internet continues to reshape human interaction and communication, understanding how an individual navigates and interprets the online world can provide valuable insights into their broader worldview. This perspective is particularly relevant to my research, which focuses on social media advertising. By examining the dynamics of how one engages with the internet and its cultural manifestations, it becomes possible to infer key elements of their worldview.

For example, McLuhan's (1964) theory that "the medium is the message" highlights how technological platforms do not merely transmit content but actively shape how individuals perceive and interact with the world. Similarly, Baudrillard's (1981) concept of the "simulacrum" and the creation of a hyperreal environment provides a framework for understanding how digital spaces construct realities that influence personal and collective worldviews. Walter Lippmann's (1922) concept of the "pseudo-environment" further supports this by arguing that individuals often interpret reality through the mediated representations provided by technologies, such as social media platforms.

Although Lippmann's theory is often applied to critique the negative aspects of media influence, such as distorting information or shaping biased public perceptions, I choose to employ it as a framework for understanding how people actively engage with and interpret diverse and complex realities through the internet. Rather than merely a space for passive information consumption, the pseudo-environment can also be seen as an arena for reconstructing beliefs and fostering meaningful social interactions. This interpretation offers a balanced perspective for exploring the worldview shaped by the internet.

In this context, my research adopts the internet worldview as a lens to reflect on my own beliefs. As someone focused on social media advertising, I find this perspective particularly compelling because the digital world is both the subject of my academic inquiry and a critical component of my personal experiences. While the internet worldview does not fully encapsulate my broader worldview, it serves as a reflective surface to better understand how technology and culture interact to shape human perceptions.

Therefore, I will now describe my personal understanding of the internet world to further explain my worldview and its influence on my creative practice.

During my high school years in 2007, I actively engaged with the burgeoning internet culture in China. I witnessed the transition from early SNS platforms like Tianya Forum and Mop to the rise of Renren (the "Facebook of China") and the transformative "Weibo Era" during my university studies. These platforms not only fostered social interaction but also introduced phenomena such as opinion leaders and public opinion supervision, which reshaped how information was disseminated and consumed. Johnston (2014, p. 19) emphasizes that such technological developments are deeply rooted in their cultural and societal contexts, shaping their evolution and impact.

Although I missed the firsthand experience of China's rapid growth in mobile payment and shared economy during my time studying in Japan in 2015, I observed the rise of short video platforms like TikTok in global markets. This experience highlighted the differing ecologies of Chinese and international social media platforms. For instance, while WeChat and Line or Weibo and Twitter offer similar core functionalities, their evolution reflects contrasting regulatory frameworks, audience demands, and cultural preferences. Al-Ababneh (2020, p. 135) points out that these differences underscore the social and cultural dynamics that inform technology's design and usage.

These experiences encouraged me to critically evaluate the dynamic relationship between technology and culture. They showed me that technologies are not isolated tools but deeply intertwined with societal structures and cultural values. As Castells (2009) posits, technology acts as both a catalyst for societal transformation and a medium for cultural expression. Recognizing this, I strive to design creative outputs that respect local cultural contexts while leveraging the universal potential of technology to foster inclusivity and connection.

The Philosophical Foundations in Advertising

Ontology (the study of being) and epistemology (the study of knowledge) form the

philosophical backbone of creative practices, influencing every stage of conceptualization and execution. Johnston (2014, p. 22) notes that understanding these dimensions enables practitioners to critically assess their assumptions and align their methodologies with their creative goals. For example, in advertising, ontological clarity helps creators identify the cultural values their campaigns aim to reflect, while epistemological rigor ensures these values are conveyed effectively to the audience.

Take the interpretivist paradigm as an example: it prioritizes subjective interpretations and cultural nuances over universal truths. This approach is particularly valuable in advertising, where emotional resonance and cultural relevance often outweigh quantifiable metrics. Denscombe (2010, p. 129) highlights that incorporating such perspectives fosters a deeper understanding of audience behaviour and societal impact, enabling creators to craft campaigns that resonate meaningfully across diverse contexts.

The Philosophical Foundations in Cross-Culturalism

Philosophical reflection enhances creative practice by fostering a critical understanding of personal assumptions, societal norms, and their interplay with creative outputs. As Johnston (2014, p. 35) asserts, linking theoretical insights with practical applications allows creators to produce work that is both intellectually rigorous and culturally responsive. This integration is particularly crucial in dynamic fields like advertising, where campaigns must navigate global diversity while addressing specific cultural sensitivities.

Reflecting on my philosophical foundations, I approach advertising as a medium for cross-cultural dialogue rather than mere persuasion. This perspective informs my creative choices, ensuring that my work aligns with broader societal goals while effectively engaging with diverse cultural contexts.

Duchamp and Mondrian: Ontology in Art

The ontological clarity of an artist's worldview often influences their creative outputs,

shaping not only the themes they explore but also the methods they employ. For instance, Marcel Duchamp's *Fountain* (1917) exemplifies his ontological position that art need not be tied to craftsmanship or aesthetic beauty, but instead can emerge from the artist's intent and the context of presentation. By selecting an everyday object—a porcelain urinal—and signing it “R. Mutt,” Duchamp redefined it as art, challenging traditional notions of artistic creation.

Duchamp's *Fountain* serves as a powerful demonstration of how an artist's ontology can reshape their practice. His belief that art is rooted in concept and selection rather than physical creation influenced not only his work but also the emergence of conceptual art. This ontological shift has had a profound impact on the broader art world, inspiring movements that prioritize ideas over materials, such as pop art and installation art.

Similarly, Piet Mondrian's *Composition C No. 3 with Red, Yellow, and Blue* (1935) offers another compelling example of ontology influencing creative practice. Mondrian's philosophical perspective was deeply rooted in his belief in universal harmony and order. Influenced by Theosophy, he sought to depict the underlying structure of the universe through simplicity and balance in his artworks.

In *Composition C No. 3*, Mondrian reduced the composition to basic geometric forms and primary colors, emphasizing dynamic equilibrium through the careful arrangement of horizontal and vertical lines. This work reflects his ontological stance that art should transcend individual expression and connect with universal truths. By stripping away the unnecessary and focusing on essential forms, Mondrian's practice aimed to reveal the fundamental harmony underlying all things.

These examples underscore the importance of examining the philosophical foundations of one's beliefs, as they influence not only the content but also the societal resonance of creative practices. Mondrian's works, like Duchamp's, demonstrate how ontological clarity can redefine not only personal artistic practice but also broader artistic movements, offering lasting contributions to art and culture.

Section 2: Philosophical and Theoretical Foundations of Cross-Cultural Advertising

Technology as a Cultural Phenomenon

My worldview is founded on the belief that technology is not merely a tool but a cultural phenomenon that profoundly shapes how we perceive and interact with the world. This conviction has been deeply influenced by my personal upbringing and my experiences of living, studying, and working across different countries.

Throughout my academic and professional journey, I have observed significant differences in how Eastern and Western countries utilise and develop social media. For instance, during the early stages of social media development, Western nations often positioned it as a platform for free expression and personal branding, whereas in Eastern countries, it was more frequently adopted for commercial purposes and fostering community interaction. Such differences are evident even between Eastern nations themselves, as exemplified by China and Japan. Chinese platforms like WeChat and Tiktok are renowned for their high integration and multifunctionality, encompassing payment systems, e-commerce, and social interactions. In contrast, Japanese platforms such as Line emphasise privacy and maintaining smaller, more intimate social networks. These observations have reinforced my understanding that technology is deeply embedded within cultural values, while simultaneously shaping them. This recognition has further solidified my perspective of technology as a cultural phenomenon.

Theoretical Frameworks: Marshall McLuhan, Andrew Feenberg, and David Ogilvy

Furthermore, this belief is also grounded in various theoretical perspectives. I will now elaborate on these theories individually.

Marshall McLuhan

Marshall McLuhan (1964) introduced the concept of “the medium is the message,” emphasising how the form of a medium profoundly reshapes societal structures and cognition. For example, the internet redefined our understanding of time and space, creating a “global village” where physical distances in communication became irrelevant. This idea has led me to perceive technology not merely as a medium for communication but as a force that shapes societal behaviours and patterns of interaction. It has driven me to focus more on the societal impacts of technology in my research, particularly in the realm of social media advertising. In addition to analysing the content of advertisements, I delve deeply into how platforms themselves influence communication and perception.

This is markedly different from the era dominated by traditional media, where the limitations of communication technologies made it easier to distinguish between the strengths and weaknesses of various media. For instance, radio relies solely on auditory symbols, whereas television combines auditory and visual elements. Social media platforms, however, are characterised by instantaneous transmission and high interactivity, blurring the boundaries between platforms. This homogeneity poses greater challenges for creators, requiring them to not only understand the logic of content dissemination but also analyse how platform technologies shape user experiences.

For example, a study by Boyd and Ellison (2007) explored how social media platforms have altered communication patterns among users through their features of immediacy and interactivity. Their research highlighted how platform characteristics, such as “like” functions and recommendation algorithms, enhance the efficiency of information dissemination while profoundly shaping users’ social behaviours and perceptions of information. These academic perspectives have provided a framework for my research, enabling me to systematically analyse the role of technology in advertising. By observing user behaviour patterns, I have found that platform design not only influences the efficiency of information dissemination but also profoundly shapes users’ perceptions of brands. This perspective allows me to understand the role of technology in advertising communication more systematically, incorporating it into my analytical framework to help optimise brand communication with

target audiences.

Andrew Feenberg

Andrew Feenberg (1991) introduced the “Critical Theory of Technology,” which emphasises the interplay between technology and society, asserting that technology reflects and actively shapes societal values and institutions. He argued that technological design is inherently shaped by societal priorities. For instance, during the development of electrical grids, the choice of a centralised network over a decentralised system was not driven solely by technical efficiency but also by the societal preference for centralised control and economies of scale. Similarly, the design of social media algorithms embodies a balance between commercial objectives and societal control. By prioritising high-engagement content, these algorithms not only support the revenue goals of platforms but also influence public discourse and cultural norms, shaping the flow of information in profound ways.

In *Questioning Technology*, Feenberg argued that technological systems are value-laden from their inception, meaning that technology is not neutral but an active agent in shaping society. This perspective is particularly relevant in the context of social media platforms, where their inherent sociability and interactivity enable rapid formation of public discourse on specific issues, effectively creating “arenas of public opinion.” These characteristics establish the groundwork for how technology mediates and transforms social structures. As noted earlier, exploring how individuals interpret and navigate the digital world offers a vital lens for understanding their broader worldviews. According to Feenberg’s critical theory, technology is not merely a passive tool but a force that actively participates in and reshapes social dynamics.

This theoretical framework has significantly influenced my approach to researching social media advertising. While traditional analyses often focus on the economic and cultural dimensions of advertising, I have integrated an additional layer of inquiry into the societal consequences of technology’s design. For instance, when evaluating controversial advertisements, cultural gaps between designers and audiences are often seen as the primary

cause. However, using the lens of the Critical Theory of Technology, I question whether these cultural gaps might be amplified or even created by the structural characteristics of social media platforms, rather than existing solely as pre-determined societal factors.

The societal impact of technology is also evident in its positive applications, such as in public health crises. During the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, studies (e.g., Cinelli et al., 2020) showed that social media facilitated the rapid dissemination of critical information, improving public compliance with health measures and fostering global discussions about vaccines and public health policies. These technological mechanisms not only enhanced the efficiency of information dissemination but also played a critical role in promoting collective action and building social consensus.

Further research (e.g., Gillespie, 2014) highlights how social media algorithms influence user content consumption through recommendation systems. While these systems optimise information flow, they also subtly regulate user behaviours, establishing new norms of social interaction. This theoretical perspective has profoundly informed my research, enabling a systematic analysis of technology's role in advertising communication. These studies validate Feenberg's insights into technology's active role in shaping society and remind me of the necessity to consider the bidirectional relationship between technology and society when researching advertising communication.

David Ogilvy

David Ogilvy (1983) proposed a theory of advertising communication that emphasises the importance of authenticity and emotional resonance in building long-term trust between brands and consumers. He argued that emotional connections can be fostered through storytelling that reflects the lived experiences of consumers, thereby enhancing a brand's relevance and credibility in the eyes of its audience. For example, authentic brand stories can evoke empathy among consumers, leaving a more lasting impression of the brand. Moreover, Ogilvy believed that authenticity reduces scepticism towards advertising content, increasing its persuasive power and conversion rates. He maintained that advertising should not merely

capture attention but also tell genuine stories that reflect the brand's core values, evoking emotional resonance and reinforcing memory.

In studying advertising communication, I have found that many modern social media advertisements embody Ogilvy's principles. A quintessential example is Nike's "Just Do It" campaign. These advertisements not only convey the brand's values of inspiration and pushing limits but also evoke emotional resonance by narrating authentic stories of athletes. However, I have also observed numerous instances of failure, which present unique challenges for my research. For example, Toyota's Prado campaign featuring Chinese stone lion imagery attempted to attract Chinese consumers by using traditional cultural symbols. However, the campaign was criticised for its superficial understanding of the stone lion's deep cultural significance and for associating it with the brand's aggressive image. This led to widespread public backlash, being perceived as a misrepresentation of Chinese culture, ultimately damaging the brand's reputation in the target market.

Such failures highlight the challenges of cross-cultural advertising in the context of globalisation, where gaining consumer attention has become increasingly difficult due to the sheer volume of information audiences are exposed to daily. While emotional resonance can establish trust to some extent, achieving this goal is fraught with uncertainties in cross-cultural communication, such as cultural gaps and language barriers. In extreme cases, attempts to incorporate local cultural symbols into advertisements may be interpreted by audiences as a lack of respect or recognition for their cultural identity. On social media platforms, these advertising strategies are further amplified through features such as interactivity and personalised recommendations. Brands can use these features to deliver emotionally engaging content directly to their target audiences, forming deep emotional connections.

This approach underscores that advertising is not merely a medium for information dissemination but a critical tool for shaping relationships between consumers and brands. This understanding has driven me to further investigate how technology is embedded within the advertising communication process, exploring ways in which emotional resonance and authenticity can enhance the social impact of brands.

Section 3: Cultural Perspectives on Language and Innovation

Legal Translation and the Power of Language

One of the most formative experiences during my academic journey was translating the 2016 Cybersecurity Law of the People's Republic of China into Japanese as part of a project with the Japan Society for Media Communication Research. This task illuminated how language transcends being a mere tool for communication and becomes a reflection of cultural values and societal structures.

At first glance, the shared use of Chinese characters in both Chinese and Japanese might suggest that translation would be relatively straightforward. However, the reality was far more complex. Legal language, inherently shaped by distinct cultural and societal values, required a nuanced understanding of both contexts. For example, Chinese law, grounded in Marxist philosophy, views legal frameworks as instruments reflecting the ruling class's will and adapting to socio-economic changes (Zhou, 2020). In contrast, Japanese law, influenced by capitalist principles and post-war American ideals, prioritises procedural justice and social harmony (Oda, 2021). This divergence meant that translation demanded not only linguistic precision but also a deep appreciation of societal priorities.

Through this experience, I gained an acute awareness of how language operates as both a regulator of societal norms and a cultural artefact. Legal frameworks, as research suggests, are not merely collections of rules but active agents shaping societal norms (Feenberg, 1991). In this context, translation became an act of cultural interpretation, transcending mere linguistic accuracy. This understanding has deeply influenced my approach to research, particularly in advertising, where the power of language in forging connections across diverse cultural landscapes is paramount.

In advertising, as in legal translation, language must respect and reflect cultural nuances. For

instance, the translation of BMW into “宝马” (Bǎomǎ) in Chinese carries cultural resonance, as the horse symbolises strength, reliability, and luxury. Conversely, Toyota Prado was initially translated as “霸道” (Bàdào), meaning "overbearing," which aligned with its rugged image. However, after public backlash over a contentious advertisement, the company opted for the phonetic transliteration “普拉多” (Pǔlādō) to mitigate cultural misunderstandings. These examples underline the critical importance of linguistic and cultural sensitivity in crafting effective advertising.

Observing the Shared Economy from Abroad

While studying in Japan, I witnessed the rapid growth of China's shared economy from an overseas perspective. This was particularly evident in the emergence of shared bicycles, a sector that transformed urban transport in China but struggled to gain traction in Japan. This contrast illuminated how regulatory frameworks and societal attitudes shape the development and adoption of new technologies differently in these two countries.

In China, shared bicycles thrived under a “application-first, regulation-later” approach. By 2018, the bike-sharing industry had amassed over 23 million users and contributed more than \$1.5 billion to the economy (iResearch, 2018). This model enabled swift innovation, although it also resulted in operational issues and public complaints. It reflects a societal ethos that values rapid adaptation and economic growth over initial risk avoidance.

Conversely, Japan’s adoption of shared bicycles was significantly slower due to its “regulate-first, apply-later” model. Comprehensive evaluations and stringent regulations precede the introduction of new technologies, underscoring Japan’s emphasis on societal consensus and risk mitigation. Furthermore, Japan’s decentralised governance system, which grants considerable autonomy to local governments, added layers of complexity to nationwide implementation. Research highlights how such precautionary frameworks often delay the adoption of disruptive technologies (OECD, 2019). This divergence illustrates how societal values and governance structures uniquely influence the trajectory of technological

innovation.

These two experiences profoundly enhanced my understanding of the intricate relationship between technology, regulation, and culture, particularly in the realm of social media. They underscored the role of social media advertising as a bridge connecting diverse cultural and societal systems. My research focuses on how advertising can achieve effective cultural adaptation in varying regulatory and technological landscapes.

For example, platforms like Taobao Live in China integrate advertising seamlessly with consumer experiences, blending entertainment and commerce to foster real-time engagement. This innovation thrives in a regulatory environment that encourages experimentation. In contrast, the slower adoption of similar technologies in Japan reflects the challenges posed by its stringent regulations and conservative societal norms.

These insights broadened my perspective, prompting me to realise that cross-cultural communication in social media cannot merely be understood as the transfer of ideas across cultural domains. It must also consider factors such as governmental policymaking, technological regulation, and local governance structures. Social media's dual role as a technological tool and cultural phenomenon amplifies these dynamics, making it critical to analyse how such factors influence its capacity to mediate global communication.

Section 4: Confronting Limitations in Cultural Perspectives

Bias from Regulatory Perspectives

My academic journey in Japan introduced me to a highly structured approach to regulation and innovation, one deeply rooted in the principles of consensus-building and risk aversion. This perspective, influenced by Japan's governance model, often prioritises thorough pre-implementation scrutiny over rapid application. While this has enriched my understanding of meticulous planning and its benefits for societal stability, it risks creating a bias that

undervalues the flexibility and dynamism of less structured regulatory environments, such as those in China.

For example, the “application-first, regulation-later” model prevalent in China has driven rapid adoption and innovation in sectors like shared economies and social media platforms. While I intellectually recognise the benefits of this approach, my instinctive leaning towards structured systems might lead me to underestimate the adaptability and resilience embedded in China’s model. This bias can manifest in my research focus, where I might overemphasise the potential risks of unregulated systems while downplaying their capacity for fostering innovation.

Language and Cultural Interpretation Bias

Another significant source of bias stems from my professional experiences in legal translation. This work honed my sensitivity to linguistic precision, a skill I value deeply in my research. However, it also predisposes me to prioritise the written and textual aspects of communication over other elements, such as visuals or interactive design, which play a critical role in advertising.

For instance, while working on advertising-related projects, my emphasis on language may overshadow the importance of visual narratives or experiential marketing. This can be particularly limiting in digital advertising, where image-driven platforms like Instagram and TikTok rely heavily on visual appeal to engage audiences. My focus on linguistic accuracy might prevent me from fully appreciating the power of these non-verbal elements in shaping consumer behaviour and brand perception.

Strategies to Mitigate Biases

Recognising these biases is only the first step; actively addressing them is integral to improving my creative practice and research. To counteract my linguistic and regulatory biases, I have adopted several strategies to diversify and strengthen my analytical framework.

1. Multilingual Literature Review

One key approach is to expand the scope of my academic sources. In addition to English-language literature and books, I actively engage with Chinese and Japanese academic works to capture a wider range of perspectives. By staying updated on the latest developments in multiple academic spheres, I challenge my assumptions and ensure my analyses are informed by a more comprehensive understanding of global academic thought.

2. Data and Case Studies

Another crucial strategy is my reliance on empirical evidence and case studies to ground my analyses. For instance, in studying the success of social media advertising strategies, I prioritise research that highlights the interplay between cultural, regulatory, and technological factors. This approach ensures that my conclusions are based on objective data rather than personal or cultural biases.

3. Interdisciplinary Collaboration

I make a conscious effort to collaborate with colleagues from diverse cultural and professional backgrounds. Engaging with interdisciplinary teams helps me gain new perspectives, challenging my biases and broadening my understanding of complex issues.

4. Reflective Practice

Self-reflection forms a cornerstone of my methodology. At every stage of my research process, I question how my personal experiences might influence the way I frame research questions, interpret data, or evaluate findings. This reflective practice not only mitigates biases but also enables me to adapt to the evolving demands of cross-cultural advertising research.

Section 5: Integrating Knowledge and Innovation in Cross-Cultural Advertising

Building a Knowledge Base for Cross-Cultural Advertising

In my research on social media advertising strategies, my knowledge base is founded upon a diverse range of resources, including academic literature, industry reports, empirical data, and legal frameworks. My process begins with a meticulous evaluation of the credibility of these sources, a skill honed through my academic and professional experiences. Peer-reviewed journals such as the *Journal of Advertising Research* and reports from institutions like Nielsen and McKinsey provide reliable insights into the latest trends and methodologies. These resources are selected for their scholarly rigour, relevance to my research focus, and their capacity to offer nuanced perspectives on the interplay between technology, culture, and consumer behaviour.

In addition, my focus on cross-cultural communication and social media platforms necessitates a deep understanding of international internet laws and advertising regulations. Legal frameworks such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) or China's Cybersecurity Law significantly influence how digital advertising strategies are designed and implemented across various regions. Understanding these laws provides invaluable context for analysing the constraints and opportunities brands face in navigating diverse cultural and regulatory landscapes.

Another vital source of knowledge stems from collaborative learning with peers. This idea originated during classroom discussions, where students were encouraged to present and critique academic journals and research papers collectively. Recognising the value of such exercises, my classmates and I began organising similar academic sharing sessions outside the classroom. This semester, I have participated in two such sessions, where each participant presented and discussed articles or papers they had recently read. These gatherings have broadened my perspective by exposing me to diverse academic works while fostering

meaningful discussions on cross-cultural advertising and its inherent complexities. This collaborative and interactive learning process ensures my research is informed by a variety of perspectives and remains aligned with the latest academic developments.

Exploring Innovation Through Transdisciplinary Methods

Innovation research requires not only the integration of ideas but also the strategic application of methodologies and tools from various disciplines. In my study of social media advertising strategies, transdisciplinary approaches provide a comprehensive means to address the complex interplay between culture, technology, and consumer behaviour. As Nicolescu (2002) highlights, transdisciplinary thinking transcends traditional disciplinary boundaries, enabling solutions to real-world problems through integrated perspectives.

A notable application of this approach is seen in the analysis of the controversial Toyota Prado “Stone Lion” advertisement. The campaign initially sought to attract Chinese consumers by incorporating the cultural symbol of the stone lion. However, it faced criticism for misinterpreting the deeper cultural significance of the stone lion, a symbol of dignity and respect, instead associating it with the vehicle’s aggressive branding. This aligns with Hall’s (1973) Encoding/Decoding Model, which illustrates how cultural contexts influence the interpretation of media messages. While the advertiser’s intent was to evoke strength and reliability, the cultural decoding by Chinese audiences led to negative perceptions due to the symbolic inconsistencies.

To mitigate such cultural missteps, integrating insights from various disciplines is essential. Cultural anthropology deciphers the embedded meanings within cultural artefacts, while media studies examine how visual and symbolic elements are interpreted across diverse contexts. Additionally, sociology provides a framework for analysing the societal consequences of cultural misinterpretations, such as the reputational risks for brands that fail to respect cultural sensitivities. For instance, Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner (1998) highlight the importance of recognising symbolic variations in global branding. By employing these disciplines, a robust framework emerges for creating advertisements that are

both culturally resonant and globally impactful.

Further enriching this analysis can involve incorporating insights from behavioural psychology, particularly in understanding audience reactions to symbolic elements in advertising. For example, recent studies (Higgins et al., 2020) have explored how the consistency between symbolic messaging and audience expectations impacts brand perception and loyalty. Findings suggest that when symbols used in advertisements conflict with an audience's cultural background or personal values, it can lead to cognitive dissonance, negatively affecting brand trust and consumer decision-making. This phenomenon is particularly pronounced in cross-cultural advertising, where interpretations of symbols may vary significantly across cultures. By integrating these contemporary psychological perspectives, I can better analyse the cultural implications of symbolic messaging, enabling the development of more culturally inclusive advertising strategies.

Section 6: Recognising the World as a Socially Constructed Reality

My distinctive ontology emerges from an interpretivist perspective, recognising the world as a socially constructed reality shaped by cultural, technological, and historical contexts. This perspective aligns with Denscombe's (2010) emphasis on the importance of acknowledging the philosophical foundations of research. I view advertising not merely as a tool for promoting products but as a cultural phenomenon that mediates interactions between individuals and societies, particularly in the globalised digital era.

This interpretivist paradigm also underpins my methodological approach. By focusing on qualitative methods, such as semiotic analysis and audience interviews, I aim to uncover the layers of cultural nuance and consumer interpretations that shape advertising efficacy. This approach reflects the relational and fluid nature of truth within my ontology, aligning with Nicolescu's (2002) call for transdisciplinary thinking that transcends rigid disciplinary boundaries.

Additionally, my ontology is informed by a belief in the transformative power of technology as both a cultural artefact and a catalyst for societal change. This belief draws on Castells' (2009) concept of the network society, which positions technology as a medium for fostering global connections and cultural exchange. In practice, this means designing advertising strategies that leverage digital platforms to bridge cultural divides while respecting localised values.

By embracing this interpretivist ontology, my work seeks to balance cultural sensitivity with technological innovation, creating campaigns that resonate deeply with diverse audiences. This philosophical foundation not only guides my research questions and methods but also ensures that my creative outputs contribute meaningfully to the broader discourse on globalisation, technology, and culture.

Conclusion

Reflecting on my worldview and values has offered me a nuanced understanding of the dynamics between culture, technology, and creative practices. I have learned that my belief in technology as a cultural phenomenon is not merely an abstract idea but a practical framework that shapes my approach to research and design. This perspective emphasises the need for cultural sensitivity and adaptability in the context of social media advertising, where global audiences interpret messages differently based on their unique cultural contexts.

Understanding my ontology has also compelled me to reassess the ethical implications of my work. I have come to see that advertising is not just about persuasion but also about responsibility. This includes creating narratives that respect cultural values while leveraging technological advancements to foster meaningful engagement. Reflecting on past projects has highlighted areas where I could have been more considerate of these factors, pushing me to adopt a more mindful approach moving forward.

Looking ahead, these insights will shape my creative practice by encouraging me to combine

innovative methodologies with critical reflection. By challenging established norms and addressing blind spots, I aim to develop work that is both impactful and responsible, contributing to cross-cultural communication and a deeper understanding of global audiences.

references

1. Al-Ababneh, M. (2020). 'Linking Ontology, Epistemology, and Research Methodology', *Science & Philosophy*, 8(1), pp. 75–91.
2. Alalwan, A.A., Rana, N.P., Dwivedi, Y.K. and Algharabat, R. (2017). 'Social Media in Marketing: A Review and Analysis of the Existing Literature', *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(7), pp. 1177–1190.
3. Appel, G., Grewal, L., Hadi, R. and Stephen, A.T. (2019). 'The Future of Social Media in Marketing', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), pp. 79–95.
4. Baudrillard, J. (1981). *Simulacra and Simulation*. University of Michigan Press.
5. Boyd, D.M. and Ellison, N.B. (2007). 'Social Network Sites: Definition, History, and Scholarship', *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13(1), pp. 210–230.
6. Castells, M. (2009). *Communication Power*. Oxford University Press.
7. Cheng, H. (ed.) (2014). *The Handbook of International Advertising Research*. Wiley-Blackwell.
8. Cinelli, M. et al. (2020). 'The COVID-19 Social Media Infodemic', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 1–10.
9. De Mooij, M. and Hofstede, G. (2010). 'The Hofstede Model: Applications to Global Branding and Advertising Strategy and Research', *International Journal of Advertising*, 29(1), pp. 85–110.
10. Denscombe, M. (2010). *The Good Research Guide: For Small-Scale Social Research Projects*. 4th ed. McGraw-Hill Education.
11. Feenberg, A. (1991). *Critical Theory of Technology*. Oxford University Press.
12. Feenberg, A. (1999). *Questioning Technology*. Routledge, pp. 93–132.
13. Gillespie, A., Glăveanu, V. and de Saint Laurent, C. (2024). *Pragmatism and Methodology: Doing Research That Matters with Mixed Methods*. Cambridge

University Press.

14. Hall, S. (1973). 'Encoding/Decoding', in Hall, D., Hobson, D., Lowe, A. and Willis, P. (eds.) *Culture, Media, Language*. Routledge.
15. Higgins, E.T., Rholes, W.S. and Jones, C.R. (2020). 'Symbolism in Advertising', *Journal of Consumer Research*, 48(3), pp. 201–215.
16. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations*. 2nd ed. SAGE Publications.
17. Hong, C. (2014). *The Handbook of International Advertising Research*. Wiley-Blackwell.
18. Johnston, A. (2014). 'Rigour in Research: Theory in the Research Approach', *European Business Review*, 26(3), pp. 206–217.
19. Levitt, T. (1983). 'The Globalization of Markets', *Harvard Business Review*, 61(3), pp. 92–102.
20. Li, F. and Shooshtari, N.H. (2010). 'Multinational Corporations' Controversial Ad Campaigns in China—Lessons from Nike and Toyota', *Journal of Advertising Research*, 50(2), pp. 168–180.
21. Lippmann, W. (1922). *Public Opinion*. Macmillan.
22. McLuhan, M. (1964). *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw-Hill.
23. Nicolescu, B. (2002). *Manifesto of Transdisciplinarity*. SUNY Press.
24. Oda, H. (2021). *Japanese Law*. 4th ed. Oxford University Press.
25. OECD (2019). *Regulatory Policy and Behavioural Economics*. Paris: OECD Publishing. Available at: <https://www.oecd.org>.
26. Ogilvy, D. (1983). *Ogilvy on Advertising*. Vintage Books.
27. Tang, Q. and Ōbayashi, A. (2022). '中国 SNS プラットフォームにおける炎上 CM

的分析和对策'. 慶應義塾大学.

28. Trompenaars, F. and Hampden-Turner, C. (1998). *Riding the Waves of Culture: Understanding Diversity in Global Business*. 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill.
29. Tomlinson, J. (1999). *Globalization and Culture*. University of Chicago Press.
30. iResearch (2018). *China's Bike-Sharing Economy*. iResearch Industry Reports.
Available at: <https://www.iresearch.com>.
31. 市川, 孝一 (2018). '社会问题化的广告表现: 从炎上 CM 中看到的内容'. 明治大学文艺研究会.
32. 市川孝一 (2014). *广告与性别歧视*. 明治大学出版社.
33. Zhou, X. (2020). 'Chinese Legal Philosophy and Modern Reforms', *Journal of Comparative Law*, 14(2), pp. 20–35.